EXHIBIT N

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI 3 Will McRaney,)) 4 Plaintiff,))CASE NO.)1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS 5 vs.) 6 The North American Mission) Board of the Southern Baptist) 7 Convention, Inc.,)) Defendant. 8) 9 10 11 DEPOSITION OF 12 30(B)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF NAMB 13 THROUGH 14 KEVIN EZELL 15 March 3, 2023 16 8:58 a.m. 17 18 Butler Snow, LLP 19 1170 Peachtree Street NE 20 Suite 1900 21 Atlanta, Georgia 22 23 Robin K. Ferrill, CCR-B-1936, RPR 24 25

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 3 of 128 PageID #: 3132

Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL	1 INDEX CONTINUED
2 On behalf of the Plaintiff	2 DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS
SCOTT E. GANT, Esquire	
3 VICTORIA SCORDATO, Esquire Boies Schiller Flexner LLP	3 PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION PAC
4 1401 New York Avenue, NW	4 Exhibit 6 Opposed Motion for Leave to File 69
Washington, DC 20005	5 AMICI Curiae Brief on Behalf of
5 202.237.2727	6 The Thomas More Society and The
sgant@bsfllp.com	-
6 vscordato@bsfllp.com	7 Ethics and Religious Liberty
7 9. On habelf of the Defendent	8 Commission in Support of
8 On behalf of the Defendant TIMOTHY J. PERLA, Esquire	9 Defendant-Appellee's Petition for
9 WilmerHale LLP	
60 State Street	10Rehearing En Banc
10 Boston, Massachusetts 02109	11 Exhibit 7 Letter to ERLC Stucy Task Force 75
617.526.6000	12 from The Ethics & Religious
11 timothy.perla@wilmerhale.com	13 Liberty Commission, 12/11/2020,
12	
1314 On behalf of the Defendant	14Bates stamped ERLC 470
KATHLEEN CARRINGTON, Esquire	15 Exhibit 8 E-mail string to Williams from 77
15 Butler Snow LLP	16 Wussow, 9/20/22, Bates stamped
1170 Peachtree Street NE	17 ERLC 526 - 28
16 Suite 1900	
Atlanta, Georgia 30309	18 Exhibit 9 Letter to Moore and Wussow from78
17 678.515.5000	19 Guenther, 12/11/2020, Bates
kat.carrington@butlersnow.com 18	20 stamped ERLC 463 - 65
19	21 Exhibit 10 TMS Privilege Log 83
20	0 0
21 ALSO PRESENT:	22 Exhibit 11 E-mail string to Carrington and 84
22 Bryan Robinson, Videographer	23 others from Gant, 2/16/23
23 Will McRaney	24
24 George McCallum, NAMB In-house Counsel 25	25
Page 3	Page 5
1 INDEX	1 INDEX CONTINUED
2 DEPOSITION OF	
	2 DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS
3 30(B)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF NAMB	3 PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION PAC
4 THROUGH	4 Exhibit 12 Copy of Color Photographs, Bates 92
5 KEVIN EZELL	5 stamped NAMB 7780 - 82
6 March 3, 2023	
7 EXAMINATION BY PAGE	6 Exhibit 13 Article from SBC Today, NAMB: Stop 98
8 Mr. Gant 10, 226	7 Bullying State Conventions! NAMB
9 Mr. Perla 223	8 Has No Authority to Dictate State
	9 Convention Policy or Personnel,
10	
DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS	10Bates stamped WM 984 - 989
11	11 Exhibit 14 A Reader's Perspective on NAMB by 102
PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION PAGE	12 Frank Shope, 11/24/2020,
12	13 $11/24/2020$, Bates stamped WM 6181
13 Exhibit 1 Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition 10	14 - 83
14 Exhibit 2 Separation Agreement and Release, 46	15 Exhibit 15 Letter to Alaska Pastors and 103
15 7/16/15	16 Church Leaders from Alaska Baptist
16 Exhibit 3 NAMB's Privilege Log 52	-
17 Exhibit 4 Memorandum in Support of NAMB's 53	
18 Response to Plaintiff's Motion to	18 stamped WM 6195 - 98
	19 Exhibit 16 Letter to Northwest Baptist 108
19 Compel Production of Discovery	20 Convention Executive Board, Bates
20 Material	21 stamped NAMB 9876 - 80
	-
21 Exhibit 5 E-mail to Eiland from 64	22
21 Exhibit 5 E-mail to Eiland from6422 cmecf_caseprocessing@ca5.uscourts.	22 23
21 Exhibit 5 E-mail to Eiland from6422 cmecf_caseprocessing@ca5.uscourts.23 gov, 12/14/20, Bates stamped ERLC	23
21 Exhibit 5 E-mail to Eiland from6422 cmecf_caseprocessing@ca5.uscourts.	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

1	Page	6	Page 8
1	INDEX CONTINUED	1	
2	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS	2	
		-	PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION PAG
4	Exhibit 17 Article entitled, NAMB's Abuse and 109	4	Exhibit 26 E-mail string to Roach and others 194
5	Mistreatment of the MWBC, written	5	· · ·
6	by Pastor Jackie Hill, 6/25/21,	6	stamped NAMB 8219
7	Bates stamped WM 6212 - 20	7	Exhibit 27 The North American Mission Board 204
8	Exhibit 18 Document entitled, North American 110	8	of The Southern Baptist
9	Mission Board's Strategic Shifts	9	Convention, Inc.'s Answer and
10	and the Impact on California	10	
11	Southern Baptist Convention,	11	
12	1/2012, Bates stamped WM 6186 - 94	12	Exhibit 28 Binder to prepare for 18 topics 228
13	Exhibit 19 E-mail to Heider from Mustard Seed 179	13	
14	Support, 6/1/17, Bates stamped	14	
15	NAMB Supporting Organiztion 2491 -	15	
16	92	16	
	Exhibit 20 E-mail string to Varnum from 181	17	
18	Smith, 11/8/17, Bates stamped NAMB	18	
19	Supporting Organization 3450 -	19	
20	3452	20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
1	Page		Page 9
1	INDEX CONTINUED		
2	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION PA	GE 3	
	FLAINTIFF EARIDIT IDENTIFICATION FA		TUDOUCU
+	Exhibit 21 Document entitled The North 184	-	
	Exhibit 21 Document entitled, The North 184	4	KEVIN EZELL
5	American Mission Board of the	4	KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023
5 6	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc.	456	KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record.
5 6 7	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization,	4 5 6 7	KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And
5 6 7 8	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting	4 5 6 7 8	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video
5 6 7 8 9	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43	4 5 6 7 8 9	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board
5 6 7 8 9 10	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North American Mission Board of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 -	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14 Exhibit 24 Declaration of Charles R. Lindsay, 192	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is Dr. McRaney.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14 Exhibit 24 Declaration of Charles R. Lindsay, 192 CPA	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is Dr. McRaney. MR. PERLA: Timothy Perla, WilmerHale, for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14 Exhibit 24 Declaration of Charles R. Lindsay, 192 CPA Exhibit 25 E-mail from Davidson to Smith, 193	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is Dr. McRaney. MR. PERLA: Timothy Perla, WilmerHale, for the defendant and the witness.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14 Exhibit 24 Declaration of Charles R. Lindsay, 192 CPA Exhibit 25 E-mail from Davidson to Smith, 193 12/16/2016, Bates stamped NAMB	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is Dr. McRaney. MR. PERLA: Timothy Perla, WilmerHale, for the defendant and the witness. MS. HERRINGTON: Good morning. Cat
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14 Exhibit 24 Declaration of Charles R. Lindsay, 192 CPA Exhibit 25 E-mail from Davidson to Smith, 193	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is Dr. McRaney. MR. PERLA: Timothy Perla, WilmerHale, for the defendant and the witness. MS. HERRINGTON: Good morning. Cat Herrington, with Butler Snow, LLP, on behalf of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc. Description of the Organization, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 - 43 Exhibit 22 Letter to Williams from Smith, 189 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253 Exhibit 23 Letter from the IRS to North 191 American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates stamped NAMB 12 - 14 Exhibit 24 Declaration of Charles R. Lindsay, 192 CPA Exhibit 25 E-mail from Davidson to Smith, 193 12/16/2016, Bates stamped NAMB	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 KEVIN EZELL March 3, 2023 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time is 9:03 a.m. on March 3rd, 2023. And this is the beginning of the 30(b)(6) video deposition for North American Mission Board given by the corporate representative, Kevin Ezell. Would counsel present please identify themselves for the record. MR. GANT: Scott Gant, from Boies Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiff, Dr. McRaney. With me is my colleague, Victoria Scordato, from my law firm, and also in attendance is Dr. McRaney. MR. PERLA: Timothy Perla, WilmerHale, for the defendant and the witness. MS. HERRINGTON: Good morning. Cat Herrington, with Butler Snow, LLP, on behalf of the defendant and the witness.

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 5 of 128 PageID #: 3134

	Dogo 10		Dogo 12
1	Page 10 Board on behalf of NAMB and the witness.	1	Page 12 MR. GANT: Okay. Thank you.
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you, Counsel.		
3	The court reporter may swear in the	3	
4	witness.	4	Do you have that in front of you?
	R. KEVIN EZELL,	5	A. Yes.
6	called as a witness, having been duly sworn	6	Q. Just for the record, this is Plaintiff's
7 by	y a Notary Public, was examined and testified as	7	
8 fo	llows:	8	filed with the court as document Number 220.
9 E	XAMINATION	9	Have you seen this before?
10 B	Y MR. GANT:	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Good morning, Dr. Ezell.	11	Q. What do you understand it to be?
12	A. Good morning.	12	A. Additional questions that you asked us in
13	Q. Good to see you again.	13	regards to the case.
14	Yesterday I took your deposition in your	14	Q. Okay. And by "us," you mean NAMB?
15 in	dividual capacity as a 30(b)(1) witness. Are you	15	A. Yes.
	ere today to testify on behalf of NAMB as a 30(b)(6)		Q. Have you reviewed the entirety of Exhibit 1
	itness?		before this morning?
18	A. Yes.	18	
19	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, Plaintiff's Notice	19	Q. And if you turn to Page 5 using the numbers
20	of Deposition, marked for identification.)		at the top it looks like you're there.
21	Q. (By Mr. Gant) We discussed this briefly	21	A. Oh, okay. Subject matter.
-	esterday. You were taking some medication in	22	Q. Yes, that's where I was going to direct you
	onnection with the pain for an upcoming root canal.		to, where it says, subject matter topics.
24	A. Right.	24	5
25	Q. Are you taking any medication today?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 11		Page 13
1	A. Just the Advil and the antibiotic.	1	Q. And starting on that page through the end
2	Q. Okay. And do you believe that any of those		of the document, there are 18 topics listed.
	edications will interfere with your ability to	3	Do you see those?
	derstand my questions or give truthful and complete swers?	45	A. Yes.
6 5 an	A. No, sir.	6	Q. And are those the topics about which you're prepared to testify today?
7	,	7	
1 '	Q. And do you agree to give truthful and mplete answers to my questions today?	8	Q. Are you prepared to testify about all of
9	A. Yes.	-	them?
10	Q. Thank you.	10	
11	I see you have a notebook or a binder to	11	Q. Can you describe to me how you prepared to
	bur right. What is it?		provide testimony on these 18 topics?
13	A. This is it's all the documents I've	13	A. I prepped with my counsel over all 18
	epared in response to your questions with	14	
-	terrogatories and	15	A and have documents, obviously, that we
16	MR. PERLA: We're happy to give you a copy	16	looked over.
17	if you want one.	17	Q. Did you prepare, yourself, any of the
18	MR. GANT: Sure. Thank you. I can't look	18	documents in the binder?
19	at it right now, but when we have a little	19	A. No. No. I didn't, no.
20	break, I'll take a look.	20	Q. Okay. Who prepared the documents in the
21	MR. PERLA: Fine.		binder that you
22	MR. GANT: Do these tab numbers correspond	22	A. Who did?
1		23	MR. PERLA: Do you mean assembled or
23	to the topics?		-
23 24 25	MR. PERLA: Yes, sir. Flip sheets between documents.	24 25	-

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 6 of 128 PageID #: 3135

	Page 14	Page 16
1	mean collated.	1 A. Yeah. From about 5:30 this morning in my
2	MR. PERLA: So, like, if there's an e-mail,	2 truck I've been looking it over just to make sure I'm
3	who drafted it? Is that what you're saying?	3 familiar with it.
4	MR. GANT: I'm not sure what	4 Q. And from your perspective do you believe
5	A. It's full of interrogatories, e-mails,	5 you're fully prepared to testify on behalf of NAMB
6	communications to answer every question. So we	6 regarding these 18 topics?
7	didn't write each one of those, but we accumulated	7 A. Yes. With my knowledge and the contents of
8	them all.	8 the notebook, I am.
9	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. That's a fair question	9 Q. Okay. All right. Let's dig into some of
10	and clarification.	10 the topics. Let's start with Number 9.
11	In terms of deciding what would go into the	11 A. Okay.
12	notebook	12 MR. GANT: And this is not for you. It's
13	A. Uh-huh.	13 for NAMB's counsel. I'll just note that we had
14	Q whose decision was that?	14 a meet and confer and I had a request about the
15	A. The well, that was counsel and	15 communications between NAMB and BCMD or their
16	communicated with me, to best answer it, I was using	16 respective counsel concerning the motion to
17	my knowledge and additional documents	17 quash. You said you would get back to me. I
18	Q. All right.	18 never heard from you, so we still don't have any
19	A for all of them.	19 documents provided to us.
20	MR. GANT: And we'll figure out	20 MS. HERRINGTON: They're in that binder and
21	logistically how to do this, but I may mark the	21 they're being produced today.
22	whole binder as an exhibit.	22 MR. GANT: Okay. So obviously I wish I had
23	MR. PERLA: We would not object to that.	23 received them before the beginning of the
24	It would save a lot of time.	24 deposition, but we'll go from there.
25	Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. You said you	25 I see there's why were these not
	Page 15	Page 17
1	worked I don't remember the verb you used, but you	1 produced earlier? I mean, how am I supposed to
2	worked with your counsel or you met with your counsel	2 conduct a examination after the deposition
3	to prepare to testify today, is that right?	3 starts when there's 87 pages of documents?
4	A. Yes, sir.	4 MS. HERRINGTON: A lot of them are repeats
5	Q. When did you do that?	5 of chains of e-mails. And, I mean, when you
6	A. Last last week.	6 take a look at it when you have an opportunity,
7	Q. Do you remember what day?	7 you're going to see that there's really not much
8	A. Thursday or Friday. I can't remember	8 substance to it. I don't think you're going to
9	the it was last week. I can look on the phone or	9 have any issues asking questions about it.
10	something and find it, but it was last week.	10 MR. GANT: It just takes time. Okay.
11	Q. One day last week?	11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) What do you know about
12	A. One day last week.	12 Topic 9?
13	Q. And about how many hours did you spend?	13 A. I know that the BCMD made a motion to quash
14	A. About six or seven.	14 their involvement, obviously, in the case. And you
15	Q. Other than that day last week that you	15 see that I refer you to all the documents listed in
16	worked on preparing to provide testimony today, did	16 Tab Number 9.
17	you do anything since then to prepare to provide	17 Q. Are there any communications strike
18	testimony today?	18 that.
19	A. Yes.	19 Are there any written communications
20	Q. What?	20 between BCMD and NAMB, including their respective
21	A. I continually looked through the notebook	21 counsel, concerning a potential or actual motion to
22	to familiarize myself and I've done that periodically	22 quash by BCMD that are not contained in this notebook
	since that time.	23 behind Tab 9?
24	Q. Did you do anything today before the	A. Not to my knowledge. There's all the
25	deposition to prepare to testify?	25 communications I'm aware of are in this document.

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 7 of 128 PageID #: 3136

Page 18	Page 20
1 Q. And you made an effort to find out	1 MR. GANT: What's the basis for that?
2 locate all written communications concerning that?	2 MR. PERLA: Well, to the extent they're
3 A. NAMB did, yes.	3 privileged communications, for instance, the
4 Q. And it's your understanding that they're	4 witness wouldn't inform himself about that.
5 all contained behind Tab 9?	5 MR. GANT: How are they privileged? I'm
6 A. My understanding, everything about this	6 asking about communications between BCMD and
7 topic would be the knowledge I have and then what's	7 NAMB.
8 listed in Tab Number 9.	8 MR. PERLA: Well, I'm not going to answer
9 Q. Now, obviously there are only written	9 your questions today.
10 communications behind Tab 9. Were there oral	10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you do anything to
11 communications between NAMB and BCMD, including their	11 determine whether or not there were oral
12 respective counsel, about any potential or actual	12 communications between NAMB and BCMD, including their
13 motion to quash by BCMD that's covered by Topic 9?	13 respective counsel, about any potential or actual
14 A. The only communication we had would have	14 motion to quash by BCMD?
15 been our attorneys. I think when we requested, you	15 A. No, I did not investigate anything like
16 know, them to give us information when they were	16 that. No.
17 quashing, no, I wouldn't think there would be any,	17 MR. GANT: We believe that the witness
18 but I'm not aware of any.	18 should have prepared to testify about that. We
19 Q. Okay. Did you investigate in preparing to	19 would like to know the information.
20 testify today whether there were any oral	20 MR. PERLA: You have reserved your rights
21 communications between NAMB and BCMD, including their	21 so we understand.
22 respective counsel, about any actual or potential	22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have any other
23 motion to quash?	23 information to provide about Topic 9?
24 A. Did I investigate?	24 A. No.
25 Q. Yes.	25 Q. All right. When I get a chance to look
Page 19	Page 21
1 A. No, I didn't investigate.	1 through these 88 pages, I may come back to that.
2 Q. Well, did you do anything to find out	2 All right. Let's go to Topic 5, please.
3 whether there were any oral communications?	3 MR. GANT: And I will mark the entire
4 A. No. I would defer everything to my	4 notebook. We'll just make it whatever exhibit
5 counsel. They any anything like that would	5 number is the last one.
6 have been done by counsel.	6 MR. PERLA: Sure.
7 Q. But you're here to testify today on behalf	7 MR. GANT: Is that agreeable?
8 of NAMB.	8 MR. PERLA: That's fine with me.
9 A. Behalf of NAMB, right.	9 MR. GANT: Robin, at the end we will just
10 Q. So your job was to find out what they knew.	10 put an exhibit sticker on the notebook with
11 A. Yeah.	11 whatever the next exhibit is after we're
12 MR. PERLA: Objection.	12 finished. And I will just refer to it as the
13 MR. GANT: So well, he can't just defer	13 notebook.
14 to counsel and say he doesn't know; I have to	14 And for the record, I think this was clear,
15 ask them.	but, well, I would like a representation that
16 MR. PERLA: I'm just objecting to your	16 you gave me a notebook. And I think you implied
17 articulation of what you believe is required of	17 it was a copy of what the witness has next to
18 a witness. That's not a question. We can	18 him. Is it identical?
19 disagree about that later.	19 MR. PERLA: There are two differences. He
20 MR. GANT: Okay. So you are you	20 has tabs showing him where his numbered sheets
21 disagreeing that the witness is supposed to have	21 are, but you have the documents are
22 inquired about whether there were oral	22 identical. And I have noticed that your front
23 communications?	23 pocket has a timeline that you're welcome to,
24 MR. PERLA: I do disagree about that,	24 but isn't in this.
25 but	25 MR. GANT: Okay. So there's a timeline at

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

	Page 22		Page 24
1	the front of mine that he does not have?	1	behind Tab 5 of the notebook?
2	MR. PERLA: Correct.	2	MR. PERLA: And the statement he just made
3	MR. GANT: And I didn't fully understand.	3	as well, should we include that?
4	What does he have that I don't?	4	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that statement different
5	MR. PERLA: He has tabs on his slip sheets.	5	from what's in the response?
6	MR. GANT: Yellow tabs?	6	A. I mean, it's a little bit more commentary
7	MR. PERLA: Yes.	7	on it if you want, but basically there's multiple
8	MR. GANT: Okay. Fine. Otherwise		pictures down there that are and typically the
9	identical?	9	pictures are, you know, life-size on a digital board
10	MR. PERLA: Correct.		that are left up for weeks at a time.
11	MR. GANT: Thank you.	11	Q. Okay. The topic is about the reception
12	MR. PERLA: Sorry. He has highlighting of	12	desk.
13	the titles of some documents so he can readily	13	A. Right.
14	find the titles.	14	Q. Okay. So you're talking about an
15	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have any written notes	15	electronic board?
16	in your version?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. No, sir.	17	Q. Is the board connected physically to the
18	MR. GANT: And if you think of any other	18	desk?
19	differences you haven't identified, will you let	19	A. To the desk, no. It's operated from the
20	me know?		desk, but, no, it's not connected to the desk.
21	MR. PERLA: I sure will. And you're also	21	Q. The topic is at the lobby reception desk.
22	welcome to inspect his copy if you'd like.	22	Do you see that on the third line?
23	Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. So let's go to	23	A. Yeah. Okay. Yes.
	Topic 5.	24	Q. That's what I'm asking about.
25	A. Okay.	25	A. Okay.
-			
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you	1	Q. All right.
2	Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything	2	Q. All right.MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash
2 3	Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described?	2 3	Q. All right.MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead.
2 3 4	Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described?A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental	2 3 4	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the
2 3 4 5	Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described?A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in	2 3 4 5	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described?A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Q. At the desk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. A. Oh, I see. Yes. I'll just we put many 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Tell me the names of the people whose
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. A. Oh, I see. Yes. I'll just we put many pictures down there on a regular basis that often 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Q. At the desk. Tell me the names of the people whose photographs were up for more than 48 hours at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. A. Oh, I see. Yes. I'll just we put many pictures down there on a regular basis that often stay up for long periods of time about missionaries' 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Q. At the desk. Tell me the names of the people whose photographs were up for more than 48 hours at the desk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. A. Oh, I see. Yes. I'll just we put many pictures down there on a regular basis that often stay up for long periods of time about missionaries' families that we're trying to help, depending on what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Q. At the desk. A. Well, they would be okay. There would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. A. Oh, I see. Yes. I'll just we put many pictures down there on a regular basis that often stay up for long periods of time about missionaries' families that we're trying to help, depending on what the need is. And so I mean 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Q. At the desk. Tell me the names of the people whose photographs were up for more than 48 hours at the desk. A. Well, they would be okay. There would be every year during March, April, we have a week
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So I have turned to Tab 5. What have you done to prepare to testify on Topic 5? Anything different from what you described? A. Yes. I referred to NAMB Supplemental Response to Third Set of Interrogatories listed in Tab 5, that and my personal knowledge. Q. What's your personal knowledge? A. That I'd also anything regarding the picture also includes refer to Tom Wigginton. Q. Sorry. Just to clarify. I think you're confusing me with Topic 4. MR. PERLA: Yeah, I agree. Okay. Read the topic first. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GANT: I didn't mean to interrupt but figured by staying on correct topic. MR. PERLA: This is the other people's pictures. A. Oh, I see. Yes. I'll just we put many pictures down there on a regular basis that often stay up for long periods of time about missionaries' families that we're trying to help, depending on what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. All right. MR. PERLA: Objection. It says lobby slash reception desk. But go ahead. MR. GANT: Right. But my point is at the desk. MR. PERLA: If all you want to know is about at the desk, it could understand to be the lobby generally. Go ahead. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I understand your point. I'm asking about the desk. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Do you have anything to add to the interrogatory response behind Tab 5? That's the response to interrogatory Number 5. Do you have anything to add today on behalf of NAMB? A. No, other than we have multiple pictures down there for long periods of time at the desk. Q. At the desk. A. Well, they would be okay. There would

	Page 26		Page 28
	round. They're up a week at a time. A picture is up		photographs of individuals that were put up at the
1	a week at a time. And so pictures on there, they and		NAMB security or reception desk for more than
	their wife, that type thing, so		48 hours?
4		4	
5	, J 1 J		now, if you want specific names, I don't recall
1	calendar. It's a I guess a celebration they're		specific names, but there are people with specific
1	doing what they're doing, but it's a reminder to pray		needs and so we put those up. And be, say, a baby
1	for them. It's a picture with an explanation of		shower of somebody and we don't you know, there
1	where they are and what they're doing, so		are so many employees that they would drop off their
10			gift in.
11		11	But the picture would be up there, like
12			having the baby, baby shower and community I
13	±		forgot what they call them. They try to be cute with
14			the titles. But those pictures are up there. I
15			can't give you a list of all the babies we have had
	other picture was. I would say under the desk. It's		in the last year. But that is but I don't recall
	right there visible, so the reception desk.		the names.
18		18	
	You're referring to	19	•
20			there are, but
	here.	21	Q. Was Dr. McRaney's photograph put up to
22			celebrate a birth or a life cycle event?
1	you never saw the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the	23	
1	desk, is that right?	24	type of wording around it at all.
25	A. Right.	25	Q. I'm not asking about wording. I'm asking
	Page 27		Page 29
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$			the purpose for which it was put up.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$		$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	
	from the people who told me. NAMB knows.		spoke over. My bad.
4		4	
5		6	celebrate some life cycle event? A. No.
6		7	
9	behind the computer terminal, underneath the ledge.Q. And you're saying that these prayer	0 9	order to urge people to pray for him? A. There was no print on the photo.
	calendars are located	10	
10			Dr. McRaney posted for the purpose of encouraging
11			people to pray for him?
	one another.	12	
13		13	
15		15	
16	•	16	
1	let me finish before you start.	17	A. No one to my knowledge, no one was
18	-		instructed to put up a photo.
10	•	19	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$		20	
	two?	21	Q. Was it a miracle? It got put up without
22			anyone actually doing anything?
23	5		
145	do them every year and have done them since 2000.	23	MIK. FEREA. ODJECTIOII. AIguIIIcitiative.
		23 24	5 0
24		24	5 0

Page 30	Page 32
1 end up being put up?	1 Q. That's the question.
2 A. We told or someone told the receptionist	2 A. I have not talked to him in the last week.
3 to be familiar with this face because and, again,	3 I would refer you in Number 4. I would refer you to
4 I don't know how they explained it. We do that on a	4 Tab 4 and all the information listed there.
5 regular basis. We have guests come in, whether it be	5 Q. Do you see behind the first yellow tab or
6 VIP guests, be familiar with this. You don't want to	6 first yellow slip sheet
7 have the president of whatever coming in and you no	· ·
8 know who they are, and so it's a regular occurrence.	8 Q. Do you know what I mean by slip sheet?
9 Typically, I'm sure it's again, I don't	9 A. Yes.
10 know how she does it, if she has them laying out on	10 Q. So behind the first slip sheet there's a
11 the page, why she, you know, did that. I don't know,	11 document Bates labeled NAMB 5237.
12 but	12 Do you see that?
12 Out 13 Q. We're veering to Topic 4 so let me	13 A. Right. Uh-huh.
14 A. Okay.	14 Q. And you see under owner it says Tom
15 Q finish Topic 5 and we will go to	15 Wigginton?
16 Topic 4. I think you said no, but I just want to	16 A. Right.
17 confirm and close this.	17 Q. So did you discuss or communicate with Tom
18 Do you have any information responsive to	18 Wigginton about this document in order to prepare to
19 Topic 5 other than what's behind the tab in the	19 testify today?
20 notebook and the testimony you've already given?	20 A. No. It was a document produced from NAMB
20 notebook and the testimony you've arready given? 21 A. Today that's it.	21 and deleted it and so didn't see a need to discuss
5	22 it.
23 testimony that Dr. Ezell has given about Topic 5	
24 is different from and not or supplemental to	24 mean?
25 what is in its interrogatory response, my	A. Well, it's a document produced from NAMB
Page 31	Page 33
1 position is we should receive an amended	1 and so I believe it was credible.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton?
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It 6 was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab 9 sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that?
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook?
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. No. 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Tom Wigginton? A. No. Q. Why not? 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. No. 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk?
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It 6 was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not 7 believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab 9 sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color 10 photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab 9 sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph.
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph. Q. Same photograph. And on the bottom of this, there's a
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: In order to prepare to testify 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph. Q. Same photograph. And on the bottom of this, there's a
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Tom Wigginton? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: In order to prepare to testify 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph. Q. And on the bottom of this, there's a website address for Baptist News. Do you see that?
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise communicate with Tom Wigginton? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: In order to prepare to testify about Topic 4, did you speak or otherwise 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph. Q. And on the bottom of this, there's a website address for Baptist News. Do you see that?
 position is we should receive an amended interrogatory because the 30(b)(6) does not obviate the obligation to provide a full and complete response. So if that's NAMB's position, we expect an updated interrogatory by the end of today. MR. PERLA: I'll acknowledge your position and do you the courtesy of not arguing about it now. I'll just say we'll respond in due course. Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go on to Topic 4. In order to prepare to testify about Tom Wigginton? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Refer can you go back and repeat the question? Q. Go ahead. (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: In order to prepare to testify 	 and so I believe it was credible. Q. And you didn't think it was important to discuss the document with Tom Wigginton? MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative. A. I didn't. It seemed self-explanatory. It was produced by NAMB and so I had no reason to not believe it. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's behind the next tab sorry, the next slip sheet? There's a color photograph. What is that? A. It's a picture of Mr. McRaney back in 2016. Q. Why is that in the notebook? A. To refer to the picture that was placed there. Q. Is this the actual photograph that was posted at the desk? A. You know, if it's it's the actual one. It's the it's the same photograph. Q. And on the bottom of this, there's a website address for Baptist News. Do you see that?

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 11 of 128 PageID #: 3140

Page 34	Page 36
1 Q. You know that or you're assuming it?	1 actually triggered why we put the letter up or why
2 A. Well, I mean, it's a NAMB document and, you	2 I say put it up why we began to when
3 know, I trust the validity of it.	3 Mr. McRaney sent this, it turned the temperature
4 Q. But no one told you that the photograph was	4 turned, the tone turned, and that's when we began to
5 obtained from that website, did they?	5 set into place steps to be more cautious.
6 A. Well, I mean, it's a NAMB document that	6 Q. As I understand it tell me if I'm
7 I mean, this is it's a printed source of where the	7 wrong
8 photo came from.	8 A. Okay.
9 Q. Okay. So that's your testimony, the photo	9 Q or you don't know who gave the
10 was obtained by NAMB from the Baptist Press website	10 instruction to post the photograph of Dr. McRaney?
11 address?	11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Assumes a fact
12 A. Yes.	12 that no foundation. Go ahead.
13 Q. Okay. And if you look it's a little bit	13 A. Tom Wigginton was instructed to make the
14 of obscured, but to the right it has the date	14 front desk aware of the issue that there's a
15 February 5, 2016.	15 potential need for caution issue here and make sure
16 Do you see that?	16 the front desk recognizes his face.
17 A. Yes.	17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Who gave that instruction to
18 Q. Is that the date that NAMB obtained the	18 Tom Wigginton?
19 photograph of Dr. McRaney from the Baptist Press	19 A. I told Tom just make sure the front desk is
20 website?	20 at least aware. People come and say, I'm here to see
21 A. Well, it's a NAMB document and so I believe	21 Kevin. We're very informal. And and and I
22 in the validity of what's printed.	22 said, look, this it didn't 99 percent of the
23 Q. Now, was the photograph of Dr. McRaney	23 people coming in our building are all friendlies. We
24 posted at the NAMB security desk on February 5th,	24 don't know that about but this has escalated to a
25 2016?	25 point where we just need to be cautious and don't
Page 35	Page 37
1 A. I would refer you to any previous testimony	1 give access to you know, you can't get past the
2 of what's included in here about the exact date.	2 first floor without a key card, so
3 Q. Well, I'm asking you. You're here to	3 Q. So the instruction that Tom Wigginton
4 testify on behalf of NAMB.	4 implemented, that's reflected in NAMB 5237, came from
5 A. Right.	5 you?
6 Q. I'm asking for a definitive answer from	6 A. In a conversation again, it came from
7 NAMB on that question. On what date was the	7 me it came from a meeting I was in where someone
8 photograph of Dr. McRaney posted at the security desk	8 in that meeting and I think I was in the
9 in the lobby of NAMB's headquarters?	9 meeting said, hey, look, we at least need to make
10 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered.	10 sure the front desk knows about this, and that's when
11 A. I feel like I've answered that.	11 Tom's job was to go and do it. To say that I said
12 Q. (By Mr. Gant) What's the date?	12 it, I don't know. I can't remember if I said it.
13 A. I would say it's based on any of the	13 Someone in that meeting said it.
14 information you have underneath that tab. And the	14 Q. Do you actually remember the meeting?
15 exact time and date, I was not there to see it	15 A. I do remember it. I remember heavens,
16 personally.	16 yeah, I remember because this was a turning point for
17 Q. All right. So NAMB is not certain is	17 us when this
18 your position that NAMB is not certain on what date	18 MR. PERLA: You can't just say "this."
19 the photograph was posted or are you saying you,	19 You've got to
20 sitting here as an individual, aren't sure?	20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
A. I to my knowledge, it was posted in or	21 MR. PERLA: say what you're referring
22 around that date.	22 to.
23 Q. All right. The first document behind	23 A. The document, um, um
24 Tab 4, why is it in the notebook?	24 Q. (By Mr. Gant) The first document behind
25 A. I think this would relate to this	25 Tab 4?

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 12 of 128 PageID #: 3141

Page 40
1 accumulation of an understanding we need to be more
2 cautious.
3 Q. Do you have anything to add to your answer?
4 A. No, sir.
5 Q. On what date was the photograph of
6 Dr. McRaney removed from the security desk at NAMB's
7 headquarters?
8 A. I'm not I am not fully aware of the
9 exact date.
10 Q. What, if anything, did you do to
11 investigate that?
12 A. I did not investigate that.
13 Q. Why not?
14 MR. PERLA: Objection. Argumentative.
15 MR. GANT: That's not argumentative. It's 16 right there in black and white
16 right there in black and white.17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have the notice in
18 front of you?
19 A. The notice.
20 Q. Exhibit 1, the deposition notice.
21 A. Yes, uh-huh.
22 Q. Do you have Topic 4 in front of you?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. Do you see on the third line of
25 Topic 4 it says, This includes identification of the
Page 41
1 date on which the photo was first fixed, posted, or
2 placed and the date on which it was removed?
3 A. Uh-huh.
4 Q. Do you see that language
5 A. Yes.
6 Q the date on which it was removed?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. You understood that you were
9 A. It would be my understanding again,
10 don't know the exact date, but it was in around the
11 time when we had communications from Rick when we
12 first realized that the association from
13 Jacksonville that I testified yesterday.
14 Q. Do you want help?
15 A. I do. I don't remember his name.
16 Q. Rick Wheeler?
17 A. Rick Wheeler. When I realized that someone
18 reached over and he had the someone brought it up.
e 1
19 That was when Rick and I realized it was actually
19 That was when Rick and I realized it was actually
19 That was when Rick and I realized it was actually20 down there. And someone took it down. I'm not sure
19 That was when Rick and I realized it was actually20 down there. And someone took it down. I'm not sure21 who. But, again, it was right around that event they
19 That was when Rick and I realized it was actually20 down there. And someone took it down. I'm not sure21 who. But, again, it was right around that event they22 took it down.

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

Page 42	Page 44
1 Q. Remember you need to wait for me to finish.	1 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes.
2 A. Okay. I thought you were.	2 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So we're going to when we
3 Q. I wasn't.	3 get a copy of the photograph, we'll come back to this
4 So you don't know the date? Is that the	4 topic and complete it.
5 A. I don't know the date.	5 A. Okay.
6 Q. Okay. And you said a moment ago unless	6 Q. But other than that, do you have anything
7 you want to change your testimony in order in	7 else to add on behalf of NAMB regarding Topic 4?
8 preparation for today's deposition, you did not	8 A. No.
9 investigate the date on which the photograph was	9 Q. Okay. Let's go to Topic 3. I see behind
10 removed. Did you or did you not investigate that in	10 Tab 3 NAMB has placed its Responses to Plaintiff's
11 order to prepare to testify?	11 Second Set of Interrogatories. Are those responses
12 A. Did not investigate it.	12 accurate and complete and fully up to date?
13 Q. Continuing on the Topic 4, it asks the	13 A. Yes.
14 number of people who are present or passed through	14 Q. NAMB has nothing to add or supplement to
15 the lobby during the period when the photo was fixed.	
16 Did you do anything to investigate that?	16 A. No.
17 A. No. There's no way to go back and recount	17 Q. Did you have any personal knowledge of
18 people from years ago and so there's no way to	18 Topic 3 or is everything you know based on what you
19 investigate it. We don't have a recording of that	19 learned in preparation for the deposition?
20 foyer where we could go back that many years and	20 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
21 count how many people went by there.	21 A. I would say I would refer you back to
	22 the interrogatory.
23 and layout of the lobby and the desk during the	23 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You didn't have any personal
24 period when the photo was fixed, posted or placed at	24 knowledge?
25 the desk. Did you identify a photograph that	25 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
Page 43 1 reflected that?	Page 45 1 A. I would refer you back to the
2 A. We have we have some scales that we have	-
	2 interrogatory. 3 O (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the
3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the
3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it.	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response?
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully.
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum?
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes.
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum?
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did.
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 	 3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? 6 A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. 9 Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything else with George
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, I would like him 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything else with George 19 regarding Topic 3 in preparation for today's
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, I would like him 20 to confirm that that's what it is, so do you 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? A. Yes. Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. Q. Did you discuss anything else with George 19 regarding Topic 3 in preparation for today's 20 deposition?
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, I would like him 20 to confirm that that's what it is, so do you 21 have the photo I don't know why it's not in 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything else with George 19 regarding Topic 3 in preparation for today's 20 deposition? 21 A. Regarding nothing other than that he
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, I would like him 20 to confirm that that's what it is, so do you 21 have the photo I don't know why it's not in 22 the notebook. 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything else with George 19 regarding Topic 3 in preparation for today's 20 deposition? 21 A. Regarding nothing other than that he 22 confirmed it.
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, I would like him 20 to confirm that that's what it is, so do you 21 have the photo I don't know why it's not in 22 MS. HERRINGTON: We can do that on a break. 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything else with George 19 regarding Topic 3 in preparation for today's 20 deposition? 21 A. Regarding nothing other than that he 22 confirmed it. 23 Q. Have you ever seen the Separation
 3 used I mean, a you know, a drawing of how it 4 used to be, but I didn't investigate any of it. 5 Q. We were given by NAMB some photographs, but 6 they didn't explain what they were. Did you look at 7 any of those photographs 8 A. I've seen the photographs, yes. 9 Q that were produced to us? 10 A. That well, I don't know. I haven't seen 11 what was produced to you. But I've seen photographs 12 of the original, and I worked there for five or six 13 years before we remodeled it, so 14 MR. GANT: I would just like to have an 15 official record. Was one of the photographs 16 that you gave us what it looked like prior to 17 the renovation? 18 MS. HERRINGTON: Yes. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, I would like him 20 to confirm that that's what it is, so do you 21 have the photo I don't know why it's not in 22 the notebook. 	 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you supply any of the 4 information that's set out in the interrogatory 5 response? A. No. I would refer you to in the previous 7 testimonies of my staff, or the interrogatory 8 explains it fully. Q. You see at the back of the interrogatories 10 on Page 5 there's a verification from George 11 McCallum? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. In preparing to provide testimony today on 14 behalf of NAMB, did you specifically discuss Topic 3 15 with George McCallum? 16 A. I specifically asked him if he confirmed 17 all of these and he did. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything else with George 19 regarding Topic 3 in preparation for today's 20 deposition? 21 A. Regarding nothing other than that he 22 confirmed it.

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 14 of 128 PageID #: 3143

Page 46	Page 48
1 Q. When did you first see it?	1 A. Okay. I'm just trying to
2 A. I don't recall when I first saw it.	2 MR. PERLA: Don't guess.
3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Separation	3 MR. GANT: I appreciate that.
4 Agreement and Release, 7/16/15, marked for	4 THE WITNESS: Okay.
5 identification.)	5 Q. (By Mr. Gant) The function of this
6 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Please let me know when	6 deposition is to get NAMB's testimony clearly and
7 you're ready for a question.	7 completely
8 A. Okay. I mean, do you want me to read the	8 A. Okay.
9 whole thing over again?	9 Q so assumptions unlike yesterday where
10 Q. Whatever you if you want to wait for a	10 assumptions, you know, may have some usefulness and
11 question, that's fine. You can read the whole thing	11 relevance, here we want to be very clear about
12 now if you would like, although I don't think you	12 A. Okay.
13 need to.	13 Q what NAMB is testifying about. Okay?
14 A. Whatever you prefer. I'm not trying to	14 A. Okay.
15 delay it.	15 Q. And you have the Separation Agreement in
16 Q. Let me I just want you to have it in	16 front of you, correct? Exhibit 2.
17 front of you. I appreciate it.	17 A. I do.
18 If you could turn to Page 2 of NAMB's	18 Q. Can you turn to the back page, Page 8. Do
19 interrogatory response to interrogatory 4, which is	19 you see the signatures?
20 behind Tab 3.	20 A. Yes.
21 Okay. Do you see on the second page it	21 Q. Dr. McRaney signed the agreement, correct?
22 says and there's the first full paragraph, subject	A. I don't know his signature, but, I mean,
23 to and without waiving its objections.	23 there's a signature above his name.
24 Do you see that?	24 Q. Okay. Fair enough. There's a line for his
25 A. Yes.	25 name and there's a signature.
Page 47	Page 49
1 450 47	I uge +>
1 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best	1 And then you see there's a section for
1 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best 2 of its knowledge, information and belief as follows,	
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct?
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct?
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct?
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident 	 And then you see there's a section for 2 BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this?
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on there.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. Tim confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. Q. Why do you think that? 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) And none of those from are
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. Q. Why do you think that? A. I mean, typically everything anybody 	 And then you see there's a section for BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) And none of those from are from NAMB, correct?
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. Q. Why do you think that? A. I mean, typically everything anybody else we send that to, he's our own internal counsel. 	 And then you see there's a section for 2 BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) And none of those from are from NAMB, correct?
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. Q. Why do you think that? A. I mean, typically everything anybody else we send that to, he's our own internal counsel. Q. You're making an assumption? 	 And then you see there's a section for 2 BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no 14 there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on 18 there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) And none of those from are from NAMB, correct? A. Right. Q. Okay. You can put that aside.
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. Tim confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. Q. Why do you think that? A. I mean, typically everything anybody else we send that to, he's our own internal counsel. Q. You're making an assumption? 	 And then you see there's a section for 2 BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no 14 there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) And none of those from are from NAMB, correct? A. Right. Q. Okay. You can put that aside. If you could turn in the Notice to the last
 Q. And then it says, NAMB responds to the best of its knowledge, information and belief as follows, and then there are subparagraphs (A) through (F). 4 Are all of those responses accurate and complete? A. Yes. Q. In Paragraph D do you still have that in front of you, Page 2? A. Yes, sir. Q. Paragraph D says, NAMB first obtained a copy of the Separation Agreement after plaintiff filed his lawsuit against NAMB. It was provided to NAMB via counsel for BCMD. Do you know what counsel for BCMD provided it and to whom? A. I'm confident Q. I'm just asking what you know. A. What counsel what counsel, no. But I'm confident it was to George to George. Q. Why do you think that? A. I mean, typically everything anybody else we send that to, he's our own internal counsel. Q. You're making an assumption? 	 And then you see there's a section for 2 BCMD? A. Yes, sir. Q. And they signed as well, correct? A. Again, I don't know their signatures, but I 6 assume those are correct. Q. And on the signature page no one from NAMB 8 signed this, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that correct? MR. PERLA: You can answer if you can answer. A. I mean, there's nobody there's no 14 there's three signatures on there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) No one from NAMB signed this? MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead. A. There's no there's three signatures on 18 there. Q. (By Mr. Gant) And none of those from are from NAMB, correct? A. Right. Q. Okay. You can put that aside.

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 15 of 128 PageID #: 3144

Page 50	Page 52
1 Q. Sorry. Paragraph Topic 18.	1 events of 2014-15.
2 A. Uh-huh.	2 Q. By doing what?
3 Q. You said you prepared to testify on all the	3 A. By just reviewing I reviewed e-mails and
4 topics, is that right?	4 that type of thing and then and that would be it.
5 A. Yes.	5 Q. Okay.
6 Q. What did you do to prepare to testify	6 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, NAMB's Privilege
7 regarding Topic 18?	7 Log, marked for identification.)
8 A. I've confirmed that all the documents we	8 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 3 is entitled NAMB
9 prepared were authentic.	9 Privilege Log. Please take a look at it briefly and
10 Q. So all the doc excuse me.	10 let me know when you're ready for a question. As
11 All the documents that were produced by	11 always, as we discussed yesterday, if at any time you
12 NAMB in this litigation are authentic?	12 want more time to review an exhibit, please let me
13 A. Yes.	13 know.
14 Q. Let's go to 17. I have a tab in my	14 My first question is going to be, have you
15 notebook, but it's blank behind it. Is there	15 ever seen Exhibit 3 before?
16 something behind 17 in yours?	16 A. I have seen I've seen it.
17 A. No. No, sir.	17 Q. When did you see it?
18 MR. GANT: And, Tim, is it supposed to be	18 A. I don't recall the exact time.
19 empty?	19 Q. I'm sorry. Were you done?
20 MR. PERLA: It's supposed to be empty.	20 A. But that was him this time, it was not me.
21 MR. GANT: Okay.	21 Q. Did you review Exhibit 3 in preparation for
22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did you do to prepare to	22 today's deposition?
23 testify regarding Topic 17?	23 A. I reviewed it.
24 A. The fact that NAMB is properly let me	24 Q. If you look at the first page, you'll
25 read 17 if I could.	25 see well, strike that.
Page 51	Page 53
Page 51 1 Q. Sure, of course.	Page 53 1 I think all of these entries are in
1 Q. Sure, of course.	1 I think all of these entries are in
1 Q. Sure, of course.	-
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motion
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery Material,
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.)
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out what you Q. I'm just trying to find out what, if 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motio to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: I only have one.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out what you Q. I'm just trying to find out what, if anything, you did to prepare to testify regarding 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: I only have one. MR. PERLA: Okay. What is it?
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out what you Q. I'm just trying to find out what, if anything, you did to prepare to testify regarding 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motio to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: I only have one. MR. GANT: I'm just going to ask about the
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out what you Q. I'm just trying to find out what, if anything, you did to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17. A. NAMB has properly withheld communications 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: I only have one. MR. GANT: I'm just going to ask about the line that's in the topic.
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out what you Q. I'm just trying to find out what, if anything, you did to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17. A. NAMB has properly withheld communications from just discussed with my counsel on everything 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: I only have one. MR. PERLA: Okay. What is it? MR. PERLA: I understand. Go ahead. Thank
 Q. Sure, of course. A. "Factual basis supporting your contentions that NAMB has properly withheld communications from NAMB." I would we produced everything that was appropriate and MR. PERLA: Go ahead. A. And I'm just a little confused on what you're wanting here. We've not withheld anything other than what was privileged. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I think my question was what did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17? What's the answer? A. I know in December you know, when we were notified, you know, from I'm making sure we had the appropriate documents. I know Mr. Wigginton made sure our documents were retained, if that's what you're trying to I'm just trying to figure out what you Q. I'm just trying to find out what, if anything, you did to prepare to testify regarding Topic 17. A. NAMB has properly withheld communications 	 I think all of these entries are in chronological order as best I can tell and I think that was the intention. But if we look at the first page, we should see the earliest entry. Do you see the left column it says doc date? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand doc there is short for document? A. Right. Q. So the earliest entry in NAMB's Privilege Log is from November 16, 2014. Do you see that? A. Yes. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Motio to Compel Production of Discovery Material, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: I only have one. MR. GANT: I'm just going to ask about the line that's in the topic.

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 16 of 128 PageID #: 3145

Page 54	Page 56
1 is entitled Memorandum in Support of NAMB's Response	1 Q. Who created NAMB 7673?
2 to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production and	2 A. Someone at NAMB, I'm sure. I'm I'm sure
3 Discovery Material. It was filed in the case as	3 they went together. I don't know exactly who
4 Document 169.	4 produced it. I don't know who produced it.
5 Do you have that in front of you?	5 Q. And yesterday what we discussed is your
6 A. Yes.	6 understanding of the general process that your
7 Q. Have you ever seen this before?	7 that Janet Weber would undertake
8 A. Again, I may have seen just general	8 A. Uh-huh.
9 lawyer our attorneys' in gen I've seen it,	9 Q when you received a gift, correct?
10 but I've not read it in detail.	10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Okay. Can you look back at Topic 17, the	11 Q. And as we discussed yesterday, if I
12 text of it, in the Notice marked as Exhibit 1.	12 characterize your testimony, I'm not trying to put
13 A. Okay.	13 words in your mouth.
14 Q. Do you see that the topic asks, "The	14 A. I understand.
15 factual basis supporting your contention that, quote,	15 Q. If I get it wrong, it's inadvertent.
16 'NAMB has properly withheld communications from	16 But I understood your testimony yesterday
17 November and December 2014 involving NAMB's counsel,	
18 counsel's advice, and/or counsel's work product,'	18 and send a note in response to a gift, but that you
19 close quotes, and that Dr. McRaney had already placed	19 didn't have a specific memory of that happening in
20 himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB	20 this instance, is that right?
21 feared litigation would result."	21 A. I have a specific memory of her telling me
22 Do you see that?	22 the gift came because she made a joke in reference to
23 A. Yes.	23 it and we laughed and then but I don't know if I
24 Q. And then there are two citations to this	24 was there, it was on the phone, in person. I don't
25 document. Do you see those in the topic?	25 remember that. But I do remember because she's a bi
Page 55	Page 57
1 A. Yes.	
	1 sarcastic and humorous and
2 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already3 placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such	2 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made
2 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already	2 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh?
2 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already3 placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such4 that NAMB feared litigation would result?	 2 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? 4 A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe.
 2 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already 3 placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such 4 that NAMB feared litigation would result? 5 A. Yes. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because?
 2 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already 3 placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such 4 that NAMB feared litigation would result? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. You can put that aside for the moment. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Tet's go to Topic 14. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney.
 2 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already 3 placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such 4 that NAMB feared litigation would result? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. You can put that aside for the moment. 7 Let's go to Topic 14. 8 Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious.
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious.
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. And it was a plant and she scanned it, so
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually?
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? A. It was a joke.
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? A. It was a joke. Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. 10 A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so 12 Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? 13 A. It was a joke. 14 Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it?
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. 10 A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so 12 Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? 13 A. It was a joke. 14 Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it? 16 A. I don't know. I assume. You never know
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? A. It was a joke. Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't actually scan it? A. I don't know. I assume. You never know with Janet.
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness A. No. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? A. It was a joke. Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't actually scan it? A. I don't know. I assume. You never know with Janet. Q. Did you ask her to scan or evaluate the
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness A. No. Q regarding Topic 14 in the deposition 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. 10 A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so 12 Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? 13 A. It was a joke. 14 Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it? 16 A. I don't know. I assume. You never know 17 with Janet. 18 Q. Did you ask her to scan or evaluate the 19 plant to make sure that it was not posing a safety
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness A. No. Q regarding Topic 14 in the deposition 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. 10 A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so 12 Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? 13 A. It was a joke. 14 Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it? 16 A. I don't know. I assume. You never know 17 with Janet. 18 Q. Did you ask her to scan or evaluate the 19 plant to make sure that it was not posing a safety 20 risk?
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness A. No. Q regarding Topic 14 in the deposition notice? A. No. 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. 10 A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so 12 Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? 13 A. It was a joke. 14 Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it? 16 A. I don't know. I assume. You never know 17 with Janet. 18 Q. Did you ask her to scan or evaluate the 19 plant to make sure that it was not posing a safety 20 risk? 21 A. Did I ask her to scan it?
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness A. No. Q regarding Topic 14 in the deposition notice? A. No. Q. I see behind the tab in the notebook there 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? A. It was a joke. Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it? A. I don't know. I assume. You never know 17 with Janet. Q. Did you ask her to scan or evaluate the 19 plant to make sure that it was not posing a safety 20 risk? Q. Or otherwise evaluate it to make sure that
 Q. Is it true that Dr. McRaney had already placed himself in conflict with NAMB in 2014 such that NAMB feared litigation would result? A. Yes. Q. You can put that aside for the moment. Let's go to Topic 14. Now, we discussed NAMB 7672 yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is the testimony you gave yesterday in your 30(b)(1) deposition regarding NAMB 7672 accurate and complete? A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you have anything to add on behalf of NAMB to the testimony that you provided yesterday as a 30(b)(1) witness A. No. Q regarding Topic 14 in the deposition notice? A. No. Q. I see behind the tab in the notebook there 	 Q. Do you remember what her joke was that made 3 you laugh? A. Yeah. She said, I scanned it. It's safe. Q. And that was a joke because? A. Because we were being cautious regarding 7 Mr. McRaney. Q. Well, but you said it was a joke so she 9 wasn't being serious. A. Well, she knew that we were being cautious. 11 And it was a plant and she scanned it, so Q. How did she scan it? You mean visually? A. It was a joke. Q. Okay. So she didn't do it? She didn't 15 actually scan it? A. I don't know. I assume. You never know 17 with Janet. Q. Did you ask her to scan or evaluate the 19 plant to make sure that it was not posing a safety 20 risk? Q. Or otherwise evaluate it to make sure that

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 17 of 128 PageID #: 3146

Page 58	Page 60
1 Q. We'll have a video she can watch.	1 they were just being overly cautious to make sure
2 A. Okay. Trust me, she will want to. But no,	2 that they were aware that it looked like it was
3 I didn't ask her to scan it.	3 headed that way.
4 Q. Or do anything else to evaluate that it was	4 Q. Can you take out deposition Exhibit 2?
5 safe?	5 A. Oh, I'm sorry.
6 A. Well, I asked her to poke down in there and	6 Q. As part of your preparation for today's
7 see if she could find a no. No, I did not.	7 deposition, did you investigate whether the existence
8 Sorry.	8 of the Separation Agreement and Release marked as
9 Q. I'm sure you could send a poison plant.	9 Exhibit 2 was ever brought to the attention of
10 You should ask Vladimir Putin.	10 Gallagher or NAMB's insurers?
11 A. Yeah. Yeah, you're right. You know, it's	11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
12 a new day. But, no, she was joking, I'm sure.	12 A. We were aware that we were covered in the
13 Q. So and your counsel's welcome to weigh	13 release because we were supporting partner, but
14 in if they'd like.	14 but I don't know where how that was communicated
15 So when I asked you to confirm that you had	15 to the attorneys I mean to the insurance or not.
16 nothing to add from yesterday's testimony regarding	16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I move to strike the first
17 Topic 14, can we treat your testimony from yesterday	17 part of the response.
18 regarding Topic 14 regarding NAMB 7672 and the	18 My question is only about whether if and
19 related circumstances as NAMB's 30(b)(6) testimony?	19 whether the existence of the Separation Agreement and
20 MR. PERLA: NAMB adopts all such testimony	20 Release marked as Exhibit 2
21 as its 30(b)(6) deposition.	21 A. Right.
22 MR. GANT: Regarding document 7672?	22 Q was brought to the attention of
23 MR. PERLA: Correct. I was trying not to	23 Arthur J. Gallagher or NAMB's insurers.
24 bother paraphrasing. I agree with what you	24 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. And
25 said.	25 precaution, I also object on the grounds of
Page 59	Page 61
1 MR. GANT: Okay. Thank you.	1 privilege. To the extent you have any
2 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Let's go to Topic 8. Do you	2 privileged information, I instruct you not to
3 know what Gallagher Arthur Gallagher is?	3 give it.
4 A. It's our insurance company.	4 THE WITNESS: Okay.
5 Q. It's your insurer?	5 MR. GANT: Well, he's here to testify as a
6 A. Insurer.	6 30(b)(6) witness, so even if he learned the
7 Q. Are you sure about that?	7 answer to that question from counsel, that is
8 A. Pretty confident. I would refer you to the	8 not privileged.
9 e-mails listed from Tina Hudson and correspondence to	9 MR. PERLA: That's not what I'm talking
10 Clark Logan regarding those.	10 about. It is possible to have privileged
11 Q. Can you tell me what you did to prepare to	11 communications with one's insurer so
12 testify regarding Topic 8 specifically?	12 MR. GANT: I assume you're aware that
13 A. I reviewed the documents included in the	13 Gallagher produced reams of documents in this
14 binder.	14 case, correct?
15 Q. Anything else?	15 MR. PERLA: And I'm not talking about
16 A. No.	16 those. I'm not excluding the possibility that
17 Q. Did you have any independent knowledge that	17 there were also work product communications with
18 you brought to the preparation for Topic 8 or did you	18 insurer. I don't think he knows about them, but
19 rely entirely on what's behind that tab in the	19 I'm not waiving that objection.
20 notebook?	20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So do you know the answer to
21 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	21 my question?
22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm sorry. I couldn't hear	22 A. I'm not aware of any.
23 you.	23 Q. Okay. So you're not aware of any strike
A. No. I understood I had knowledge that	24 that.
25 we contacted our insurance company when we did, and	25 You're not aware strike that.

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 18 of 128 PageID #: 3147

Page 62	Page 64
1 Testifying on behalf of NAMB today, you're	1 Q. When you say you're familiar with the
2 not able to identify for me whether or when NAMB	2 letter filed, what do you mean?
3 brought to the attention of Gallagher or NAMB's	3 A. The letter submitted to the U.S. Court of
4 insurers the existence of the Separation Agreement	4 Appeals, Fifth Circuit.
5 and Release marked as Exhibit 2?	5 Q. When you say you're familiar with it, I'm
6 MR. PERLA: Same objection.	6 trying to understand
7 You have to answer.	7 A. We don't have I don't have any
8 A. Oh, I'm sorry. No.	8 communications.
9 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You can put Exhibit 2 back	9 Q. And remember this is about what NAMB knows.
10 for now.	10 A. Right.
11 Does the material behind Tab 8 in the	11 Q. Did you review the letter sent to the Court
12 notebook constitute all of the written communications	12 of Appeals, that you were just referring to, in order
13 between NAMB and either Gallagher or NAMB's insurers?	13 to prepare for today's deposition?
14 MR. PERLA: Object to that question on the	14 A. I just I scanned scanned it.
15 basis of attorney-client privilege and attorney	15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, E-mail to Eiland
16 work product. You can otherwise answer.	16 from cmecf_caseprocessing@ca5.uscourts.gov,
17 A. To my knowledge, other than privileged,	17 12/14/20, Bates stamped ERLC 86 - 90, marked for
18 yes, to my knowledge.	18 identification.)
19 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know whether there was	19 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 5 is Bates labeled
20 any privileged material that was not included behind	20 ERLC86 through 90. The letter that I believe you
21 Tab 8?	21 were referring to starts on the third page of the
22 A. Yes. Again	22 exhibit, Page ERLC 88, and goes through 90. Is that
23 MR. PERLA: You can answer yes or no.	23 the letter you were referring to?
24 Don't disclose the contents of any privileged	24 A. Yes.
25 material.	25 Q. Okay. Did NAMB have any written
Page 63	Page 65
1 A. Okay. No.	1 communications with anyone relating to the drafts or
2 MR. GANT: And I'll just point out, Tim	2 final versions of this letter we're looking at as
3 I may be wrong, but I don't remember from NAMB's	3 part of Exhibit 5?
4 Privilege Log the identification of any	4 A. No.
5 privileged documents that would fall under the	5 Q. Did NAMB have any in my prior question,
6 scope of the objections you're making.	6 did you understand by NAMB I was including its
7 So if there are any and I understand you	7 counsel or did you not understand that? Do you want
8 may just do belts and suspenders there	8 me to ask it again?
9 actually isn't anything, but if there is, it	9 A. I'm not aware of any communication on
10 should have been logged and we should have	10 behalf of NAMB to ERLC.
11 received that information before today's	11 Q. Okay. Let me ask it again.
12 deposition. And we still would want it, an	12 A. Okay.
13 updated log, notwithstanding the late hour in	13 Q. Did anyone at NAMB, including NAMB's
14 the discovery period.	14 counsel, communicate with anyone regarding any drafts
15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have any other	15 or the final version of this letter to the Fifth
16 testimony to provide regarding Topic 8 that you have	16 Circuit that we're looking at as part of Exhibit 5?
17 not already provided?	17 MR. PERLA: Asked and answered. Go ahead.
18 A. No.	18 A. I feel like I have answered that. I'm not
19 Q. Okay. Let's go to 7.	19 aware of any.
20 Okay. That's an easy read. Are there any	20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did anyone from NAMB,
21 documents behind Tab 7?	21 including its counsel, have any oral communications
22 A. No.	22 with anyone regarding any draft or the final version
23 Q. Why not?	23 of the letter to the Fifth Circuit that's contained
A. Well, I'm familiar with the communication,	24 as part of Exhibit 5?
25 the letter filed.	25 A. Yeah. I'm not aware of any.

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

Page 66	Page 68
1 Q. What did you do, if anything, to	1 Q. Sure. At any point between when the Fifth
2 investigate those issues in order to prepare to	2 Circuit amicus brief from the ERLC and Thomas More
3 testify today?	3 Society was filed until the time a correction letter
4 A. I did not investigate I did not	4 was filed, are you aware of any communications
5 investigate anything in regards to for that	5 between NAMB and anyone within the SBC, by which I
6 number.	6 mean executive committee or its counsel?
7 Q. Why not?	7 A. The executive committee or counsel limited
8 A. I mean, it was a it's a correction from	8 to that. Ronnie Floyd contacted me, said, what do
9 ERLC. I mean, to me, when I reviewed it, they need	9 you know about this? I said, I don't know anything
10 no help understanding that they should have you	10 about it. He did you're in the same building with
11 know, they were correcting their own mistake so it	11 Russ. Go up a floor and ask him. And that was it.
12 wasn't a	12 Q. By Russ, you mean Russell Moore?
13 Q. What do you mean they need no help?	12 Q. By Russ, you mean Russen Moore . 13 A. Russ Moore. I'm sorry.
14 A. I mean, it was a very easy fix because it	14 Q. I know who he is, but I just want a clear
15 was it's an obvious to me it was just an	15 record. You're referring to Russell Moore, who was
16 obvious mistake, and if they had completely read the	16 then head of the ERLC?
17 first, perhaps it would not have happened again. We	17 A. Yes.
18 had nothing to do with this and so that's why I	1718Q. And do you remember when this communication
19 didn't investigate.	19 from Ronnie Floyd occurred?
20 Q. At any point did NAMB or its counsel reach	20 A. I'm sure it was around 5:30 or 6 o'clock.
21 out to the Thomas More Society or the ERLC and	21 O. What date?
22 discuss with them the possibility of ERLC or the	22 A. I don't know. We always talked 5:30. I
23 Thomas More Society correcting the errors in the	23 don't know what day.
24 amicus brief that they submitted to the Fifth	24 Q. Do you know what month it was?
25 Circuit?	 25 A. It would be within a week of when this came
Page 67	
1 A. No, I'm not aware of any of that.	1 out.
2 Q. Did you investigate that to prepare to	2 Q. When you say "this came out," you mean the
3 testify today?	3 filing of the amicus brief?
4 A. Did I investigate it? No, sir.	4 A. Filing of the amicus brief, yeah. It would
5 Q. Do you know strike that.	5 be within that week. He was just trying to find out,
6 Did NAMB communicate with anyone from the	
	e 6 you know I mean, it was just a conversation. It
7 SBC regarding the errors in the amicus brief	 e 6 you know I mean, it was just a conversation. It 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't
7 SBC regarding the errors in the amicus brief8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More	
	7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't
8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More	7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't8 so
8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More9 Society and the ERLC?	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the
8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More9 Society and the ERLC?10 A. What do you mean by SBC?	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking.
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable?
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief.
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 17 being made? 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just 17 listening to what he has to say.
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 17 being made? 18 MR. GANT: That's fair. I'm talking about 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just 17 listening to what he has to say. 18 MR. PERLA: Okay.
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 17 being made? 18 MR. GANT: That's fair. I'm talking about 19 up until the point that the letter correcting 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just 17 listening to what he has to say. 18 MR. PERLA: Okay. 19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, Opposed Motion for
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 17 being made? 18 MR. GANT: That's fair. I'm talking about 19 up until the point that the letter correcting 20 the errors was submitted to the Fifth Circuit. 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just 17 listening to what he has to say. 18 MR. PERLA: Okay. 19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, Opposed Motion for 20 Leave to File AMICI Curiae Brief on Behalf of
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 17 being made? 18 MR. GANT: That's fair. I'm talking about 19 up until the point that the letter correcting 20 the errors was submitted to the Fifth Circuit. 21 MR. PERLA: Thank you. 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just 17 listening to what he has to say. 18 MR. PERLA: Okay. 19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, Opposed Motion for 20 Leave to File AMICI Curiae Brief on Behalf of 21 The Thomas More Society and The Ethics and
 8 submitted to the Fifth Circuit by the Thomas More 9 Society and the ERLC? 10 A. What do you mean by SBC? 11 Q. I mean the executive committee or counsel 12 for the executive committee. 13 MR. PERLA: So any communication he's 14 like, if he had it two weeks ago, does that 15 count in the question, or are you just limiting 16 it to the time period of when the correction is 17 being made? 18 MR. GANT: That's fair. I'm talking about 19 up until the point that the letter correcting 20 the errors was submitted to the Fifth Circuit. 21 MR. PERLA: Thank you. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you understand the 	 7 was just a call that if I knew anything. I didn't 8 so 9 MR. PERLA: I'll object. I think the 10 witness is answering a different question than 11 the one you're asking. 12 MR. GANT: That's objectionable? 13 MR. PERLA: If you're misleading the 14 witness, yes. You know he's talking about after 15 the correction, not after the brief. 16 MR. GANT: I don't know what I'm just 17 listening to what he has to say. 18 MR. PERLA: Okay. 19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, Opposed Motion for 20 Leave to File AMICI Curiae Brief on Behalf of 21 The Thomas More Society and The Ethics and 22 Religious Liberty Commission in Support of

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 20 of 128 PageID #: 3149

	Page 70	Page 72
1	handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 6, which is	1 Q. The SBC?
	the amicus brief filed by the Thomas More Society and	2 A. Of the SBC.
	ERLC.	3 Q. Tell me everything you remember about that
4		4 conversation.
	August 20, 2020?	5 A. I've already testified. It was, do you
6		6 know anything about this? I know nothing about it.
7		7 You need to talk to Russ.
	never read this brief, correct?	8 Q. Well, he must have said something before,
9		9 do you know anything about this, or you wouldn't have
10		10 understood what he was referring to.
11	Have you ever read this brief marked as	11 A. Did you did you
	Exhibit 6?	12 Q. So what did he say?
13		13 A. Have you seen did you hear did you
14		14 see the brief? I'm sure again, I don't recall the
	today's deposition either, did you?	15 exact words. Did you see the brief? Yes, I did.
16	·	16 And do you know anything about it? No, I don't. You
17		17 need to talk to Russ.
	filed?	18Ronnie is very bullet-pointed in his
19		19 conversations and so and we cover a lot of topics
20		20 and so we don't go into a lot of detail.
	correction letter.	21 Q. Did you have any further communications
22		22 with Ronnie Floyd about the amicus brief?
23		23 A. No.
24	correct. Five is the correction letter. Six is	24 Q. And in the testimony you just gave when you
25	the brief.	25 were saying the brief, you meant the amicus brief,
	Page 71	Page 73
1	Q. (By Mr. Gant) So you see the amicus brief	1 correct?
2	was filed August 20, 2020, correct?	2 A. The amicus brief.
3	A. Yes.	3 Q. Do you know who Jim Gunther is?
4		4 A. He's an attorney for the SBC.
5	under four months later, on December 14, 2020,	5 Q. Did you ever communicate with him about the
6	correct?	6 amicus brief?
7	A. Correct.	7 A. Me being NAMB or me being me?
8	Q. When do you think you had the discussion	8 Q. Let me strike that.
9	with Ronnie Floyd that you referred to a few moments	9 Do you know whether anyone from NAMB ever
10	ago?	10 communicated with Jim Gunther about the amicus brief?
11	A. I just have no I do not recall.	11 A. Our internal counsel communicated to Jim
12	Q. It was between	12 Gunther on a regular basis, but I have no knowledge
13	A. It was between the was between the two.	13 if it were in direct relation to this.
14	Q. And you're not sure where in between?	14 Q. When you say "our internal counsel," you're
15	A. (Witness shook head negatively.)	15 talking about NAMB's general counsel?
16	Q. You need to answer audibly.	16 A. Yeah, George McCallum.
17	A. I do not recall. Yeah.	17 Q. I've never asked this: Does NAMB have any
18	Q. Now, the communication with Ronnie Floyd	18 other in-house attorneys besides the general counsel
19	you just referred to, was it in person, on the phone,	19 position?
	some other means?	A. We have several on our board, but no.
	A. I'm certain it was on the phone.	21 George is our what I call internal.
20		 21 George is our what I call internal. 22 Q. But he doesn't have any lawyers working
20 21 22		
20 21 22	Q. What position did Ronnie Floyd have at the	22 Q. But he doesn't have any lawyers working

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 21 of 128 PageID #: 3150

Dogo 74	Dage 76
Page 74	Page 76 1 marked as Exhibit 7?
 George McCallum talked about the amicus brief? Is that your testimony? 	2 A. No.
6	
4 I'm not aware if George called. It really had	4 whether the ERLC convened a task force to examine why
5 nothing to do with us, and I'm not aware.	5 an amicus brief with significant errors was submitted
6 Q. I move to strike "it had nothing to do with	6 to the Fifth Circuit?
7 us." Please try, for everyone's benefit, just to	7 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
8 answer the questions I actually ask.	8 A. It doesn't refresh me because I see they
9 A. Okay. I felt like I was.	9 had one now.
10 Q. Okay. But I was only trying to find out	10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You're not familiar with it?
11 whether there were communications.	11 A. (Witness shook head negatively.)
12 A. Okay.	12 MR. PERLA: You have to answer.
13 Q. And, again, I don't want to put words in	13 A. No.
14 your mouth.	14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you do anything to
15 A. I see.	15 investigate whether there was a task force convened
16 Q. What I hear you telling me is you are not	16 in preparation for today's deposition?
17 aware of whether or not there were communications	17 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
18 between George McCallum from NAMB and Jim Gunther -	
19 A. Exactly.	19 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you investigate in order
20 Q on behalf of the SBC regarding the	20 to prepare for today's deposition whether anyone from
21 amicus brief, is that right?	21 NAMB ever communicated with anyone from ERLC in
22 A. Exactly. Yes, yes.	22 connection with the ERLC task force?
23 Q. Did you investigate that in order to	23 MR. PERLA: Same objection.
24 prepare to testify today?	24 A. Did I investigate
25 A. No.	25 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you want me to ask it
Page 75	Page 77
1 Q. Do you know whether the ERLC convened a	1 again?
2 task force in order to do a postmortem on the amicus 2 brief debagle?	2 A. Yes. I'm
3 brief debacle?	3 Q. In order to prepare to testify today, did
4 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	4 you do anything to investigate whether anyone from
5 A. I have no knowledge of that.	5 NAMB, including its counsel, ever communicated with
 6 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did NAMB strike that. 7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 7, Letter to ERLC) 	6 anyone in connection with the ERLC task force
	7 convened concerning the amicus brief?
	8 MR. PERLA: Same objection.
 9 Liberty Commission, 12/11/2020, Bates stamped 10 ERLC 470, marked for identification.) 	9 A. I'm not I'm not aware.
	10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You're not aware if you
11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm just this document is	11 A. I didn't investigate.
 12 Bates labeled ERLC 470. It will be Exhibit 7. 13 MR. GANT: I need to just check I'll 	12 Q. You did not?
	13 A. Huh-uh. No, I did not investigate.
14 check later the protective order regarding the15 impact of the designation of confidentiality.	14 Q. You can put that aside for now.15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, E-mail string to
15Impact of the designation of confidentiality.16But counsel for NAMB probably saw I just	
17 instructed Dr. McRaney not to look at this	16 Williams from Wussow, 9/20/22, Bates stamped
17 Instructed DI. McKaney not to look at this 18 document. In fact, why don't you step out just	ERLC 526 - 28, marked for identification.)Q. (By Mr. Gant) You have in front of you
19 for prudence. Victoria will get you when we're	19 Exhibit 8, which is Bates labeled ERLC526 through
20 done with this. I'm not sure that's required,	
20 done with this. This hot sure that's required, 21 but better be safe than sorry.	20 528. Have you ever seen this e-mail chain before?21 A. No, sir.
21 but better be safe than sorry. 22 MR. PERLA: No objection.	
23 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. Dr. McRaney has left	
24 the room.	23 the attorney for the SBC executive committee, is that24 right? Actually, I think you just said the SBC.
	24 right: Actually, I units you just salu tile SDC.
25 Have you ever seen this document before	25 A. At the time.

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 22 of 128 PageID #: 3151

Page 78 Q. At the time, yes. Right. He's subsequently withdrawn as counsel, prrect? A. I'm not certain of his current employment.	2	Page 80 you investigate whether anyone from NAMB, including its counsel, communicated with Greg Addison
He's subsequently withdrawn as counsel, prrect?	2	its counsel, communicated with Greg Addison
prrect?		
		concerning the amicus brief submitted to the Fifth
		Circuit?
Q. Okay. Do you know who Travis Wesso is?	5	A. I have knowledge that George would
A. I do not.		regularly communicate with Mr. Addison, but I never
Q. Okay.		asked about what they talked about.
A. Well, I'll take that back. ERLC I don't	8	Q. So you don't know whether George McCallum
		or anyone else from NAMB discussed with Greg Addison
		the Fifth Circuit amicus brief?
		A. I know they regularly talked, but I don't
		know the contents of the conversation.
		Q. And you didn't investigate that in order to
		prepare to testify today?
C I	-	A. No.
		Q. In order to prepare to testify today, did
		you investigate the identity of the firms that were
		representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit appeal in this
		case?
C		MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Vague.
		Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm just asking if you knew
		what firms strike that.
-		I'm asking if you investigated what firms
		were representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit. Are you
Q. When you say he's a pastor in the SBC, what	25	able to tell me
Page 79		Page 81
		MR. PERLA: Same objections. Go ahead.
-		Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you able to tell me which
-		firms were I'm trying to make it easy and not make
,		it individual lawyers.
		Do you know the name of the firms that were
		representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit?
		MR. PERLA: Same objections. Go ahead.
· · · · · ·	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-		been doing those proceedings. Cat was the one that
		we typically would refer to, Butler Snow, but
-	11	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you familiar with First
		Liberty Institute?
		A. Again, all my communications were
		include what George George led in all of that and
		on purpose, because, I mean, he did that and I did my
-		day job.
see it.	17	Q. Okay. And I'm not trying to trick you, and
Q. And do you see the first page of Exhibit 9		I would like to make this easy. And maybe I can ask
		counsel if they will make a representation that you
		can adopt.
A. Yes.	21	A. That would be helpful.
Q. Have you ever seen any part of Exhibit 9	22	Q. My understanding is that First Liberty
efore?	23	Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit.
efore? A. No. Q. In order to prepare to testify today, did	24	
	 Q. In order to prepare to testify today, did Q. In order to prepare to testify today, did winvestigate whether anyone from NAMB, including counsel, ever communicated with Travis Wesso out the errors contained in the amicus brief bmitted by the ERLC? A. I didn't investigate, no. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 9, Letter to Moore and Wussow from Guenther, 12/11/2020, Bates stamped ERLC 463 - 65, marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 9 is Bates labeled RLC463 through 465. Do you know who Greg Addison is? A. Yes. Q. Who is he? A. He's a pastor in the SBC. Q. When you say he's a pastor in the SBC, what Page 79 2 you mean by that? A. He pastors a church in the SBC. Q. But his church is autonomous and separate om the SBC itself, correct? A. His church is autonomous. Q. Is he employed by the SBC or the executive mmittee? A. Well, at this particular date he was punsel. He was internal. He's an attorney that orked as an executive VP of the EC of the SBC. Q. At the time of this e-mail exchange? A. I don't remember the time that he I on't remember his dates of employment, but Q. Do you see in this e-mail chain he had an ic.net e-mail address? A. Yeah. I'm confident he I say confident. see it. Q. And do you see the first page of Exhibit 9 communication between Jim Gunther, on behalf of e SBC executive committee, with ERLC staff? 	ow him.9Q. In order to prepare to testify today, did10u investigate whether anyone from NAMB, including11counsel, ever communicated with Travis Wesso12out the errors contained in the amicus brief13bmitted by the ERLC?14A. I didn't investigate, no.15(Plaintiff's Exhibit 9, Letter to Moore and16Wussow from Guenther, 12/11/2020, Bates stamped17ERLC 463 - 65, marked for identification.)18Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 9 is Bates labeled19RLC463 through 465.20Do you know who Greg Addison is?21A. Yes.22Q. Who is he?23A. He's a pastor in the SBC.24Q. When you say he's a pastor in the SBC, what25vou mean by that?1A. He pastors a church in the SBC.2Q. But his church is autonomous and separate3om the SBC itself, correct?4A. His church is autonomous.5Q. Is he employed by the SBC or the executive6ommittee?7A. Well, at this particular date he was8ounsel. He was internal. He's an attorney that9orked as an executive VP of the EC of the SBC.10Q. Do you see in this e-mail exchange?11A. I don't remember the time that heI12on't remember his dates of employment, but13Q. Do you see in this e-mail chain he had an14oc.net e-mail address?15A. Yeah. I'm confident heI I

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

	Page 82		Page 84
1	that. Yes, you're right.	1	MR. GANT: We are going to invite
2	Q. And leaving apart what I don't care	2	Dr. McRaney back into the room.
3	whether it was volunteer, meaning pro bono, or paid.	3	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have Exhibit 10 in
4	I'm just trying to establish	4	front of you?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. I do.
6	Q whether First Liberty was representing	6	Q. I'm also going to mark another exhibit. We
7	NAMB in connection with its appeal in the Fifth	7	will look at it at the same time.
8	Circuit in this case.	8	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 11, E-mail string to
9	A. Okay. First Liberty was. I do now	9	Carrington and others from Gant, 2/16/23, marked
10	remember that. If you just tell me when you're	10	for identification.)
11	trying to trick me, it would help me.	11	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 10 is a document
12	Q. I'm never trying to trick you.	12	entitled TMS Privilege Log. I'll represent to you
13	A. Okay.	13	that this is a privilege log that was produced in
14	Q. I may be trying to get good testimony, but	14	this case by the Thomas More Society. It was sent to
15	I'm not trying to trick you.	15	us and we in turn produced it to counsel for NAMB.
16	Okay. Thank you for that clarification.	16	Have you ever seen Exhibit 10 before?
17	A. Thanks for the help.	17	A. I don't recall. I reviewed several logs.
18	Q. That is my understanding.	18	Logs look alike. I have no I can't recall which
19	And just for clarity, now on Topic 6	19	logs I viewed, which ones I haven't, but
20	A. Okay.	20	Q. So you're not sure
21	Q if you want to have it in front of you.	21	A. It looks familiar, but no, I don't remember
22	I guess we can turn to that tab, too. Let's see.	22	if I've seen this particular one.
23	Oh, another blank one. So I have turned to Tab 6 in	23	Q. Okay. Exhibit 11 is a e-mail chain, two
24	the notebook provided to me by NAMB today and I don't	24	pages. I think all of the e-mails are from me.
25	see any documents behind it. Do you have any	25	But the top e-mail on the first page is
	P 93		
	Page 83		Page 85
1	documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook?		dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v.
1 2		2	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More
	documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook?	2 3	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected
2 3	documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook?A. No.Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1?	2 3 4	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More
2 3	documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook?A. No.Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1?A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that
2 3 4 5 6	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding 	2 3 4 5 6	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached.
2 3 4 5 6	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind Topic 6. So did you review any documents in order to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First Liberty Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind Topic 6. So did you review any documents in order to prepare regarding Topic 6 today? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First Liberty Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind Topic 6. So did you review any documents in order to prepare regarding Topic 6 today? A. We don't again, there's just nothing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First Liberty Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind Topic 6. So did you review any documents in order to prepare regarding Topic 6 today? A. We don't again, there's just nothing there to give you. We don't have anything to my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First Liberty Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. And I had to ask, but OBO is meant means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind Topic 6. So did you review any documents in order to prepare regarding Topic 6 today? A. We don't again, there's just nothing there to give you. We don't have anything to my knowledge. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First Liberty Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. And I had to ask, but OBO is meant means in this log "on behalf of."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 documents behind Tab 6 in your notebook? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have Topic 6 in front of you from Exhibit 1? A. Yes. Q. Did you prepare to testify regarding Topic 6 today? A. Yes. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify? A. No further we have no other further communications other than than what's already been provided. Q. And what has been provided regarding Topic 6? What are you referring to? A. I'm referring to all of the filings that our attorneys have given you. We don't have any additional to add to that. Q. Okay. But I don't see anything behind Topic 6. So did you review any documents in order to prepare regarding Topic 6 today? A. We don't again, there's just nothing there to give you. We don't have anything to my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 dated February 16, 2023. The subject is McRaney v. NAMB corrected privilege log from Thomas More Society. And it says, Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society. And Exhibit 10 is that corrected log that was attached. Have you ever seen Exhibit 11 before? A. No, sir. Q. Now, do you recognize the name Stephanie Taub? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Do you see on the first entry in this log it says August 3, 2020, at 11:01 a.m. E-mail author, Stephanie Taub, First Liberty, OBO NAMB. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And we discussed a few minutes ago First Liberty Institute was representing NAMB in the Fifth Circuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. And I had to ask, but OBO is meant means

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

	Page 86	Page 88
1	because I didn't recognize that.	1 A. Yes.
2	So according to this entry, the author of	2 Q. Do you see her name again?
3	the first document that was not produced do you	3 A. Yes.
4	understand what a privilege log is?	4 Q. And then let's flip to the second page. Do
5	MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead.	5 you see Stephanie Taub again as a recipient?
6	A. Yeah.	6 A. Yes.
7	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead.	7 Q. And in the next entry do you see Stephanie
8	A. I mean, I assume I would be assuming.	8 Taub as the recipient?
9	-	9 A. Yes.
10		10 Q. And that second entry there, according to
11		11 the last column that's Bates what they call Bates
1	party that's being asked for information believes	12 Number 5.
	that certain material that is being requested is	13 Do you see that?
	privileged and, therefore, should not be produced.	14 A. Yes.
15		15 Q. And that the date and time of that is
1	produce, pursuant to a Federal Rule of Civil	16 August 20, 2020 at 9:14 a.m., correct?
	Procedure, what's called a privilege log that is	17 A. Yes.
	supposed to describe the document without producing	18 Q. If you look in the column regarding subject
	it in order to allow the requesting party to evaluate	19 matter, do you agree with me that the subject matter
	whether they think that it is an actual privilege.	20 of all the entries with Stephanie Taub listed is
	So that's the function.	21 amicus brief?
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$		22 MR. PERLA: Objection. Misstates the
23		23 document.
	More Society. We sent them a subpoena for documents.	24 MR. GANT: Why? Because it doesn't mention
		25 overture in the first one?
23	They produced some documents, but then they withheld	25 Overture in the first one?
1	Page 87	Page 89
	some that they believed were privileged and then put	1 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. Go ahead.
	them on a log, so that's what we have in front of us.	2 A. To my knowledge, I don't see any others
3	5	3 that aren't.
4		4 Q. You agree with me that all of the entries
5	1	5 mentioning Stephanie Taub, on behalf of NAMB, also
6		6 mention amicus brief in the subject matter?
	Q. And then if we go to the third entry,	7 And I acknowledge that the first entry in
	that's also from Stephanie Taub on behalf of NAMB.	8 full is amicus brief overture. But all of the
9	Do you see that?	
	-	9 entries mention the amicus brief, correct?
10	A. Yes.	10 A. Yes.
11	A. Yes.Q. And the fourth entry the same?	 A. Yes. Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the
11 12	A. Yes.Q. And the fourth entry the same?A. Yes.	10 A. Yes.11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the12 accuracy of those log entries?
11 12 13	A. Yes.Q. And the fourth entry the same?A. Yes.Q. The fifth entry the same?	 A. Yes. Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the accuracy of those log entries? MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope.
11 12 13 14	A. Yes.Q. And the fourth entry the same?A. Yes.Q. The fifth entry the same?A. Yes.	 A. Yes. Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the accuracy of those log entries? MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. A. To my knowledge.
11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? 	 A. Yes. Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the accuracy of those log entries? MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. A. To my knowledge. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge?
11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. A. Yes. 	 A. Yes. Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the accuracy of those log entries? MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. A. To my knowledge. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? A. (Witness shook head negatively.)
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name again? 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel 19 for the Thomas More Society to me, and I then
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name again? A. Yes. 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel 19 for the Thomas More Society to me, and I then 20 promptly produced to NAMB on February 16th.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name again? A. Yes. Q. Do you see the name on the next entry as a 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel 19 for the Thomas More Society to me, and I then
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name again? A. Yes. Q. Do you see the name on the next entry as a recipient? 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel 19 for the Thomas More Society to me, and I then 20 promptly produced to NAMB on February 16th. 21 So do you have Exhibit 11 in front of you? 22 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name again? A. Yes. Q. Do you see the name on the next entry as a recipient? A. You're talking about Number 7? 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel 19 for the Thomas More Society to me, and I then 20 promptly produced to NAMB on February 16th. 21 So do you have Exhibit 11 in front of you? 22 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 23 Q. Because I asked counsel for the Thomas More
111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. And the fourth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The fifth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. The sixth entry the same? A. Yes. Q. Then if we look in the recipient column, if you go two down, do you see Stephanie Taub's name again? A. Yes. Q. Do you see the name on the next entry as a recipient? A. You're talking about Number 7? 	 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Does NAMB have any basis for disputing the 12 accuracy of those log entries? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Outside the scope. 14 A. To my knowledge. 15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Not to your knowledge? 16 A. (Witness shook head negatively.) 17 Q. Now, if we look at Exhibit 11, this is a 18 supplement or elaboration that was sent by counsel 19 for the Thomas More Society to me, and I then 20 promptly produced to NAMB on February 16th. 21 So do you have Exhibit 11 in front of you? 22 A. Uh-huh. Yes.

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 25 of 128 PageID #: 3154

1	Page 90	Page 92
1	there were attachments to the e-mail chains.	1 privilege log, as supplemented by Exhibit 11?
2		2 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
3	numbers? I think you have it.	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) As it relates to entries
4		4 concerning counsel representing NAMB, specifically
5		5 Stephanie Taub from the First Liberty Institute?
6		6 MR. PERLA: Same objection.
7	left. Yes, sir.	7 A. Not that I'm aware of.
8		8 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You can put that aside now.
	the Thomas More Society to their log.	9 Thank you.
10		10 MR. GANT: I think we've been going about
11	-	11 an hour and a half-ish. Should we take a short
	then whether there were or were not attachments.	12 break?
13		13 MR. PERLA: Sure.
14	-	14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:47.
15		15 (WHEREUPON, a recess was taken.)
-	from the log, Exhibit 10, and compare that with the	16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
	Thomas More Society e-mail about the attachments, do	17 11:01.
	you see Exhibit 11 says that for Bates Number 4,	18 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Welcome back, Dr. Ezell.
1	there was an attachment and it was a draft of the	19 During the break did you speak with anyone
-	amicus brief?	20 about any issues related to the deposition?
21	Do you see that?	21 A. No.
22	-	22 Q. All right. I said earlier we would do
23		23 this. We're going to turn back to Topic 4 on the
24	-	24 deposition notice.
25	-	25 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 12, Copy of Color
	Page 91	Page 93
1		1 Photographs, Bates stamped NAMB 7780 - 82,
2	Q subject, NAMB, open parentheses,	2 marked for identification.)
3	(attachment amicus	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I've handed you what's been
4	A. Brief.	4 marked as Exhibit 12. The Bates numbers you should
5	Q brief draft).	5 have in front of you. It's four pages. Bates number
6	Do you see that?	5 have in none of you. It's four pages. Bates number
7		6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it,
	A. Yes, sir.	
8	A. Yes, sir.	6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it,
	A. Yes, sir.	6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it,7 but the last one is NAMB 7787.
9	A. Yes, sir.Q. So that was indicating that for entry	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of
9 10	A. Yes, sir.Q. So that was indicating that for entryNumber 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you?
9 10	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir.
9 10 11	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are
9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB
9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on
9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date?
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. It indicates that there was an attachment. A draft 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date? 17 MS. HERRINGTON: I do not personally know
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. It indicates that there was an attachment. A draft amicus brief was circulated on the e-mail that is 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date? 17 MS. HERRINGTON: I do not personally know 18 the date.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. It indicates that there was an attachment. A draft amicus brief was circulated on the e-mail that is Bates Number 5 in the Thomas More Society privilege log. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date? 17 MS. HERRINGTON: I do not personally know 18 the date. 19 MR. GANT: Okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. It indicates that there was an attachment. A draft amicus brief was circulated on the e-mail that is Bates Number 5 in the Thomas More Society privilege log. Do you see that? 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date? 17 MS. HERRINGTON: I do not personally know 18 the date. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. 20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you recognize strike
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. It indicates that there was an attachment. A draft amicus brief was circulated on the e-mail that is Bates Number 5 in the Thomas More Society privilege log. Do you see that? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date? 17 MS. HERRINGTON: I do not personally know 18 the date. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. 20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you recognize strike 21 that.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, sir. Q. So that was indicating that for entry Number 4, 8/20/2020, at 7:15 a.m., there was a draft of the amicus brief attached that was sent to, among others, Stephanie Taub. MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see that? A. I see Number 4 and and then she's on the next page. Yes, I see that. Q. And then for Bates Number 5 it's the same. It indicates that there was an attachment. A draft amicus brief was circulated on the e-mail that is Bates Number 5 in the Thomas More Society privilege log. Do you see that? A. I see it. 	 6 NAMB 7780, 81, 82. And you won't be able to read it, 7 but the last one is NAMB 7787. 8 Do you have four photographs in front of 9 you? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. It's my understanding that these are 12 photographs of the reception or security desk at NAMB 13 headquarters as of three of them have dates on 14 them, June 20, 2027 [sic]. The last one doesn't have 15 a date. 16 MR. GANT: Does counsel know the date? 17 MS. HERRINGTON: I do not personally know 18 the date. 19 MR. GANT: Okay. 20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you recognize strike 21 that. 22 Did you review these photographs in order

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 26 of 128 PageID #: 3155

Page 94	Page 96
1 MR. GANT: And I'm happy to take a	1 should say of records reflecting the number and
2 representation from counsel. My understanding	2 identity of visitors to the building during the
3 is that these are photographs of the lobby as	3 period the photo was fixed, posted or placed at the
 4 NAMB headquarters appeared prior to renovation. 	4 desk.
5 MR. PERLA: We could represent, but I bet	5 Do you see that?
6 he could tell you.	6 A. Yes.
7 A. Yes, I've seen them. I've seen the	7 Q. And I don't remember exactly what you said,
8 photographs before, but I haven't reviewed them in	8 but you made some reference to the record. So I
9 preparation for today, so	9 are there any records that record at least some or
	10 all of the visitors that come through the NAMB lobby,
10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So you have seen them before?	
11 A. Yes, sir.	11 like a visitor log, for example?
12 Q. So are these photos of how the security or	12 A. Visitor we don't have a visitor log. I
13 reception or welcome desk at NAMB headquarters looked	13 can tell you when we have certain conferences. I
14 prior to the renovation that occurred?	14 don't know that we keep who came to we check them
15 A. Yes.	15 in to make sure they're all there and once that's
16 Q. And do you remember approximately when the	16 complete, I'm pretty sure we don't keep those
17 renovation took place?	17 documents.
18 A. Approximately, it was '17, '18, somewhere	18 But, you know, typically when we have
19 like that. I'd be guessing. I don't remember.	19 events, it's they've had I say they. They
20 Q. Okay. Well, these photos have a stamp.	20 notify us they're coming and it's just a matter of
21 The first three of them are June 20, 2017	21 making sure everybody gets their stuff.
22 A. Right.	22 Q. What about security cameras? Do they
23 Q so the renovation was after that.	23 record the number of people who come through the
A. That's why I said '17, '18. I was just	24 lobby on a given week or month?
25 Q. You're not sure?	25 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
Page 95	Page 97
1 A. I'm not sure.	1 A. Yeah. I'm not certain.
2 Q. Leaving aside that the people or the art	2 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So sitting here, you're not
3 may have changed, just in terms of the physical	3 aware of any records that keep track of the number
4 layout, including the layout and physical appearance	4 and identity of visitors to the NAMB headquarters
5 of the reception desk, is this how it looked on	5 lobby?
6 February 5th, 2016?	6 A. No, sir. We we no, sir. No.
7 A. I'm sure.	7 Q. So sitting here today, do you know how many
8 Q. You can put those aside for now.	8 people passed through the NAMB lobby between
9 MR. GANT: Thank you to counsel for NAMB	9 February 5th, 2016 and the end of 2016?
10 for providing those at the break.	10 A. No, sir.
11 MR. PERLA: Happy to.	11 Q. Do you know how many people passed through
12 MR. GANT: So I don't see a need to add	12 NAMB's lobby during 2017?
13 them to the notebook since they were separately	13 A. No, sir.
14 marked. Do you agree?	14 Q. Let's go to Topic 11. You know what?
15 MR. PERLA: I agree. The book should	15 Actually, let's do 10 first.
16 reflect what he came here with.	16 Do you have Topic 10 in front of you?
17 MR. GANT: And what you gave me this	17 A. Yes.
18 morning?	18 Q. What did you do to prepare specifically to
19 MR. PERLA: That's right.	19 testify today regarding Topic 10?
20 MR. GANT: I agree.	20 A. Checked to see if there was any complaint
21 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Sticking on Topic 4 in the	21 or allegation of misconduct.
22 notice. I wanted to follow up on some questions I	22 Q. How did you check?
23 was asking earlier.	23 A. Through George.
24 If you look in the middle of Topic 4, it	24 Q. What did you ask George?
25 also asks about the existence and location it	25 A. Are you aware of anyone who has a complaint

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

Page 98	Page 100
1 or an allegation of misconduct for NAMB?	1 A. I do see it.
2 Q. And what did he say?	2 Q. Do you know who that is?
3 A. No.	A. I'm aware of him. I don't know him.
4 Q. Has anyone from any state convention ever	4 Q. Have you ever seen this? I know you just
5 complained about the conduct of NAMB personnel?	5 started to look at it, but based on your review
6 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	6 conducted so far, do you think you've ever seen this
7 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Leaving aside BCMD.	7 before?
8 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	8 A. I've seen, again, perhaps the title. I
9 A. Is the question has anyone ever complained	9 would never I would I don't remember reading
10 about NAMB?	10 it, but I've seen the title before.
11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) About the conduct of NAMB	11 Q. But do you think you've read the article?
12 personnel.	12 A. Oh, no, I've not read the article.
13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead.	13 Anything he would write I wouldn't read.
14 A. What do you mean by "conduct of NAMB	14 Q. Why is that?
15 personnel"?	15 A. Because he's known pastor of a small
16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Has anyone ever alleged that	16 church in Alabama and just known to do these type
17 NAMB personnel have engaged in abuse or mistreatment	17 of at that time, until his church pulled him back.
18 of state convention or its personnel?	18 Q. He's known to
19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	19 A. He's just known to be a regular,
20 A. Again, the question is so large, it's	20 investigate everybody, the world is falling type of
21 impossible to answer. I have no knowledge of what	21 guy.
22 everybody of all the conversations everybody had.	22 Q. So you just dismiss what he said?
23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, Article from SBC	A. I don't read his stuff. I don't dismiss
24 Today, NAMB: Stop Bullying State Conventions!	24 it. I don't read it.
25 NAMB Has No Authority to Dictate State	25 Q. On the bottom of the first page there's a
Page 99	Page 101
1 Convention Policy or Personnel, Bates stamped WM	1 date, November 13, 2017, correct?
2 984 - 989, marked for identification.)	2 A. Right.
3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I handed you what's been	3 Q. You did not review this article in
4 marked as Exhibit 13, which is Bates labeled WM984	4 preparation for today's deposition?
5 through 989. Tell me when you're ready for a	5 A. No, sir.
6 question, please.	6 Q. You can put that aside.
7 A. Again, if it's you want me to read the	7 Do you know who Frank Shope is?
8 entire document or are you going to point me to a	8 A. He was a former employee of NAMB. Lives in
9 certain part?	9 the west somewhere.
10 Q. As always, you're welcome to read it before	10 Q. Are you aware of him making complaints
11 we start or you can wait for questions and then	11 about NAMB or NAMB personnel?
12 decide if you want to read it more.	12 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
13 A. Whatever is helpful to you.	13 A. I don't know if I'm allowed to say based on
14 Q. I think the latter is better, but I want	14 personnel HR personnel records.
15 you to feel like you're having an opportunity.	15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) There are no limits. I mean,
16 A. Let's ask and then I'll see.	16 if we need
17 Q. Okay. You see on the first page it says at	17 MR. PERLA: Don't testify to privileged
18 the top, "NAMB: stop bullying state conventions!	18 information, but you can testify to
19 NAMB has no authority to dictate state convention	19 nonprivileged information, even if you believe
20 policy or personnel."	20 it might be sensitive or confidential. That's
21 Do you see that?	21 okay in this lawsuit.
22 A. Yes.	A. He was known to have I believe it was
23 Q. And then underneath that it says, Dr. Rick	23 depression issues, some mental challenges that we
	23 depression issues, some mental challenges that we24 gave him extended time off for. That's about the

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

Page 102	Page 104
1 condition and but I had very little interaction	1 Certain states, like Alaska, had several
2 with Mr that's right when I came to NAMB.	2 people that were underperforming that we were
3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, A Reader's	3 funding, and per person in Alaska we spent more
4 Perspective on NAMB by Frank Shope, 11/24/2020,	4 money per person in Alaska than any other state that
5 11/24/2020, Bates stamped WM 6181 - 83, marked	5 we have partnership with. But the bottom line is
6 for identification.)	6 they just wanted money and no accountability.
7 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 14 which you now have	7 And so, you know, with what some would
8 in front of you is Bates labeled WM6181 through 83.	8 refer to bullying or pressuring is simply
9 This says at the top, A reader's	9 accountability, where in the past again, it was
10 perspective on NAMB by Frank Shope, November 24,	10 a part of this is in the past. Before 2010 there
11 2020. There's an editor note saying this is a letter	11 was no accountability. It was just basically NAMB
12 to the editor. So I understand this to be a letter	12 was a you know, a bank that would distribute money
13 written by Frank Shope, published in this	13 to states and say good luck, you know, praying for
14 publication.	14 you. And we came in with very targeted, very
15 Again, as always, take as much time as you	15 strategic purpose, focusing on planting, and some of
16 like or need. But my question is going to be, have	16 the states didn't prefer that.
17 you ever seen this letter before?	17 And so, I mean, this for instance,
18 A. No.	18 Alaska had a missionary to the Iditarod, and we had
19 Q. So I take it, then, you did not read this	19 clowns out there, Tator Tot and Bobo, you know,
20 in order to prepare for today's deposition?	20 that literal clowns. And we let hundreds of we
21 A. I wasn't aware, no.	21 transitioned and reduced down hundreds of positions
22 Q. You can put that aside.	22 that had no we didn't see any real productive
23 A. (Clearing throat.) Sorry. Sorry, Brian.	23 reason to have them. It was money wasted, not in the
24 Q. Do you need more water?	24 scope.
A. No. I just didn't want to mess his hearing	And, again, when you see these different
Page 103	Page 105
1 up.	1 in this whole transition of 2010 NAMB didn't have a
2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, Letter to Alaska	2 very clear focus in my opinion. When I got there in
3 Pastors and Church Leaders from Alaska Baptist	3 September 2010, we tried to bring a sense of focus to
4 Resource Network, 9/3/2020, Bates stamped WM	4 church planting. Before they were basically I use
5 6195 - 98, marked for identification.)	5 analogy, you know, shooting in the air, hoping to hit
6 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You have in front of you	6 a bird, and we tried to put, you know, the scope on
7 Exhibit 15, which is Bates labeled WM6195 through 97.	7 the gun to be very more laser-focused on church
8 When you're ready to answer, my question is going to	8 planning. So that's the reason that it's a
9 be, have you ever seen this exhibit before?	9 transition from getting to do what you want to having
10 A. I have.	10 some accountability.
11 Q. You have. When did you most recently	11 Q. Leaving aside Dr. McRaney, NAMB has, in
12 review it?	12 fact, received allegations of, using your words,
13 A. Oh, most it would not be in the last	13 bullying and pressuring from representatives of state
14 year or two, but I remember seeing it when we	14 conventions, true?
15 received it.	15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
16 Q. Okay. You did not read this in order to	16 A. It would depend have you know, to all
17 prepare for today's deposition, including Topic 10?	17 the states you know, today 41 we have a very
18 A. Not to prepare for the	18 good relationship with 41 of the 42, and basically
19 Q. What do you understand Exhibit 15 to be?	19 the ones where we had the issue were guys who had had
20 A. I came to NAMB, we readjusted how things	20 years of getting to do what they wanted. They just
21 pare down and focus of NAMB. Many of the smaller	21 got a check in the mail and got to do what they
22 states preferred to receive money and let them do	22 wanted.
23 what they wanted. NAMB does not have authority over	23 And so how they interpret that I don't
24 state personnel or state policies. I mean, we do	24 want to put words in their mouth, but they used
25 have authority over the money we invest in states.	25 various we knew that going in. I mean, who you

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 29 of 128 PageID #: 3158

	Page 106		Page 108
1	know, who wants to add certain restrictions to things	1	
	they used to get for free?		that in preparation for today's deposition?
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	And we knew it would be you know, take	3	
	some time and it would take a while to change the	4	
	paradigm. But, again, I wouldn't describe it as		convention?
	that. It's just what I would describe it is as	6	· · · ·
	accountability.	7	
8	MR. GANT: Move to strike as nonresponsive.		like to mark it. And I will just indicate there's
9	Q. (By Mr. Gant) You used the phrase I		some yellow highlighting that I added to it. And I'm
	wrote it down bullying and pressuring.		going to hand it put on a sticker, mark it as NAMB
11	A. I said what some have said is bullying and		Exhibit 16. It's Bates labeled NAMB 009876 through
· ·	pressuring.		880. I'm handing it to counsel for NAMB to review
13	Q. That's what I'm asking you.		and then he will pass it to the witness.
14	Isn't it true that NAMB has received	14	5 I × C C V
	allegations that NAMB or its personnel have engaged		if you have seen it before and if you read it to
	in bullying or pressuring of state conventions?		prepare for today's deposition.
17	MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Asked and	17	MR. PERLA: In that case, I'm going to let
18	answered. Go ahead.	18	•
19	A. Yeah, I feel like I have answered that.	19	
20	Q. (By Mr. Gant) And the answer is yes, it's	20	
21	true, isn't it?	21	Northwest Baptist Convention Executive Board,
22	A. No.	22	Bates stamped NAMB 9876 - 80, marked for
23	Q. I'm not saying it's true that you believe	23	identification.)
24	that it was pressuring and bullying. I'm asking if	24	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Have you read through
25	representatives of state conventions have alleged or	25	Exhibit 16?
	Page 107		Page 109
1 0	contended that NAMB or its personnel have engaged in	1	A. Today?
21	bullying or pressuring.	2	Q. Just now.
3	MR. PERLA: Same objections.	3	A. I didn't read it all, but I read enough of
4	A. I can't recall specifically people saying	4	it.
5 1	that.	5	Q. Do you believe, based on your review, that
6	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you investigate that in	6	you have seen that before?
7 0	order to prepare for today's deposition?	7	A. I've seen it before, uh-huh.
8	A. No.	8	Q. Did you read it or review it in any way in
9	Q. So what is the one you said this today	9	order to prepare for today's deposition?
10 :	at least once and I think yesterday: You said you	10	A. No.
11 1	have good relations with 41 of the 42 conventions.	11	Q. Okay. You can put that aside.
	Today what's the one that you don't?	12	
13	A. Well, we have very good relations with the	13	show you related to Topic 10, but I don't remember if
1	41 of the 42. I didn't say we didn't have a good		we established this so I just want to make sure.
	relationship with the 42nd. It's just not as good as	15	There are no documents behind Tab 10 in the
15 1			notebook that you have or the notebook that was
	the others.	10	
			•
16 1 17	Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out?		provided to me, correct?
16 1 17 18	Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out?A. It would be the northwest.	17 18	provided to me, correct? A. Correct.
16 1 17 18 19	Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out?A. It would be the northwest.Q. Is that where Randy Adams was?	17 18 19	provided to me, correct?A. Correct.Q. And you didn't review any documents in
16 1 17 18 19 20	Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out?A. It would be the northwest.Q. Is that where Randy Adams was?A. Yes, sir.	17 18 19 20	provided to me, correct?A. Correct.Q. And you didn't review any documents in order to prepare to provide testimony regarding
16 1 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out?A. It would be the northwest.Q. Is that where Randy Adams was?A. Yes, sir.Q. Has Randy Adams made allegations of	17 18 19 20 21	provided to me, correct?A. Correct.Q. And you didn't review any documents in order to prepare to provide testimony regarding Topic 10, correct?
16 1 17 18 19 20 21 22 1	 Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out? A. It would be the northwest. Q. Is that where Randy Adams was? A. Yes, sir. Q. Has Randy Adams made allegations of misconduct by NAMB or its personnel? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	provided to me, correct?A. Correct.Q. And you didn't review any documents in order to prepare to provide testimony regarding Topic 10, correct?A. No documents, no.
16 1 17 18 19 20 21 22 1 23	 Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out? A. It would be the northwest. Q. Is that where Randy Adams was? A. Yes, sir. Q. Has Randy Adams made allegations of misconduct by NAMB or its personnel? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. 	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 provided to me, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you didn't review any documents in order to prepare to provide testimony regarding Topic 10, correct? A. No documents, no. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 17, Article entitled,
16 1 17 18 19 20 21 22 1 23 24	 Q. Well, what is the one you're singling out? A. It would be the northwest. Q. Is that where Randy Adams was? A. Yes, sir. Q. Has Randy Adams made allegations of misconduct by NAMB or its personnel? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	 provided to me, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you didn't review any documents in order to prepare to provide testimony regarding Topic 10, correct? A. No documents, no. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 17, Article entitled, NAMB's Abuse and Mistreatment of the MWBC,

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

1	Page 110		Page 112
1	stamped WM 6212 - 20, marked for	1	include no documents behind Tab 10 in the notebook
2	identification.)		that you have and was provided to me?
3	Q. (By Mr. Gant) I've handed you or, sorry,	3	A. It was mine. I didn't need it.
4	Robin has handed you what was marked as Exhibit 17,	4	Q. So you're not saying there are no relevant
	which is Bates labeled WM6212 through 6210. As usual	5	documents, you're just saying you didn't need any?
	take as much time as you would like to review it.	6	A. I didn't regarding that, I don't. I
	But my questions are going to be if you believe	7	don't need any.
	you've seen it before and whether you reviewed it in	8	Q. So can you please identify for me each of
	order to prepare for today's deposition.	9	the state conventions where NAMB has received a
10	A. I've not seen it before and I did not use	10	complaint or an allegation of misconduct or bullying
11	it in preparation.		or pressuring from representatives of that state
12	Q. Thank you. Put that aside.		convention? I just want a list. Which conventions
13	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, Document entitled,		of the 42?
14	North American Mission Board's Strategic Shifts	14	A. Who in this context?
15	and the Impact on California Southern Baptist	15	Q. The time period, sorry, is from 2013 to the
16	Convention, 1/2012, Bates stamped WM 6186 - 94,	16	present.
17	marked for identification.)	17	A. Okay. So I just want to give you what you
18	Q. (By Mr. Gant) You have been handed		want here, who officially contacted NAMB or who
19	Exhibit 18, which is Bates labeled WM6186 through		complained about it in an article. Typically, when
	6194. When you're ready to answer, please let me		people want to complain, they do it in a third
	know whether you believe you have seen this document		person, they put it in a blog, and they're, you know,
	before.		written from their mother's basement.
23	A. I have not seen this document before.	23	Q. Okay. I appreciate your request for
24	Q. Did you review this document in order to	24	clarification. I'm not limiting it to what you call
25	prepare for today's deposition?	25	formal outreach or to NAMB. I'm including public
	Page 111		Page 113
1	A. I did not.	1	statements.
2	Q. Put it aside.	2	A. Okay.
3			•
	Does NAMB have any additional testimony to	3	Q. So if you have the question in mind with
4	provide regarding Topic 10?		Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification.
4 5	provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection.	4 5	Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification.A. Sure, I can go through the list.
	provide regarding Topic 10?	4 5 6	Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification.A. Sure, I can go through the list.Q. Yes.
5 6	provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed?	4 5 6 7	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very
5 6	provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already	4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions.
5 6 7 8 9	provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague.	4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain?
5 6 7 8 9 10	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. MR. GANT: I'm not taking any positions or 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're asking. I just don't know we need to go year by
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. MR. GANT: I'm not taking any positions or making any arguments here. I'm just trying 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're asking. I just don't know we need to go year by year if you're going to do this.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. MR. GANT: I'm not taking any positions or making any arguments here. I'm just trying MR. PERLA: I just want to make sure you're 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're asking. I just don't know we need to go year by year if you're going to do this. Q. Well, I'm putting I'm entitled to put
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. MR. GANT: I'm not taking any positions or making any arguments here. I'm just trying MR. PERLA: I just want to make sure you're not going to say, Oh, gee, we need another 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're asking. I just don't know we need to go year by year if you're going to do this. Q. Well, I'm putting I'm entitled to put them together.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. MR. GANT: I'm not taking any positions or making any arguments here. I'm just trying MR. PERLA: I just want to make sure you're not going to say, Oh, gee, we need another witness, because you got the guy. If you don't 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're asking. I just don't know we need to go year by year if you're going to do this. Q. Well, I'm putting I'm entitled to put them together. A. Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 provide regarding Topic 10? MR. PERLA: Objection. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Beyond what we've already discussed? MR. PERLA: Objection. Depends on your question. Vague. A. Depends on what you're talking about. I would be glad to respond to any of these you just gave me if you want me to. California is one of our very best partners. MR. PERLA: I want to get in front of something that I think you're going to claim falsely. This witness knows about these. He didn't need to review these in advance. If you have questions, now is the time. MR. GANT: I'm not taking any positions or making any arguments here. I'm just trying MR. PERLA: I just want to make sure you're not going to say, Oh, gee, we need another witness, because you got the guy. If you don't want to ask the questions, that's your choice. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So if you have the question in mind with that clarification. A. Sure, I can go through the list. Q. Yes. A. But as I said, as of today we have 41 very good relationships with the 42 state conventions. Take California. In 2012 did they complain? Q. Can we just do a list? A. Well, I'm trying to explain the list. I mean, you're asking me about a guy who no longer works there in 2012, and a new guy works there in 2023 and so it's completely different. You go to Ohio and one guy was there, was used to another way of doing it, and the new guy is Jeremy Westbrook and he's one of our very best partners. So it all is germane to what you're asking. I just don't know we need to go year by year if you're going to do this. Q. Well, I'm putting I'm entitled to put them together.

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 31 of 128 PageID #: 3160

Page 114	Page 116
1 complaint I'm using complaints or allegations of	1 parts.
2 misconduct, bullying, pressuring by NAMB or its	2 A. I don't think so.
3 personnel from someone who is with or was with a	3 Q. Let's just start with formal complaints, as
4 state convention and complained and using that	4 you call them. What does that mean? Let's define
5 term broadly about NAMB at any point between 2013	5 that if you want to do that.
6 and the present.	6 A. Someone, like, who notified us that they
7 I just want each I mean, if you want,	7 feel like in some formal way that they've been
8 you can go through each state and you can just say	8 mistreated in some way.
9 yes or no.	9 Q. So which state conventions did that?
10 A. Okay. Let's do that.	10 A. I'm not aware of people who have
11 Q. Do you know the list of 42 from memory? I	11 Q. You said it was a short list.
12 don't.	12 A. I'm saying I'm not aware other than
13 A. It's just the states. I will go through	13 perhaps if you say I don't know how formal is
14 the map.	14 formal. Depends on what you mean by formal. But the
15 Q. We will go one by one and you say yes or	15 northwest would be one. There were six states that
16 no.	16 did not notify NAMB but notified the EC.
17 MR. PERLA: I'm just going to say once,	17 Q. Okay.
18 objection, vague, scope. Can we agree that I	18 A. That would have been Ohio, which is one of
19 don't need to say that over and over?	19 our best partners now. Would be New Mexico, which is
20 MR. GANT: Sure. You mean on this line of	20 one of our partners now.
21 questions?	21 Q. I ask if you just confine yourself to the
22 MR. PERLA: Yes.	22 list and not add the commentary about whether they're
23 MR. GANT: Fine. I agree to that.	23 a good partner now.
24 MR. PERLA: Thank you. Go ahead.	24 I'm entitled to hear you just told me
A. I need to know the year and the state.	25 that six states provided complaints to
Page 115	Page 117
1 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm putting all the years	1 A. I didn't say complaints. Six states just
2 together.	2 shared concerns.
3 A. You can't.	3 Q. Okay. Concerns. I didn't mean to put
4 Q. Why can't I?	4 words in your mouth.
5 A. Because they're Ohio is a great partner	5 Which six states registered concerns about
6 now; they were not before.	6 NAMB or its personnel to the SBC? So you mentioned
7 Q. I'm not asking whether they're a great	7 Ohio, New Mexico.
8 partner at one point and not at another.	8 A. It was always northwest, and then Ohio,
9 MR. PERLA: If he doesn't care what year,	9 New Mexico, Alaska. It's just it's hard 'cause
10 then you can give your answer about the year.	10 they're all good now.
11 That's what he wants. Just answer his question.	11 I'm trying to think of who retired
12 A. Okay.	12 recently. There was an article in the Baptist Press
13 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Because I want to know if at	13 about it that could fill you in those six. I don't
14 any point in the date range from January '13 to the	14 remember all the California was one. How many am
15 present whether there was a complaint or allegation	15 I up to?
16 of misconduct, pressuring, bullying, et cetera, by	16 Q. Five.
17 NAMB or its personnel.	17 A. Okay. Just give me a second.
18 A. All right. Do you want a form people	18 Let's see. California, Hawaii.
19 complain every day about I mean, we do a lot of	19 Q. Any others you can think of now?
20 things. They could easily complain. I don't have a	20 A. No. And I'm I'm I'm doing the best
21 record of every complaint. If you're talking about a	21 to remember. I'm semiconfident that that's the
22 formal notification to NAMB, then that's a short	22 correct list.
23 list. We don't keep a list of complainers.	23 Q. And those six that you say registered
124 O Well all right Lat's to I think my	
24 Q. Well, all right. Let's to I think my 25 question was clear and fair, but let's break it in	24 concerns I'm not trying to put words in your25 mouth did you say they were with the executive

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

Page 118	Page 120
1 committee of the SBC?	1 most result.
2 A. Yeah. They wanted yes.	2 The disagreements happen when they feel one
3 Q. Thank you.	3 way, we feel another, and they were used to doing it
4 And were those expressed in writing?	4 their own way. And we're simply saying, look, it
5 A. Yes.	5 needs to fit within our strategy. Some don't want to
6 Q. Is there a reason they're not behind Tab 10	6 focus on church planning. We say that's fine, but
7 in my notebook and the one you have?	7 we're just we're going to focus we want our
8 A. I mean, any complaint or allegation	8 resources focused on what our focus is.
9 misconduct misconduct allegation of	9 And so it's impossible for me to give you a
10 misconduct it's not misconduct. They didn't like	10 list, a comprehensive list of everybody who didn't
11 the strategy. It wasn't the conduct, it was the	11 like that. It would be more collegial I think
12 strategy they didn't like.	12 they were complaining, but I get it too, and I knew
13 MR. GANT: I just make a request that we	13 going into it they were going to, you know, complain
14 receive written copies of the written	14 but it wasn't like any formal protest or anything.
15 expressions of concern about NAMB sent to the	15 There was several of these guys that were
16 executive committee of the SBC.	16 good friends of mine. We just disagree to disagree
17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. So we've got those six	17 [sic]. But, hey, look, then they would say, you're
18 states. I appreciate that.	18 our largest funding partner and we get that you can
19 Now, what about expressions of concern or	19 choose where to put your money. And so
20 complaints or allegations of misconduct to sent to	20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you able to identify any
21 NAMB, whether formal or informal, but actually sent	· · ·
22 to NAMB? Can you think of any states?	22 that NAMB was doing something wrong in relation to
A. Are you asking an actual state convention?	23 the state convention?
24 Q. Or a representative of the convention.	A. Yeah. I would have to know exactly what
A. What do you mean by "representative"?	25 you're referring to by "wrong." I mean, there was
Page 119	Page 121
1 Q. Staff member, executive director.	1 no there was no misconduct by NAMB.
2 A. Someone employed by the state convention?	2 Q. Okay. I understand that's your position.
3 Q. I don't know if they have to be salaried.	3 Do you remember any other states besides
4 If they have an official position with the state	4 the one that you mentioned that expressed concerns o
5 convention. Whether they're a volunteer or not, I	5 alleged that NAMB was doing something improper o
6 don't think is material.	6 wrong or bullying or pressuring?
7 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	7 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead.
8 A. Yeah, it would all depend on what you mean.	8 A. I'm really trying to answer. Those words
9 But if you're talking about someone who would	9 were used more in blogs and commentary, not in
10 represent the state convention as an employee, I	10 official notifications, and they would just say we
11 would I deal with the state execs in no official	11 disagree with the strategy. We say, okay, your right
12 way.	12 to do that. But that's not a wrongdoing or wrong.
13But when you say complain or there would	13 Q. (By Mr. Gant) That's fine. I'm not
14 ha more lengers interpretions three to the 1 of T 11	14 excluding public commentary through blogs or other
14 be, you know, interactions where, hey, look, I really	
15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy	15 written memes.
15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I	15 written memes.16 A. You are not?
15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed.	15 written memes.16 A. You are not?17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question?
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 19 typically their largest partner. And we want to have 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 19 typically their largest partner. And we want to have 20 a relationship. We provide funding for them in a lot 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. 20 A. I just don't have record of if you're
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 19 typically their largest partner. And we want to have 20 a relationship. We provide funding for them in a lot 21 of ways, sometimes finances, sometimes coaching, 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. 20 A. I just don't have record of if you're 21 not excluding it, I have no record of all those
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 19 typically their largest partner. And we want to have 20 a relationship. We provide funding for them in a lot 21 of ways, sometimes finances, sometimes coaching, 22 sometimes training, things you can't really put a 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. 20 A. I just don't have record of if you're 21 not excluding it, I have no record of all those 22 things. There's 16 million Southern Baptists and
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 19 typically their largest partner. And we want to have 20 a relationship. We provide funding for them in a lot 21 of ways, sometimes finances, sometimes coaching, 22 sometimes training, things you can't really put a 23 monetary amount on. So being their partner, I'm 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. 20 A. I just don't have record of if you're 21 not excluding it, I have no record of all those 22 things. There's 16 million Southern Baptists and 23 47,000 churches, 42 state conventions, and 1,200
 15 don't like this. I appreciate that, but our strategy 16 has changed, but I really don't agree with it. I 17 appreciate that, but our strategy has changed. 18 And we're strategic partners. We're 19 typically their largest partner. And we want to have 20 a relationship. We provide funding for them in a lot 21 of ways, sometimes finances, sometimes coaching, 22 sometimes training, things you can't really put a 	 15 written memes. 16 A. You are not? 17 Q. I'm not excluding that. So with that 18 clarification, are you able to answer my question? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. 20 A. I just don't have record of if you're 21 not excluding it, I have no record of all those 22 things. There's 16 million Southern Baptists and

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

	Page 122		Page 124
1	Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm not asking for what you	1	Let's go to Topic 12. I have one page
	don't know. I'm asking for what you do know.		behind Tab 12 in my notebook. Is that what you have?
3	A. Yeah. I have I do not keep a collective	3	A. Yes.
	list of people I'm even aware of that complain. It's	4	
	just not I don't have enough time to do that.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Well, part of the reason there were no	6	Q. Tell me what you did to prepare to testify
	documents behind Tab 10 is because you thought you		regarding Topic 12.
1	had the information yourself, correct?	8	A. I reviewed this document.
9	A. Uh-huh. I do.	9	Q. Did you do anything else?
10	Q. Okay. So what I'm asking for is not	10	
	limited to formal complaints, including things that		
1		11	Q. Did you speak with anyone who was involved in the examination and review referred to in NAMB
	are said on blogs and other public forums. I'm		
13			8377?
	than the six you already mentioned that register or	14	
1	raised or stated concerns or allegations of bullying		this document and my knowledge of what took place.
1	or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by	16	
	NAMB or its personnel.		document yesterday during your 30(b)(1) deposition,
18	Can you give me any other any other		correct?
1	state conventions besides the six you've already	19	A. Yes.
	testified about?	20	Q. And again, I'm not trying to put words in
21	A. I can tell you there's no complaints of		your mouth. I'm going from my best recollection of
	any complaint of any or allegation of misconduct.		what you said. But I thought you said that you were
1	I mean, you got to define for me there's no		not involved in the examination and review, is that
	misconduct. People weren't complaining about		true?
25	misconduct. They were complaining about the	25	A. Right.
	Page 123		Page 125
1	strategy, so	1	Q. And I believe you said something to the
2	Q. I'm going to try one more. I'm going to		effect that you were kept away from the examination
1	have Robin read it back. I'm going to see if you're		and review. Do you remember saying something to that
1	able to answer the question. If not, I'm going to	4	effect?
	consider that the totality of NAMB's testimony on	5	A. It was an independent investigation is what
	that question.		I meant by "kept away." They were independent. I
7	(WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the	7	didn't have I wasn't on the committee doing it.
8	reporter as follows:)	8	Q. And you didn't assist the committee either,
9	"Question: So what I'm asking for is not	9	did you?
10	limited to formal complaints, including things	10	A. In anything they asked, but I don't
11	that are said on blogs and other public forums.		recall it was independent of me on purpose so that
12	I'm asking you to identify any state conventions		there could be no allegations of not doing a thorough
13	other than the six you already mentioned that	13	job, that type of thing.
1		14	Q. Because some of the questions about the
14	register or raised or stated concerns or		
	allegations of bullying or pressuring or		propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it
14	-	15	
14 15	allegations of bullying or pressuring or	15	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it
14 15 16	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any other state conventions besides the six you've	15 16 17	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it was designed to be independent of you, is that right?
14 15 16 17	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any	15 16 17 18	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why itwas designed to be independent of you, is that right?A. I don't know the reason other than, look,
14 15 16 17 18	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any other state conventions besides the six you've	15 16 17 18 19	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it was designed to be independent of you, is that right?A. I don't know the reason other than, look, you know, I'm the president of the organization.
14 15 16 17 18 19	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any other state conventions besides the six you've already testified about?"	15 16 17 18 19	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it was designed to be independent of you, is that right?A. I don't know the reason other than, look, you know, I'm the president of the organization.They're going to do a complete, independent
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any other state conventions besides the six you've already testified about?" MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it was designed to be independent of you, is that right?A. I don't know the reason other than, look, you know, I'm the president of the organization.They're going to do a complete, independent investigation of it, and so I was not included.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any other state conventions besides the six you've already testified about?" MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered, plus my standing objections. Go ahead.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it was designed to be independent of you, is that right?A. I don't know the reason other than, look, you know, I'm the president of the organization.They're going to do a complete, independent investigation of it, and so I was not included.Q. You mentioned a committee that was doing
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	allegations of bullying or pressuring or inappropriate actions or behavior by NAMB or its personnel. Can you give me any other any other state conventions besides the six you've already testified about?" MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered, plus my standing objections. Go ahead. A. Nothing else to my knowledge.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 propriety of conduct were about you so that's why it was designed to be independent of you, is that right? A. I don't know the reason other than, look, you know, I'm the president of the organization. They're going to do a complete, independent investigation of it, and so I was not included. Q. You mentioned a committee that was doing the examination. Do you remember saying that a moment ago?

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

	Dage 126		Page 128
1	Page 126 Q. So you were using that term loosely?	1	unnecessarily repeat. I just want to make sure
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$			there's clarity.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	-	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	•
-	formal committee?	-	testify regarding Topic 12 is review the one page,
			NAMB 8377?
5			
6	•	67	C
8	-		yourself about Topic 12?
9		9	
	you're not sure what they called it. Is that what	10	
	you re not sure what they caned it. Is that what you said?	10	
11		11	
12		12	1
	Q. Well, just so we're on the same page, how should I refer to it? If I call it a committee, will	13	
	you understand what I'm referring to? A. Sure.	15	
16		16	
17		17	
	committee, is that right? A. Well, I know there were	18 19	
19	*	19 20	1 2
20			8377 about the review and examination is
21	A. There were multiple people, so	21	
22		22	1 0
23		23 24	
24			
23	communication. All right. So you were not involved	23	him. You know how privilege works. You might
1	Page 127 in the examination and review.	1	Page 129
		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	1 1
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Did you talk to anybody in order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 12?	23	•
4		4	
4		5	-
-		5	MR. GANT. Dut he washt he uluh t
		6	propara to tastify to
	to prepare to testify on Topic 12?	6	1 1 2
7	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered	7	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an
7 8	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me	7 8	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not
7 8 9	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents.	7 8 9	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows
7 8 9 10	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist	7 8 9 10	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information.
7 8 9 10 11	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12?	. 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do
7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answeredA. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped mereview the documents.Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assistyour preparation to testify regarding Topic 12?MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an	7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual 	. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to 	. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement 	. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that 	. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that MR. PERLA: Counsel, yes. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates were, or any other basic factual question that's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that MR. PERLA: Counsel, yes. MR. GANT: counsel did not provide any	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates were, or any other basic factual question that's not going to be privileged.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that MR. GANT: counsel did not provide any factual information to Dr. Ezell to prepare to 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates were, or any other basic factual question that's not going to be privileged. MR. PERLA: But you keep overlooking the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that MR. GANT: counsel did not provide any factual information to Dr. Ezell to prepare to testify regarding Topic 12 today? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates were, or any other basic factual question that's not going to be privileged. MR. PERLA: But you keep overlooking the obvious possibility that we gave you. The
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that MR. PERLA: Counsel, yes. MR. GANT: counsel did not provide any factual information to Dr. Ezell to prepare to testify regarding Topic 12 today? MR. PERLA: That's correct.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates were, or any other basic factual question that's not going to be privileged. MR. PERLA: But you keep overlooking the obvious possibility that we gave you. The witness already knows it. He doesn't need to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. Yeah. Document, and counsel helped me review the documents. Q. (By Mr. Gant) What did counsel do to assist your preparation to testify regarding Topic 12? MR. PERLA: Objection. This is not an instance when counsel provided factual information. So I would instruct you not to divulge your communications with counsel. MR. GANT: Okay. I mean, if that statement of fact is true, then is NAMB proffering that as a representation that MR. PERLA: Counsel, yes. MR. GANT: counsel did not provide any factual information to Dr. Ezell to prepare to testify regarding Topic 12 today? MR. PERLA: That's correct. MR. GANT: I'll accept that.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PERLA: I think you're missing an important point about that binder. This is not the sum total of what he knows. He knows plenty. This is additional information. MR. GANT: I know, but he said he didn't do anything to prepare, so no one told him here is the composition of the committee MR. PERLA: And if he MR. GANT: here are the dates that the committee I'm using "committee" loosely. Nobody told the witness so that you can testify, here's who was on it, here's what the dates were, or any other basic factual question that's not going to be privileged. MR. PERLA: But you keep overlooking the obvious possibility that we gave you. The witness already knows it. He doesn't need to learn something if he already knows.

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

Page	30 Page 132
1 He didn't know anything.	1 believe we're entitled to know the start and end
2 MR. PERLA: No. You asked him if he	2 dates of the examination review. I don't know
3 participated.	3 when George McCallum began at NAMB and your
4 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. Who was in this grou	
5 that conducted an examination and review? I aske	
6 you yesterday. You were only able to name two	6 now if you'd like George to tell you.
7 people. Do you remember	7 MR. GANT: I'm happy to if you know,
8 A. Mark Dyer and George McCallum.	8 George.
9 Q. Yesterday I asked you if there was anyone	9 MR. McCALLUM: I do know.
10 else. You said you didn't know. Do you remembe	r 10 MR. PERLA: Please.
11 saying	11 MR. McCALLUM: June 1st, 2016.
12 A. I'm not aware of who else. Those were the	12 MR. GANT: Thank you.
13 two that were leading it.	13 MR. PERLA: You can treat that as NAMB's
14 Q. Okay. Did you do anything to prepare to	14 answer.
15 testify today about who was in the group that	15 MR. GANT: Okay. Thank you for that.
16 conducted this examination or review, other than r	
17 on your memory?	17 MR. PERLA: That's okay.
18 A. Just rely on my memory.	18 THE WITNESS: I don't remember that
19 Q. Okay.	19 birthday.
20 MR. GANT: We believe the witness should	20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So George has provided NAMB's
21 have been prepared to answer the question about	t 21 testimony on the start date well, on his start
22 who participated in the review and examination	. 22 date. It's your testimony that that's when the
23 Q. (By Mr. Gant) What was the start and end	23 examination and review began, correct?
24 date of this examination and review?	24 A. Yes, sir.
25 A. The start date would be George McCallum	S 25 Q. So your testimony on behalf of NAMB is that
Page	31 Page 133
Page 1 employment date, and the end date I would would be	31 Page 133 1 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016
-	-
1 employment date, and the end date I would would be	1 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. 	1 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 2 and June 13, 2016?
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that 5 necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that 5 necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it 6 possible it was concluded days earlier?
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that?
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I don't know when they concluded it.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the examination and review was concluded?
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that 	 1 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 2 and June 13, 2016? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that 5 necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it 6 possible it was concluded days earlier? 7 A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. 10 Q. What do you mean by that? 11 A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 12 don't know when they concluded it. 13 Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for 14 NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the 15 examination and review was concluded? 16 A. It would be on or before
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 2 don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for I NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the texamination and review was concluded? A. It would be on or before MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 2 don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for I NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the t would be on or before MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. A. I'm sorry.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the examination and review was concluded? A. It would be on or before MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. A. I'm sorry. MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was participating, correct? A. I don't know who else they included. I 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 2 don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for I NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the texamination and review was concluded? A. It would be on or before MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. A. I'm sorry. MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead. A. Sorry.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was participating, correct? A. I don't know who else they included. I don't know if it was an official committee, but I 	 1 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 2 and June 13, 2016? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that 5 necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it 6 possible it was concluded days earlier? 7 A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. 10 Q. What do you mean by that? 11 A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 12 don't know when they concluded it. 13 Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for 14 NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the 15 examination and review was concluded? 16 A. It would be on or before 17 MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. 18 A. I'm sorry. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead. 20 A. Sorry. 21 It would be on or before the date listed.
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was participating, correct? A. I don't know who else they included. I don't know if it was an official committee, but I know they had the scope to ask anybody they wanted 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 2 don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for I NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the texamination and review was concluded? A. It would be on or before MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. A. I'm sorry. MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead. A. Sorry. It would be on or before the date listed. Q. (By Mr. Gant) So no later than June 13th,
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was participating, correct? A. I don't know who else they included. I don't know if it was an official committee, but I know they had the scope to ask anybody they wanted to, anything to make sure we had a correct 	 1 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 2 and June 13, 2016? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that 5 necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it 6 possible it was concluded days earlier? 7 A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. 10 Q. What do you mean by that? 11 A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 12 don't know when they concluded it. 13 Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for 14 NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the 15 examination and review was concluded? 16 A. It would be on or before 17 MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. 18 A. I'm sorry. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead. 20 A. Sorry. 21 It would be on or before the date listed. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So no later than June 13th, 23 but you don't know how much earlier?
 employment date, and the end date I would would be the date noted on document included. Q. What's the basis for that answer? A. Remember the first the very first date of his employment is when he and Mark Dyer began to do that. Q. Was George hired in part in order to conduct this examination and review? A. No. We hired him, and coincidentally it was the very first day that they started reading a lot of e-mails. Q. So what was that date that A. It would be his first employment date. Q. I know. I'm asking what the date was. A. Yeah. I don't remember a specific date, but I know that he and Mark Dyer were the ones that were overseeing it. Q. But you don't know who else was participating, correct? A. I don't know who else they included. I don't know if it was an official committee, but I know they had the scope to ask anybody they wanted 	 the examination was conducted between June 1, 2016 and June 13, 2016? A. Yes. Q. And NAMB 8377 is dated June 13, but is that necessarily when the review was concluded? Isn't it possible it was concluded days earlier? A. Yeah. I wasn't I wasn't a part of those 8 inves so I don't know they had a dedicated stop 9 date on that. Q. What do you mean by that? A. I wasn't a part of the investigation so I 2 don't know when they concluded it. Q. Okay. So and again, I'm asking for I NAMB's testimony. What was the date when the texamination and review was concluded? A. It would be on or before MR. PERLA: Wait, wait, wait. A. I'm sorry. MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Go ahead. A. Sorry. It would be on or before the date listed. Q. (By Mr. Gant) So no later than June 13th,

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 36 of 128 PageID #: 3165

Page 134	Page 130
1 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. Could it have been	1 MR. PERLA: Well, let's start with a yes-no
2 June 10th?	2 to that.
3 MR. PERLA: Same objection. Go ahead.	3 A. Okay. Yes.
4 A. It's on or before that date.	4 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. What did Tom Wigginton
5 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. So that includes	5 tell you had been pulled for purposes of the
6 June 10th, June 9th, June 8th, correct?	6 examination and review?
7 MR. PERLA: Same objection.	7 MR. PERLA: Now I'm instructing the witness
8 A. Yeah. It's on or before that day.	8 not to answer on the basis of the
9 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know how many hours	9 attorney-client privilege and work product
10 were spent by the group conducting the examination	10 doctrine.
11 and review?	11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you going to follow that
12 A. No.	12 instruction?
13 Q. Do you know whether the group conducting	13 A. I'm going to follow that instruction.
14 the examination and review interviewed anybody?	14 Q. Did Tom Wigginton ask you to provide any
15 A. I was aware that they interviewed. I don't	15 e-mails?
16 know who they interviewed.	16 A. No.
17 Q. What's the basis for your belief that they	17 Q. Do you know whether outside counsel other
18 did do interviews?	18 than Mark Dyer were involved in the examination and
19 A. I don't again, I don't recall who, but I	19 review?
20 know that they had talked to different personnel at	20 A. I'm not aware.
21 NAMB.	21 Q. 8377, which is behind Tab 12, says that
22 Q. What's the basis for that?	22 you see it says "fully reporting those findings to
23 A. Again, I don't recall who, but because	23 the full board"?
24 Mark and George, you know, were in the building there	A. Which paragraph? I'm sorry. I'm trying to
25 for several days. Mark is an attorney in Dallas and	25 find it.
Page 135	Page 137
1 not normally there.	1 Q. We're in the section on background.
2 And so I know he made reference to or	2 A. Okay.
3 some of our personnel had talked about they had	3 Q. This is on the second line.
4 talked to Mark. Again, I don't know if they talked	4 A. Okay.
5 to Mark about this or if they talked to Mark about	
-	5 Q. It says "fully reporting those findings."
6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I	6 So it says there was an examination and review
6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I7 don't recall who it was.	6 So it says there was an examination and review7 A. All right.
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees.
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that?
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board?
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer.
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it.
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 19 MR. PERLA: His question is, do you know 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the 19 one that would communicate to the board.
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 19 MR. PERLA: His question is, do you know 20 what documents they reviewed? That's a yes 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 19 MR. PERLA: His question is, do you know 20 what documents they reviewed? That's a yes 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the 19 one that would communicate to the board. 20 Q. You say "would." Do you know for a fact 21 that's what happened here or
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 19 MR. PERLA: His question is, do you know 20 what documents they reviewed? That's a yes 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the 19 one that would communicate to the board. 20 Q. You say "would." Do you know for a fact
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 19 MR. PERLA: His question is, do you know 20 what documents they reviewed? That's a yes 21 A. They reviewed e-mails. 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the 19 one that would communicate to the board. 20 Q. You say "would." Do you know for a fact 21 that's what happened here or 22 A. I know for a fact. I was there when he 23 reported it to the board, because they reported. I
 6 the Cowboys, but they talked to Mark so but I 7 don't recall who it was. 8 Q. You don't know who and you don't know how 9 many people, correct? 10 A. Yes. I don't. I do not know. 11 Q. Do you know what, if any, documents were 12 reviewed as part of the examination and review? 13 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'll let him 14 answer. 15 A. I'm assuming they were reviewing 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I don't want assumptions 17 (Speaking simultaneously.) 18 A. I wasn't in there. I don't know. 19 MR. PERLA: His question is, do you know 20 what documents they reviewed? That's a yes 21 A. They reviewed e-mails. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) How do you know that? 	 6 So it says there was an examination and review 7 A. All right. 8 Q of the dealings and have fully reported 9 those findings to the full board of trustees. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Do you know how, mechanically, those 13 findings were reported to the full board? 14 A. I know there was an oral reporting by 15 Mr. Dyer. 16 Q. An oral report? I thought I'm just 17 asking I didn't hear it. 18 A. There was an explanation Mr. Dyer is the 19 one that would communicate to the board. 20 Q. You say "would." Do you know for a fact 21 that's what happened here or 22 A. I know for a fact. I was there when he

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

Page 140
w long th
re any
re any
oral
stance of
her there
is a
e oral
ent
•
re
C
they
ulcy
Page 141
ou don't
_
ngth
_
?
ut
ord of
with
I'm at a
it's going
-

36 (Pages 138 - 141)

Page 142	
1 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Let's go to Topic 15, Tab 15.	1 this page that said, Re possible threat from Will
2 Do you have that topic in front of you?	2 McRaney.
3 A. Yes, sir.	3 A. Yes.
4 Q. And I will just note obviously counsel	4 Q. I'm asking you on behalf of NAMB to testify
5 for NAMB figured this out but there was one typo	5 about what was communicated to Timothy Reece that led
6 on the third line. The Bates number there was NAMB	6 to the insertion of that comment about Dr. McRaney on 7 this page
7 8237. It should have been through 38, not 28, but it	7 this page.
 8 looks like 9 MR. PERLA: We understood that. 	8 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead.9 A. NAMB was trying to be cautious and
9 MR. FERLA. we understood unat. 10 MR. GANT: I figured you understood, but	10 preventive and engaged security to make certain there
11 just for the record I'm orally correcting that.	11 were no unwanted exchanges or and really to
12 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see what I'm referring	12 provide for my safety and security.
12 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you see what I'm referring 13 to, Dr. Ezell?	13 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm asking a more specific
14 A. Yes.	14 question, but let me back up.
14 A. Tes. 15 Q. What did you do to specifically prepare for	15 If we look at NAMB 8237, there are two
16 Topic Number 15?	16 other NAMB personnel on this communication, correct?
17 A. Reviewed the document, in addition to my	17 A. Matt Smith.
18 personal knowledge.	17 A. Matt Smith? 18 O. Who's Matt Smith?
19 Q. Are there any other documents reflecting	10Q.who s Mat Shifti19A.He's our CFO.He's actually the one that
20 communications with Timothy Reece or Tim Reece	20 would be paying for it.
21 Investigations concerning potential or actual	21 Q. Was he CFO at the time, in September 2018?
22 executive protection for you or anyone else at NAMB?	22 A. We promoted him to CFO. I'm not sure what
23 A. Not to my knowledge.	23 his title was at the time.
24 Q. What's your understanding, if any, of	24 Q. I don't think he was, but I might be wrong.
25 communications with Timothy Reece that led up to his	25 A. He was over financing.
Page 143	Page 145
1 angagement that's reflected in NAMB 8238?	1 O Was Carlos Ferrer CEO in 2018?
1 engagement that's reflected in NAMB 8238? 2 A Life to the testimony vesterday. I	1 Q. Was Carlos Ferrer CFO in 2018? 2 A. I'm not sure Carlos was executive vice
2 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I	2 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice
2 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I3 mean, I said everything about it yesterday.	2 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice3 president and handed over all the financial
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice 3 president and handed over all the financial 4 responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we
 2 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I 3 mean, I said everything about it yesterday. 4 Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory 5 is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge
 2 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I 3 mean, I said everything about it yesterday. 4 Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory 5 is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in 6 your mouth. 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. T thought the thrust of your testimony was 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice 3 president and handed over all the financial 4 responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we 5 actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge 6 of it at that time. 7 Q. Of what?
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. 7 I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice 3 president and handed over all the financial 4 responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we 5 actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge 6 of it at that time. 7 Q. Of what? 8 A. The financial distribution of resources.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was?
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific angagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith?
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. But they were securing security for someone in Nashville. They said, hey, who was your guy at Long 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith? A. I've spoken to them but not in preparation for today.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. But they were securing security for someone in Nashville. They said, hey, who was your guy at Long Hollow? And I said, Timothy Reece. He's an ex-FBI 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith? A. I've spoken to them but not in preparation for today.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. But they were securing security for someone in Nashville. They said, hey, who was your guy at Long 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith? A. I've spoken to them but not in preparation for today. Q. That was my question, the latter, whether you
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. But they were securing security for someone in Nashville. They said, hey, who was your guy at Long Hollow? And I said, Timothy Reece. He's an ex-FBI agent and he was a fun guy to be around, so that's 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith? A. I've spoken to them but not in preparation for today. Q. That was my question, the latter, whether you
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. But they were securing security for someone in Nashville. They said, hey, who was your guy at Long Hollow? And I said, Timothy Reece. He's an ex-FBI agent and he was a fun guy to be around, so that's why. If I wanted to have somebody around me, I would 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith? A. I've spoken to them but not in preparation for today. Q. That was my question, the latter, whether you A. Today, no.
 A. I refer to the testimony yesterday. I mean, I said everything about it yesterday. Q. Did you I think yesterday my memory is less clear, so I'm not trying to put any words in your mouth. I thought the thrust of your testimony was you didn't know who how it was that Timothy Reece got retained for that. A. No. Remember, I told you that he was on my security detail. Q. I understood that, but the specific engagement for of Timothy Reece Investigations for the SBC meeting in Nashville, Tennessee in 2018, my understanding was you didn't deal with Timothy Reece directly. A. Oh, I didn't deal with him directly, no. But they were securing security for someone in Nashville. They said, hey, who was your guy at Long Hollow? And I said, Timothy Reece. He's an ex-FBI agent and he was a fun guy to be around, so that's why. If I wanted to have somebody around me, I would rather it be Tim. 	 A. I'm not sure. Carlos was executive vice president and handed over all the financial responsibilities to Matt. And I'm not sure when we actually gave him the CFO title, but he was in charge of it at that time. Q. Of what? A. The financial distribution of resources. Q. Matthew Smith was? A. That's my under again, I don't remember the exact dates of when things transferred, but Matt there was an overlap of just trying to of Carlos mentoring Matt, but there was a point that we promoted him to CFO. Q. In order to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 15, did you speak with either Carlos Ferrer or Matt Smith? A. I've spoken to them but not in preparation for today. Q. That was my question, the latter, whether you A. Today, no. Q. Thank you.

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

Page 146	Page 148
1 that led Timothy Reece to insert this comment about	1 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
2 possible threat from Will McRaney, right?	2 A. I'm not aware of any. It was a Ring
3 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	3 system. I'm not aware of documentation.
4 A. Yeah. I don't I don't I don't know.	4 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You said NAMB paid for it.
5 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you aware of any other	5 Mechanically, how did that work? Did they arrange
6 time, other than what's reflected in NAMB 8237 and	6 for direct payment to the security company or did you
7 38, when NAMB retained security for you in connection	7 pay for it and submit it for reimbursement?
8 with a perceived possible threat from Dr. McRaney?	8 MR. PERLA: Can I propose a standing
9 A. I've had security at SBC conventions, as I	9 objection to scope as to the Ring issue so I
10 testified yesterday, and large SBC meetings and one	10 don't keep interrupting?
11 or two trustee meetings, depending on location.	11 MR. GANT: That's fine.
12 Q. Are there any documents associated with	12 MR. PERLA: Thank you.
13 those engagements?	13 MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't concede to
14 A. Can I ask for clarification?	14 the objection.
15 Q. Sure. And I'll represent to you I'm not	15 MR. PERLA: Go ahead.
16 aware of them being produced to us so that's why I'm	16 A. I'm not aware of they wanted to provide
17 at a loss.	17 some type of security for my home and they I don't
18 A. Yeah. I don't know if it would be I'm	18 know if they went to I mean, I don't know if they
19 not I'm just not I'm not aware if you're	19 went to Home Depot and got it. We're talking about
20 referring to a receipt from someone or I'm not	20 Ring here. I mean, it's two or three cameras on all
21 sure	21 the entrances. And so I just so I can monitor
22 Q. I'm not referring to any written	22 when I'm away and that's that's all. I don't know
23 documentation related to the engagements for security	23 anything else besides that.
24 or protection, like the one that we're looking at at	24 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You said NAMB paid for it.
25 NAMB 8237, 38. I haven't seen any others in the	25 I'm trying to understand how that happened.
Page 147	Page 149
 documents that NAMB produced. A. Uh-huh. 	1 A. The process typically I mean, I don't 2 you asked me how I don't know how it happened. I
 A. On-hun. Q. Now, maybe I missed them, but I thought I 	3 can tell you how it typically happened.
4 reviewed every page that NAMB has produced.	4 Q. I'm content with you don't know. I'm just
5 A. I mean, I have Dave Sipe, who's a former	5 trying to find out what you know and don't know.
6 state policeman in Illinois.	6 When did this installation of the home
7 O. I'm asking about documents.	7 security system take place?
8 A. I don't have a document. I don't know of	8 A. I don't know the exact date.
9 any documents.	9 Q. What year was it?
10 Q. So sitting here, testifying on behalf of	10 A. I don't recall. It would have been right
11 NAMB, you're unaware of any documents other than the	11 after it would have been I just do not recall.
12 two pages, NAMB 8237 and 38, that relate to the	-
12 two pages, 14 hold 0257 and 50, that relate to the	12 Q. You don't know what year?
13 engagement or retention of security or executive	12 Q. You don't know what year?13 A. What year? I do not.
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door.
 engagement or retention of security or executive protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. A. Documents, no. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 19 security that was paid for by NAMB, and I think you 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly fee, whatever it is, and then just shows up on
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 19 security that was paid for by NAMB, and I think you 20 suggested that that was somehow related to a 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly fee, whatever it is, and then just shows up on your it's on an app on the phone and you just
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 19 security that was paid for by NAMB, and I think you 20 suggested that that was somehow related to a 21 perceived threat from Dr. McRaney. Was that what you 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly fee, whatever it is, and then just shows up on your it's on an app on the phone and you just can it triggers when someone is there and you
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 19 security that was paid for by NAMB, and I think you 20 suggested that that was somehow related to a 21 perceived threat from Dr. McRaney. Was that what you 22 said? 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly fee, whatever it is, and then just shows up on your it's on an app on the phone and you just can it triggers when someone is there and you can
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 19 security that was paid for by NAMB, and I think you 20 suggested that that was somehow related to a 21 perceived threat from Dr. McRaney. Was that what you 22 said? 23 A. Yes. 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly fee, whatever it is, and then just shows up on your it's on an app on the phone and you just can it triggers when someone is there and you can Q. And you've used it?
 13 engagement or retention of security or executive 14 protection for you related to Dr. McRaney, correct? 15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead. 16 A. Documents, no. 17 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, yesterday during your 18 30(b)(1) deposition, you testified that you got home 19 security that was paid for by NAMB, and I think you 20 suggested that that was somehow related to a 21 perceived threat from Dr. McRaney. Was that what you 22 said? 	 A. What year? I do not. Q. And you said I don't have Ring so I don't know how it works. I think you just said there are two or three cameras on each door. A. Yeah. I pay the monthly fee. They bought the cameras. I pay the fee and then or the yearly fee, whatever it is, and then just shows up on your it's on an app on the phone and you just can it triggers when someone is there and you can

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 40 of 128 PageID #: 3169

Page 150	Page 152
1 you install it but not use it?	1 forth.
2 A. Oh, no. We use it, uh-huh.	2 Q. Okay. So just to make sure it's clear.
3 Q. And since you have installed it, however	3 NAMB paid for the original equipment for
4 long that's been, have you ever found any evidence in	
5 your Ring security system of Dr. McRaney coming to	
6 your home?	6 provides the service, correct?
7 A. No.	7 A. Yes, uh-huh.
8 Q. The security strike that.	8 Q. The in-person security or executive
9 How much does it cost for your Ring system	9 protection that you have had
10 annually?	10 A. Yes.
11 A. I've got it on that automatic it's an	11 Q that NAMB contends was procured due to a
12 annual thing. I think it's roughly a hundred dollars	12 perceived threat from Dr. McRaney, what is the total
13 or more.	13 amount that NAMB has spent on those protection or
14 Q. A month or a year?	14 security or executive protection services?
15 A. No, a year. It's very small.	15 A. I don't have knowledge of the total amount.
16 Q. And do you know how much it costs to	16 Q. Is that something you investigated in order
17 install in the first instance, the start-up cost?	17 to prepare to testify today?
18 A. Oh, I didn't charge them anything. I mean,	18 A. Not the total amount, no.
19 they gave me the cameras. I installed it.	19 Q. Do you have any information about the
20 Q. Oh, you installed them.	20 amount spent on that other than what's set forth on
21 A. Yeah.	21 NAMB 8238?
22 Q. Did you have to pay for the equipment?	22 A. I have access to it, but I don't know it.
23 A. No. They bought the they bought the	23 Q. You have access to it, but you didn't
24 cameras.	24 review it in order to prepare to testify here today?25 A. No.
25 Q. I'm sorry. Who is the "they"?	
Page 151 1 A. I know Carlos was the one that I	Page 153
1 A. I know Carlos was the one that I 2 communicated with, Carlos Ferrer.	1 Q. Can you look at Topic 15? Do you see on 2 the third line at the end it says "this includes" and
3 Q. So Carlos Ferrer supervised the actual	3 there's a colon? Do you see that?
4 purchase of the equipment?	4 A. Yes.
5 A. I don't know. Carlos at the time was the	5 Q. And two lines down, among the list included
6 executive vice president, and he said we feel like we	6 it says, quote, "The amount paid for such services
7 need we want to provide this for you, and he	7 and the source of funds used for such payments."
8 brought them to me and I installed them.	8 Do you see that?
9 Q. Okay. So Carlos Ferrer brought you the	9 A. Yes.
10 equipment and you installed it yourself?	10 Q. You did not investigate either of those
11 A. Yeah, to my knowledge. I haven't so	11 issues in order to prepare to testify today, correct?
12 many years somebody could have helped me or	12 A. Not for the total amount. No, I didn't.
13 but	13 Q. What about the source of funds?
14 Q. And when you said that NAMB paid for the	14 MR. PERLA: Asked and answered.
15 home security, did they only pay for the provision of	15 A. Yeah. I no.
16 the equipment or did they also pay for the monthly or	
17 annual fees?	17 breaking point and the other topics are going to
18 A. No, the camera. Because I remember saying,	18 be longer, so let's just start our lunch recess
19 hey, look, let me just do that. No, we want to do	19 and we can reconvene.
20 this. And I said, well, I'm at least going to pay	20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 12:18.
21 for the subscription fee, and that's how it worked.	21 (WHEREUPON, a luncheon recess was taken,
22 Q. So you refused an offer to pay for the	22 12:18 p.m 1:32 p.m.)
23 subscription from NAMB?	23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record
24 A. Yeah. It was I said refuse I just	24 at 1:32.
25 said, let me do it. It wasn't an official back and	25 MR. GANT: First, just one housekeeping

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 41 of 128 PageID #: 3170

	Dec. 154	Dec. 157
1	Page 154 issue. As I said I would, I looked through the	Page 156 1 was dated the previous year.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	notebook that NAMB produced at the beginning of	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	the deposition. I looked at it during lunch and	3 A. Yes, sir.
4	I didn't get to read every page, but I skimmed	4 Q. Okay. And you identified that very long
5	through it and I noticed that there were some	5 e-mail as the starting point for what you described
6	documents in the notebook that have not been	6 as supposed security concerns related to Dr. McRaney,
7	produced to us. I assume you're aware of that.	7 correct?
8	MR. PERLA: They're being produced today,	8 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead.
9	so yes is the answer to your question.	9 A. That's when the temperature changed and we
10	MR. GANT: I saw at least some between	10 sensed that we needed I'm sorry to begin to be
11	Tabs 8 and 9 that have not been produced yet.	11 more cautious with how we handled him and
12	There may have been others. So everything	12 communications with him, so yes. Uh-huh.
13	that's in the notebook that was not previously	13 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is what you described as the
14	produced will be produced today?	14 very long e-mail anywhere behind Tab 4 in this
15	MR. PERLA: I believe that's right, yes.	15 notebook?
16	MR. GANT: And were there any things being	16 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead.
17	produced today that are not in the notebook?	17 A. I don't I don't see it.
18	MS. HERRINGTON: No.	18 Q. (By Mr. Gant) What is your understanding,
19	MR. GANT: That was a no from	19 if any, of why NAMB 20 through 24 is included behind
20	Miss Herrington.	20 Tab 4 in the notebook that NAMB prepared for today's
21	Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Let's go back to	21 deposition?
	Topic 4. Just have a few other questions. Do you	A. That was is the additional communication
	have the notice in front of you?	23 from Mr. McRaney. Again, there was so many e-mails,
24	A. Yes, sir.	24 so many long e-mails. But as far as just the ongoing
25	Q. Okay. And if you could look at the tab,	25 disintegration of, say, relationship, this is in 16.
	Page 155	Page 157
	I'm going to ask you about one of the documents	1 Q. Are you finished? I have another question.
2	I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4.	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry.
2 3	I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay.	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a
2 3 4	I'm going to ask you about one of the documentsbehind Tab 4.A. Okay.Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or
2 3 4 5	I'm going to ask you about one of the documentsbehind Tab 4.A. Okay.Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier thefirst document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby
2 3 4 5 6	I'm going to ask you about one of the documentsbehind Tab 4.A. Okay.Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier thefirst document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through24.	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building.
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm going to ask you about one of the documentsbehind Tab 4.A. Okay.Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier thefirst document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through24.Do you see that?	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly.	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? A. Help me again. Q. I'm just reading the A. Number 4. Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 4 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Yesterday we were discussing what you 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters? 18 A. I would just think of the ongoing nature of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Yesterday we were discussing what you described as a very long e-mail and we didn't have it 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters? 18 A. I would just think of the ongoing nature of 19 our strained relationship.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Yesterday we were discussing what you described as a very long e-mail and we didn't have it in front of us. 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters? 18 A. I would just think of the ongoing nature of 19 our strained relationship. 20 Q. Was there anything specific about this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Yesterday we were discussing what you described as a very long e-mail and we didn't have it in front of us. Do you know if this document, NAMB 20 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? A. Help me again. Q. I'm just reading the A. Number 4. Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 4 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters? A. I would just think of the ongoing nature of 9 our strained relationship. Q. Was there anything specific about this 21 letter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Yesterday we were discussing what you described as a very long e-mail and we didn't have it in front of us. Do you know if this document, NAMB 20 through 24, is the document you were referring to 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters? 18 A. I would just think of the ongoing nature of 19 our strained relationship. 20 Q. Was there anything specific about this 21 letter? 22 A. I don't think anything specific.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 I'm going to ask you about one of the documents behind Tab 4. A. Okay. Q. All right. We discussed a bit earlier the first document behind Tab 4, which is NAMB 20 through 24. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh. Q. Remember, you need to respond audibly. MR. PERLA: I think he said yes, sir. A. Said yes, sir. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. It was very faint so I barely heard it. This is a letter dated February 3rd, 2016. It's directed to NAMB trustees and SBC leaders, correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. Yesterday we were discussing what you described as a very long e-mail and we didn't have it in front of us. Do you know if this document, NAMB 20 through 24, is the document you were referring to that you described as a very long e-mail yesterday? 	 Q. Are you finished? I have another question. A. Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Topic 4 is the circumstances under which a 4 photograph of plaintiff was fixed, posted or 5 otherwise placed and maintained at the lobby 6 reception desk of your building. 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Help me again. 9 Q. I'm just reading the 10 A. Number 4. 11 Q. Yes, the first sentence of Number 4. 12 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 13 Q. So what I'm asking you your testimony about 14 on behalf of NAMB is what is the relationship between 15 the document that's Bates labeled NAMB 20 to 24 to 16 the posting of the photograph of Dr. McRaney at the 17 security desk at NAMB headquarters? 18 A. I would just think of the ongoing nature of 19 our strained relationship. 20 Q. Was there anything specific about this 21 letter? 22 A. I don't think anything specific. 23 Q. You let me know when you're done with your

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 42 of 128 PageID #: 3171

Decific, but Q. Nothing to add to your answer?	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. About that what?
	2	
A No		Q. (By Mr. Gant) You don't know who, if
A. No.	3	anyone, between NAMB and BCMD spoke about the motio
Q. Let's turn back to Tab 8 and Topic 8.	4	to quash that was filed by BCMD prior to March 2022?
hese were some of the new documents so I or at	5	A. I wouldn't know of any date and time of a
ast some of this was new, so I reviewed it as best	6	discussion specifically about that.
could during lunch. I believe and, again, I did	7	Q. Is that something you investigated in order
is at lunch so I might be wrong.	8	to prepare to testify today?
The last communication I see in here was	9	A. No.
om 2017, specifically May 30, 2017. That page I	10	MR. GANT: I'll just note to NAMB's counsel
ee is 10896, just for reference.	11	that obviously there was a prior motion, and if
A. Okay.	12	there were any communications between NAMB and
Q. Did NAMB have any communications about its	13	BCMD or their respective counsel, we believe
surance claim with Gallagher or AIG at any point	14	those should have been produced and we reiterate
fter May 30, 2017?	15	the request for them.
A. I have no knowledge of that.	16	MR. PERLA: I'm just going to say generally
Q. Is that something you investigated to	17	I understand you're putting a request on the
repare for today's deposition?	18	record. I don't have a problem with that. I'm
A. No, huh-uh. No, sir.	19	not taking the time to respond now. I just
Q. All right. Let's go back to Number 9,	20	don't want it to be misconstrued as acceptance.
opic 9 and Tab 9. This is one where I think I	21	MR. GANT: I will not construe it that way.
elieve all of the documents in this tab were not	22	MR. PERLA: Thank you.
roduced yet and not given to us until this morning	23	Q. (By Mr. Gant) In preparing for today's
I did my best to skim through them.	24	deposition, did you ask anyone if there were any
And, again, I might be wrong, but based on	1	communications, oral or written, between NAMB or
Page 159		Page 161
e time I had to review it, the earliest	1	BCMD, including their counsel, about a motion to
ommunication I saw here in the documents behind	1	quash that was filed by BCMD prior to March 2022?
ab 9 were from March 2002 sorry, March 2022, so I	3	A. Not in preparation.
dn't see anything behind Tab 9 that was before	4	Q. Let's go to Topic 13 and Tab 13.
larch 2022.	5	Do you have that topic in front of you?
Are you aware of any communications between	6	A. Yes, sir.
CMD and NAMB, including their respective counsel,	7	Q. And what did you do to specifically testify
om prior to March 2022 concerning a potential or		regarding Topic 13 today?
ctual motion to quash a subpoena by BCMD?	9	A. Reviewed the employee all the documents
A. I'm not aware.		included under Tab 13.
Q. Is that something you investigated in order	11	Q. Anything else?
prepare for today's deposition?	12	A. And reviewed the response to Plaintiff's
A. No.	1	First Set of Interrogatories. Also
Q. Are you aware that BCMD filed a motion to	13	Q. Isn't that part of Tab 13?
ush a subpoena from NAMB prior to March 2022?	14	A. Yes, sir, everything in Tab 13. I don't
A. Yes. I know they filed it on another date.		know if you want me to list them all or not.
	1	Q. No, no, I don't want you to list them all.
		I'm asking you if you did anything to prepare to
		testify regarding Topic 13 other than review
		A. What's listed sorry.
		-
		Q other than what's behind Tab 13.
and the second	1 22	A. No.
	22	O There's an avagent of a demonstrian
Decific dates and times of conversations. Q. You don't know who spoke and when about at?	23	Q. There's an excerpt of a deposition transcript that's behind Tab 13. Did you read that?
et a	t earlier motion to quash or a draft of it? A. I don't I don't have knowledge of	whether or not there were any communications18ween NAMB and BCMD, including their counsel, about19t earlier motion to quash or a draft of it?20A. I don't I don't have knowledge of21ccific dates and times of conversations.22

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 43 of 128 PageID #: 3172

Page 162	Page 164
1 Q. Do you remember how much of it you read?	1 A. No.
2 A. I just took a glance at a few pages.	2 Q. Do you know what specific version of the
3 Q. Do you know which pages?	3 employee handbook these three pages that we're
4 A. No. Because my interaction with you	4 looking at came from?
5 know, I don't remember which pages. I was just	5 A. I do not know about the different versions.
6 trying to glance, get familiar with it. So no, I	6 Some sections obviously stay the same and others are
7 don't.	7 adjusted.
8 Q. Do you remember how long you spent glancing	8 Q. Looking at these three pages, we can't tell
9 at the excerpts from Tom Wigginton's deposition	9 when
10 transcript behind Tab 13?	10 A. I can't tell.
11 A. I don't.	11 Q it was in effect?
12 Q. Did you speak or otherwise communicate with	12 A. No. Right. I don't know.
13 Tom Wigginton in order to prepare to testify	13 Q. And looking at these three pages, we can't
14 regarding Topic 13?	14 tell whether it's the same or different than other
15 A. No.	15 versions of the employee handbooks, can we?
16 Q. Let's turn to the first document behind	16 A. Again, I don't know if it is or not.
17 Tab 13. This is well, strike that.	17 MR. GANT: I made this request to NAMB
18 What is this document?	18 before and pointed out we have no idea what
19 A. Employee handbook.	19 period of time these pages cover. I don't know
20 Q. And there were Bates numbers on here and	20 why we haven't received a response. But I
21 just very difficult to read. I think it's NAMB 5239	21 reiterate our request for that information to
22 through 41, on the bottom right.	22 which we're entitled. And on this particular
23 So what is this three-page document?	23 point, I'm going to leave the deposition open on
A. It's the document retention section of our	24 this issue since we have not timely received
25 employee handbook.	25 that information.
Page 163	Page 165
1 Q. Now, because this is an excerpt and that	1 MR. PERLA: I'll object to that and note
2 it says on the bottom left it's Pages 35 to 37 of	2 that the request is outside the scope of the
3 presumably the employee handbook. Is that where it	
	3 topic.
4 comes from?	4 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that.
5 A. Yes, sir.	 4 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. 5 MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date,
5 A. Yes, sir.6 Q. So this is three pages out of that	 4 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. 5 MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, 6 you should have said so.
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 	 4 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. 5 MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, 6 you should have said so. 7 MR. GANT: I made that request several
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 	 4 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. 5 MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, 6 you should have said so. 7 MR. GANT: I made that request several 8 times before you were involved in the case.
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 	 4 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. 5 MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, 6 you should have said so. 7 MR. GANT: I made that request several 8 times before you were involved in the case. 9 MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't.
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says,
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation,
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff."
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that?
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes.
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. What, if anything, have you done to
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 19 I'm not aware. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What, if anything, have you done to investigate whether there were any notices or
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 19 I'm not aware. 20 Q. Is that something you investigated in order 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What, if anything, have you done to investigate whether there were any notices or communications regarding preservation,
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 19 I'm not aware. 20 Q. Is that something you investigated in order 21 to prepare for today's deposition? 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What, if anything, have you done to investigate whether there were any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 19 I'm not aware. 20 Q. Is that something you investigated in order 21 to prepare for today's deposition? 22 A. No. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What, if anything, have you done to investigate whether there were any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 19 I'm not aware. 20 Q. Is that something you investigated in order 21 to prepare for today's deposition? 22 A. No. 23 Q. So sitting here you don't know how many 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What, if anything, have you done to investigate whether there were any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff? A. Yes. A. I'm aware of I refer to Mr. Wigginton's
 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. So this is three pages out of that 7 handbook. We didn't receive any others. 8 Do you know what period of time these 9 particular pages were in effect? 10 A. To clarify 11 Q. You seem confused. 12 A. I don't know what you're asking. 13 Q. Let me ask a foundational question. Does 14 the employee handbook get updated from time to time? 15 A. Oh, yes. Uh-huh. 16 Q. How many times has it been updated between 17 2013 and the present? 18 A. I wouldn't be able to tell you exactly. 19 I'm not aware. 20 Q. Is that something you investigated in order 21 to prepare for today's deposition? 22 A. No. 	 MR. GANT: I certainly disagree with that. MR. PERLA: If you wanted to know a date, you should have said so. MR. GANT: I made that request several times before you were involved in the case. MR. PERLA: Not in the topic you didn't. Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you look at the topic, you will see at the beginning of the second line it says, "Your record retention policies or procedures; any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What, if anything, have you done to investigate whether there were any notices or communications regarding preservation, non-preservation or disposition of documents related to this case or plaintiff?

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 44 of 128 PageID #: 3173

Page 166	Page 168
1 Q. Okay. But this is a 30(b)(6) of NAMB so	1 things and did it. I mean, he did it. So, I mean,
2 I'm entitled to know	2 he notified everybody, but he's the one that was able
3 A. Right.	3 to retain it.
4 Q what NAMB knows about that.	4 Q. Did you speak with Tom Wigginton for the
5 A. NAMB would know what Tom Wigginton knows in	
6 his I refer you back to the his testimony I'm	6 Topic 13?
7 sure	7 A. I spoke to Tom Wigginton back during the
8 Q. You told me you didn't read all of his	8 time that it was happening, but to prepare for this,
9 testimony.	9 I did not.
10 A. I didn't, but I'm sure I mean, he's in	10 Q. When did NAMB collect documents from
11 charge of that area.	11 trustees for production in this case?
12 Q. So I'm going to ask you, sitting here	12 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
13 testifying on behalf of NAMB either you can answer	13 A. I'm not aware of a date, the date.
14 me or you can't were there notices or	14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know a year?
15 communications sent out to NAMB personnel to preserve	15 A. I'm not aware of the year.
16 or not dispose of documents	16 Q. Is that something you investigated in order
17 A. Oh, absolutely. I'm sorry.	17 to prepare for today's deposition?
18 Q of documents related to this case or	18 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
19 Dr. McRaney?	19 A. No.
20 A. Yes.	20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know what trustees, if
21 Q. To whom did those notices go out?	21 any, were asked to provide documents relevant or
22 MR. PERLA: Objection. If we're talking	22 responsive for this case
about litigation hold notices, which I think we	23 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
24 are, I'm instructing the witness not to answer	24 Q. (By Mr. Gant) for production to
25 on the basis of the attorney-client privilege.	25 plaintiff?
Page 167	Page 169
1 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you going to answer that?	1 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
2 A. No.	2 A. No.
3 Q. Are you going to answer or refuse to	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know whether at any
4 answer?	4 point any trustees were asked to preserve and not
5 A. No. I'll take my attorney's advice.	5 destroy documents related to this case or to
6 Q. You're refusing to answer?	6 plaintiff?
7 A. Based on his objection.	7 A. I don't recall the date.
8 Q. When did notices go out to NAMB personnel	8 Q. Do you know for a fact that that happened?
9 to preserve or not dispose of documents related to 10 plaintiff or this case?	9 A. I was told that I was told that they 10 notified everyone they were to notify by Tom
11 A. Mr. Wigginton told me the minute we were 12 instructed and we understood that we were to do that,	 Wigginton. Q. Okay. My understanding is that did not
13 and we were instructed to do that, he did that.	13 include trustees. Do you know for a fact whether it
14 Q. Instructed by whom?	14 did?
15 A. I don't I don't know. I just said	15 A. I would have to refer to Tom Wigginton. I
	16 just want to make sure did we do everything they said
17 that, and he said when we were instructed to by the	17 they had to do? He said yes, sir.
18 notification, whatever notification we received.	18 Q. As part of your preparation to testify
19 Q. What year was that?	19 today on behalf of NAMB regarding Tab 13, did you
20 A. Whenever it happened. I don't know the	20 investigate whether and when NAMB trustees were
21 year.	21 requested to preserve documents related to this case
22 Q. Did you ask anyone the date that or	22 or plaintiff?
23 dates the preservation notices went out?	23 A. No.
24 A. No. I mean, he started he started that	24 Q. And you don't know whether that happened
25 and term flip the lever so no one can delete	25 and, if it did, when?
r	······································

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 45 of 128 PageID #: 3174

	Page 170			Page 172
1 A. I kno	ow that Mr. Wigginton I refer to his	1	Q.	Well, I'm asking you on behalf of NAMB
	Mr. Wigginton told me that it happened.	2		No.
-	t trustees were told?	3	Q.	is NAMB's response to interrogatory
	old me that they had notified everyone	4		er 1, as set forth in the document behind Tab 13,
5 they were to				ccurate and complete and up to date?
-	y. But you don't know whether that	6		Yes.
7 included tru		7		All right. Let's turn to Topic 16, Tab 16.
	's what I said, yes.	8		What is behind Tab 16 as you understand it?
	ind Tab 13, the second document I	9		Yes, sir.
-	AMB's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set	10		What's behind Tab 16?
11 of Interroga		11	· ·	NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Third Set of
-	bu see that?			ogatories and First Set of Interrogatories and
12 Do yo				d Set of Interrogatories.
	do you see request Number 1 there?	13		Okay.
14 Q. And 15 A. Yes.		14	-	So no third set of interrogatories.
		15		I believe NAMB on Wednesday produced
	you review that interrogatory and			
	sponses in preparing for today's deposition?		-	uses to a fourth set of interrogatories. Are
	riewed it, yes.	-		vare of that? It's not behind that tab.
	you see there's a verification at	19		Not behind the tab.
20 Page 5 of th		20		I think it might be behind a different tab.
21 A. Yes,		21		Okay.
	n George McCallum?	22		My question was, were you aware that this
	rge McCallum.			Vednesday, two days ago, NAMB produced Responses
-	ed July 11, 2022?			ntiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories?
25 A. Yes,	, S1 r .	25	А.	I was aware.
	Page 171			Page 173
	you speak with Mr. McCallum in order to	1		Have you reviewed those at all?
	cerning Topic 13 today?	2		Not in detail.
3 A. Yes.		3		When did you review them?
	at did you discuss?	4		. It would have been in the last when I
	you verify the document included?		-	ne notebook, last couple days.
6 Q. And	what did he say?	6	-	. When did you get this notebook?
7 A. Yes.		7		. This notebook, on Wednesday.
8 Q. Did	you ask him anything else?	8		. And what was your understanding of the
9 A. No.		9		ose of it when you received it?
10 Q. Did	you ask him if any of the information	10		. To make me familiar with the
11 needed to be	e updated or was incomplete or inaccurate?			ogatories and the information included so I
12 A. I ask	ted him if he verified it.	12	could	l represent NAMB in an appropriate way.
13 Q. You	didn't ask him if it needed any	13	Q	. Do you know what
14 updating or	correcting?	14		(Cell phone interruption.)
	ly asked him if it needed to be	15		. (By Mr. Gant) George McCallum provided
16 verified.		16	verifi	cations for each of the interrogatories,
17 Q. Sitti	ng here testifying on behalf of NAMB,	17	corre	ct?
18 is NAMB's	response to interrogatory one, as set forth	18	Α	. Yes.
19 in the docu	nent behind Tab 13, accurate and complete?	19	Q	. Did you ask him what steps he took before
20 A. Yes.		20	verify	ying each of them in order to prepare to testify
	y up to date?	21	today	7?
21 Q. Full		22	-	MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
	as verified. That's my yes.			- Jerre Pres
22 A. It wa	as verified. That's my yes. y. Does it require any changes or	23	А	. I asked him if he verified each one.
22 A. It was 23 Q. Oka				

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 46 of 128 PageID #: 3175

Page 174		Page 176
Q. Did he explain what specific steps he took	1	Are you aware that NAMB refused to produce
	2	those documents initially?
	3	-
A. No.	4	Q. Are you aware that the judge overruled
Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you do anything other	5	NAMB's refusal and ordered NAMB to produce all
than strike that.		documents in its possession, custody or control
What specifically did you do to prepare to		mentioning, referring to, describing, or using the
		phrase "supporting organization"?
-		memory of the details of that.
		-
3		produced to plaintiff as NAMB, underscore supporting,
-		underscore organization, Bates Numbers 1 through
		3453, and any documents in your possession, custody
-		or control mentioning, referring to, describing, or
		using the phrase "supporting organization" which you
		did not produce to plaintiff.
5		
		•
regarding Topic 16, and you specifically undertake	25	of the documents in its possession, custody or
Page 175		Page 177
		control mentioning, referring to, describing, or
	2	using the phrase "supporting organization"? Yes or
• • • •	3	no.
-	4	5
		documents listed, 1 through 03453? Is that what
-		you're referring to?
Am I correct that there are no documents	7	Q. I'm asking if NAMB has produced
	8	
-	9	Q. Okay. What did you do to assure yourself
	10	that there were no others, other than the NAMB the
	11	1 through 3453 reference there?
A. My personal knowledge of being a supporting	12	MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead.
organization.	13	A. What else did I do?
Q. Are there any documents that correspond to	14	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Yes.
that purported personal knowledge that you have?	15	A. If you're asking me did I investigate
MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	16	again, no.
A. No.	17	Q. Did you speak with anyone in order to
Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, are you aware that	18	prepare to testify regarding Topic 11 to determine
plaintiff served a document request to NAMB asking	19	whether or not there were any documents that NAMB has
	20	not yet produced that mentioned, referred to,
for all documents in your possession, custody or		
for all documents in your possession, custody or control, mentioning, referring to, describing or		described or used the phrase "supporting
	21	described or used the phrase "supporting organization"?
control, mentioning, referring to, describing or	21	organization"?
control, mentioning, referring to, describing or using the phrase "supporting organization"?	21 22 23	organization"?
	 Q. Did he explain what specific steps he took to verify any of them? MR. PERLA: Same objection. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you do anything other than strike that. What specifically did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 16? A. Reviewed the information in this binder. Q. Behind Tab 16? A. Behind MR. PERLA: Objection. Misstates his testimony. Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm asking the question. Go ahead. A. Behind each tab, but yes. Q. Were there in order to prepare regarding Topic 16, did you review any documents other than those behind Tab 16? MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered A. No. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have any testimony strike that. In preparing to provide testimony today regarding Topic 16, did you specifically undertake Page 175 any investigation of the factual bases for NAMB's responses to plaintiff's interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Scope. A. No investigation. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You can put that aside for now. Let's turn to Topic 11 and Tab 11. Am I correct that there are no documents behind Tab 11? A. Right. Q. What did you do to prepare to testify regarding Topic 11 today? A. My personal knowledge of being a supporting organization. Q. Are there any documents that correspond to that purported personal knowledge that you have? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. A. No.	Q. Did he explain what specific steps he took 1 to verify any of them? 2 MR. PERLA: Same objection. 3 A. No. 4 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you do anything other 5 than strike that. 6 What specifically did you do to prepare to 7 testify regarding Topic 16? 8 A. Reviewed the information in this binder. 9 Q. Behind Tab 16? 10 A. Behind 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Misstates his 12 testimony. 13 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm asking the question. Go 14 ahead. 15 A. Behind each tab, but yes. 16 Q. Were there in order to prepare regarding 17 Topic 16, did you review any documents other than 18 those behind Tab 16? 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered 20 A. No. 21 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have any testimony 22 strike that. 23 In preparing to provide testimony today 24 regarding Topic 16, did you specifically undertake 25<

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 47 of 128 PageID #: 3176

Page 178	Page 180
1 NAMB, has NAMB produced to plaintiff already every	1 Q. Not the food, not the condiment.
2 document in its possession, custody, or control	2 Do you see at the top of this document it
3 mentioning, referring to, describing, or using the	3 says "from mustard seed support"?
4 phrase "supporting organization"?	4 A. So you're asking me do I know what the
5 A. I to my knowledge, I'm not aware of any	5 mustard seed organization is?
6 documents that we have not produced that you've	6 Q. Correct.
7 asked.	7 A. I do not.
8 Q. Did you ask anyone to confirm for you that	8 Q. Does NAMB sometimes receive contributions
9 all such documents have already been produced to	9 from individuals through go ahead.
10 plaintiff in order to prepare to testify today?	10 A. Yes, sir.
11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered.	11 Q. And do you know how those are processed?
12 Scope.	12 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Scope.
13 A. Not those specific words. Our	13 A. Depends on how it's the money
14 relationships and partnerships with the states, the	14 depending how it's given. If it's some are
15 specific words, they all know we're a supporting	15 hand-delivered, but typically it's electronically.
16 partner. We've been that for years.	16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. Does this document
17 MR. GANT: Move to strike as nonresponsive.	17 indicate that someone named Scott Heider
18 Can you read back that question, Robin?	18 contributed \$52.69 to NAMB on or around May 31, 2017?
19 (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the	19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
20 reporter as follows:)	20 A. Details, donation processing. I mean, it's
21 "Question: Did you ask anyone to confirm	21 a NAMB document so I believe it to be trustworthy.
for you that all such documents have already	22 It says donations, but that's a weird donation.
23 been produced to plaintiff in order to prepare	23 Q. (By Mr. Gant) What do you mean it's a weird
24 to testify today?"	24 donation?
25 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Yes or no?	A. We don't normally get \$52.69 donations.
Page 179	Page 181
1 MR. PERLA: Same objections.	1 Q. I don't know. Maybe there was a processing
2 A. I did not ask anyone, no.	2 fee charge.
3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 19, E-mail to Heider	3 A. Typically I just I'm just saying
4 from Mustard Seed Support, 6/1/17, Bates stamped	4 typically they're round numbers.
5 NAMB Supporting Organiztion 2491 - 92, marked	5 Q. You can put that aside for now.
6 for identification.)	6 Based on your prior answer about the
7 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did you, in order to prepare	7 authenticity of all documents produced by NAMB, I
8 to testify today, review any of the documents	8 take it that NAMB concedes that this is an authentic
9 produced as NAMB Supporting Organization 001 through	9 document.
10 3453?	10 A. Yes.
11 A. No.	11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, E-mail string to
12 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as	12 Varnum from Smith, 11/8/17, Bates stamped NAMB
13 Exhibit 19.	13 Supporting Organization 3450 - 3452, marked for
14 A. Okay.	14 identification.)
15 Q. It's Bates labeled NAMB Supporting	15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You now have Exhibit 20,
16 Organization 2491 through 92.	16 which is Bates labeled NAMB Supporting Organization
17 Have you ever seen this before?	17 3450 through 3452.
18 A. No.	18 MR. GANT: I don't remember the particulars
19 Q. Do you know who Scott Heider is?	19 of the protective order on these documents. Do
20 A. I do not.	20 you want Dr. McRaney to leave the room?
Q. Do you know whether that's someone who	21 MS. HERRINGTON: I don't think that's
22 works for NAMB?	22 necessary. I think it's just a matter of
A. I don't know who Scott Heider is.	23 ensuring that the information does not leave
Q. Do you know what mustard seed is?	24 this room.25 MR. GANT: Okay. I didn't think it
A. What a mustard seed is?	25 MR. GANT: Okay. I didn't think it

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

	Page 182		Page 184
1	required that. I just wanted to offer if you	1	right?
2	thought that.	2	-
3	MS. HERRINGTON: Well, okay. I think we	3	Q. You can put that aside, then.
4	should designate this part of the transcript as	4	
5	confidential or sealed, however we want to go	5	The North American Mission Board of the Southern
6	about doing it.	6	
7	MR. GANT: I don't remember what the order	7	
8	provides for under that. If you want to make	8	Organization 2842 - 43, marked for
9	that request on the record, I will take it	9	identification.)
10	I'm not taking a position on it right now.	9 10	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 21 is Bates labeled
11			NAMB Supporting Organization 2842 through 43. This
12	we can see what it looks like and handle that		is an excerpt of a document. I don't remember
13	later.		whether NAMB produced the entirety or whether they
14	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, have you had a chance to		
	look at Exhibit 20?		an excerpt from a broader document.
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	From looking at it, do you recognize what
17	MR. PERLA: Let me make a standing		document this comes from?
18	objection, at least what I hope you will agree	18	MR. PERLA: Can we confirm my standing
19	is a standing objection. I would object on the	19	objection applies to this as well?
20	basis of scope to any questioning about any	20	MR. GANT: Yes.
21	particular document pursuant to Topic 11. When	21	MR. PERLA: Thank you.
22	the topic simply identifies 3,453 documents,	22	A. It's a NAMB document, but I don't know from
23	it's not reasonable or within the scope to	23	what document that it comes from.
24	expect the witness to be familiar with any one	24	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you have any reason to
25	of over 3,000 documents. That's my objection.	25	doubt the authenticity of these pages?
	Page 183		Page 185
1	May I have a standing objection?	1	A. No, sir.
1 2	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may.	1 2	-
	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you.	2	A. No, sir.
2	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may.	2 3	A. No, sir.Q. It says in the first paragraph do you
2 3	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you.	2 3	A. No, sir.Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the
2 3 4	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not	2 3 4	A. No, sir.Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization?
2 3 4 5	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is	2 3 4 5 6	A. No, sir.Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, at least at one point in time. Do you see this e-mail chain is from November 2017? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question? Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that an accurate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, at least at one point in time. Do you see this e-mail chain is from November 2017? A. Yes, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question? Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that an accurate statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, at least at one point in time. Do you see this e-mail chain is from November 2017? A. Yes, sir. Q. And at the time it says that Matt Smith was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question? Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that an accurate statement? A. I would I'm not an attorney. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, at least at one point in time. Do you see this e-mail chain is from November 2017? A. Yes, sir. Q. And at the time it says that Matt Smith was chief accounting officer and controller of NAMB, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question? Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that an accurate statement? A. I would I'm not an attorney. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, at least at one point in time. Do you see this e-mail chain is from November 2017? A. Yes, sir. Q. And at the time it says that Matt Smith was chief accounting officer and controller of NAMB, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question? Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that an accurate statement? A. I would I'm not an attorney. I don't know. Q. The next sentence says, The Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 May I have a standing objection? MR. GANT: You may. MR. PERLA: Thank you. MR. GANT: Obviously, I don't I do not agree with the objection, but your objection is noted. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is Exhibit 20 an authentic document produced by plaintiff by NAMB in this case? A. It's NAMB-produced and so Q. And we discussed earlier Matt Smith. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And Matt Smith is on some of this e-mail chain, correct? A. Yes. Q. And we were discussing Matt Smith's title, at least at one point in time. Do you see this e-mail chain is from November 2017? A. Yes, sir. Q. And at the time it says that Matt Smith was chief accounting officer and controller of NAMB, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. No, sir. Q. It says in the first paragraph do you see there's a heading, One Description of the Organization? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, "The North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, Inc., NAMB or Board, is incorporated in the State of Georgia as a not for profit organization and has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement? MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. What was the question? Q. (By Mr. Gant) Is that an accurate statement? A. I would I'm not an attorney. I don't know.

47 (Pages 182 - 185)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 49 of 128 PageID #: 3178

	Page 186		Page 188
1	Do you see that?	1	organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do
2	A. Yes.	2	you?"
3	Q. Which is not a private foundation under	3	MR. PERLA: Same objections.
4	Section 509(a)(1) of the Code.	4	A. No.
5	Do you see that?	5	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you?
6	A. I do.	6	A. I don't have the ability to answer that
7	Q. Do you have any basis for disputing the	7	based on I don't know the legal or the accounting
8	accuracy of that sentence?	8	
9	MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a	9	Q. So you're not a lawyer?
10		10	
11	A. I have no legal training so I would not	11	Q. Okay. So you don't know what a supported
	know.		organization is, do you?
13	Q. (By Mr. Gant) You're not aware of any basis	13	MR. PERLA: Objection. Calls for a
	for disputing or asserting that this is inaccurate,	14	Q. (By Mr. Gant) You just said you don't know.
1	are you?		You're not a lawyer. You're not an accountant. So
16	-		•
17		17	A. You said
18		18	Q as a matter of the Internal Revenue
	answer.		Code, do you know what a supported organization is?
20		20	• • • •
1	NAMB, correct?	20	conclusion.
1		$ ^{21}$ 22	
22	A. Yes.		A. As I said, I know we're a nonprofit. I
23	MR. PERLA: Objection. Same objections,		don't know the legal terms or the accounting terms,
24	1 8		but we're a nonprofit.
25	Q. (By Mr. Gant) And you have been the	25	Q. (By Mr. Gant) So you don't know whether
	Page 187		Page 189
	president of NAMB since 2010, correct?		NAMB is a supported organization under the Internal
2	A. Yes.		Revenue Code, do you?
3	MR. PERLA: Same objection.	3	MR. PERLA: Same objection, plus asked and
4	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know whether NAMB is	4	answered.
	classified as a publicly supported organization under	5	A. I don't have legal background or accounting
6	the Internal Revenue Code?		background, and I know we're a nonprofit.
7	MR. PERLA: Objection. Asked and answered.	7	
8	It calls for a legal conclusion.	8	question?
9	A. I'm not an accountant or an attorney so I	9	MR. PERLA: Same objections, plus asked and
10	don't know the legal I know we're nonprofit.	10	answered again.
1 4 4	Q. (By Mr. Gant) And sitting here, you don't	11	Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm going to give you one
11			
12	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is	12	last chance.
12 13	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under	12 13	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or
12 13	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you?	12 13 14	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the
12 13	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including	12 13 14	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit.
12 13 14	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including	12 13 14	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the
12 13 14 15	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including	12 13 14 15	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit.
12 13 14 15 16 17	have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered.	12 13 14 15 16	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253, marked for
12 13 14 15 16 17	 have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered. A. Yeah, same answer. Again, I have no legal 	12 13 14 15 16 17	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered. A. Yeah, same answer. Again, I have no legal training or accounting training. MR. GANT: Can you read back my question, 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253, marked for
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered. A. Yeah, same answer. Again, I have no legal training or accounting training. MR. GANT: Can you read back my question, please? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253, marked for identification.)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered. A. Yeah, same answer. Again, I have no legal training or accounting training. MR. GANT: Can you read back my question, please? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253, marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 22 is Bates labeled NAMB Supporting Organization 1253. You see on the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered. A. Yeah, same answer. Again, I have no legal training or accounting training. MR. GANT: Can you read back my question, please? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253, marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 22 is Bates labeled NAMB Supporting Organization 1253. You see on the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 have any facts to dispute or disprove that NAMB is classified as a publicly supported organization under the Internal Revenue Code, do you? MR. PERLA: Same objections, including asked and answered. A. Yeah, same answer. Again, I have no legal training or accounting training. MR. GANT: Can you read back my question, please? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: And sitting here, you don't 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 last chance. A. We're a nonprofit. I don't have legal or accounting background enough to I don't know the code. I know we're a nonprofit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, Letter to Williams from Smith, 11/17/2017, Bates stamped NAMB Supporting Organization 1253, marked for identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 22 is Bates labeled NAMB Supporting Organization 1253. You see on the bottom of this it says Matthew T. Smith, controller?

48 (Pages 186 - 189)

	Page 190		Page 192
1	objection is you don't have to keep asserting	1	-
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	it.		sorry.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. PERLA: Well, every time you introduce	3	•
4	a new document I want to make sure the record is	4	
5	clear. That's what I'm doing.	5	
6	MR. GANT: Okay. That's fine.	-	Dear Applicant is accurate in describing NAMB?
7	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you recall we discussed	7	•••••••
	Matthew T. Smith earlier?	8	
9	A. Matt, yes.	9	
10	Q. He was the controller of NAMB in	10	
	November 2017?	11	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 24, Declaration of
12	A. Yes.	12	
13	Q. And although this is unsigned like your	12	3 <i>i i i</i>
	the note you had prepared for Dr. McRaney, do you	14	
	have any reason to doubt that this is a true and		Declaration of Charles R. Lindsey, CPA. It was filed
	correct copy of a letter prepared by Matthew T.		in this case as Document 85-1. When you're ready,
	Smith, controller of NAMB, to Sherry Williams on or		
	around November 17, 2017?	18	-
19	MR. PERLA: Objection. Foundation. Vague.	19	5 1
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	Scope.	20	5
$\frac{20}{21}$	A. It's a NAMB document so I have no reason to	20	THE REPORTER: He said, "I have not."
	doubt.	21	,
22	Q. (By Mr. Gant) And you acknowledge that this		
	is an authentic document produced by NAMB?	23 24	
25	MR. PERLA: Objection. Calls for a legal	25	
1	Page 191 conclusion.	1	Page 193 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You can put that aside, then.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. I recognize it as a document from NAMB.	2	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 25, E-mail from
3	Q. (By Mr. Gant) And do you see in the second	3	Davidson to Smith, 12/16/2016, Bates stamped
	paragraph a reference to Section 509(a)(3)?	4	-
5	A. I see it, yes.	5	identification.)
6	Q. Do you know what section of the Internal	6	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 25 is Bates labeled
	Revenue Code that refers to?		NAMB Supporting Organization 3453. Tell me when
8	A. No, sir.		you're ready for a question.
9	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 23, Letter from the	9	A. Okay.
10	IRS to North American Mission Board of the	10	Q. Do you know who Jamie Davidson is sorry,
11	Southern Baptist Convention, 3/31/1999, Bates		Jimmy Davidson?
12	-		-
1 1/-	stamped NAMB 12 - 14. marked for	12	MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope
	stamped NAMB 12 - 14, marked for identification.)	12 13	MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. We have a David I don't know if it's the
13	identification.)	13	A. We have a David I don't know if it's the
13 14	identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled	13 14	A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not
13 14 15	identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you	13 14 15	A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if
13 14 15	identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled	13 14 15	A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know.
13 14 15 16	identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before? A. No, sir.	13 14 15 16 17	 A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know who Debbie Smith,
13 14 15 16 17	identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before?	13 14 15 16 17	A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know.
13 14 15 16 17 18	identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before? A. No, sir. MR. PERLA: I'll renew my standing	13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know who Debbie Smith, the recipient of this e-mail, is?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before? A. No, sir. MR. PERLA: I'll renew my standing objection and just note this one is even further 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know who Debbie Smith, the recipient of this e-mail, is? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before? A. No, sir. MR. PERLA: I'll renew my standing objection and just note this one is even further afield because it's not even within the 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know who Debbie Smith, the recipient of this e-mail, is? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. No.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before? A. No, sir. MR. PERLA: I'll renew my standing objection and just note this one is even further afield because it's not even within the production set identified in the topic. So do I 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know who Debbie Smith, the recipient of this e-mail, is? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you familiar with any of
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 identification.) Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 23 is Bates labeled NAMB 0012 through 14. And my question is, have you ever seen this before? A. No, sir. MR. PERLA: I'll renew my standing objection and just note this one is even further afield because it's not even within the production set identified in the topic. So do I have a standing objection? 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 A. We have a David I don't know if it's the same I don't know the one I know is a CPC, not a pastor, so I don't know this one. I don't know if it's the same one. I just don't know. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you know who Debbie Smith, the recipient of this e-mail, is? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Are you familiar with any of the circumstances discussed in this e-mail?

49 (Pages 190 - 193)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 51 of 128 PageID #: 3180

	Page 194 Page 196
1 order to prepare to testify today regarding Topi	
2 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	2 MR. PERLA: How are you doing?
3 A. No.	3 THE WITNESS: I'm good if you guys are.
4 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Put that aside.	4 MR. PERLA: Okay. We can keep going.
5 Do you know who David Roach is?	5 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Next one is Topic 2 and
6 A. Excuse me?	6 Tab 2. Do you have Topic 2 in front of you?
7 Q. Do you know who David Roach is, R-C	
8 A. There's a journalist that's named Roach,	
9 but I don't know if it's the same one.	9 regarding Topic 2?
10 Q. Journalist where?	10 A. In addition to my personal knowledge, I
11 A. I don't remember. I just remember I tall	ked 11 reviewed the documents under Tab 2.
12 to a Mr. Roach for an interview before.	12 MR. GANT: Could you read back his answer?
13 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 26, E-mail string to	13 (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the
14 Roach and others from Ebert, 10/18/2018, B	Bates 14 reporter as follows:)
15 stamped NAMB 8219, marked for identifica	ation.) 15 "Answer: In addition to my personal
16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 26 is Bates labele	ed 16 knowledge, I reviewed the documents under
17 NAMB 8219. Have you ever seen this exhibit	
18 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	18 MR. GANT: Thank you.
19 A. I have never seen this before.	19 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Regarding your personal
20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I take it so you did not	
21 review this exhibit to prepare to testify today	21 to yesterday that you consider personal knowledge
22 regarding Topic 11?	22 relevant to Topic 2?
23 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	23 MR. PERLA: Objection. Do you mean in
24 A. No.	24 response to the questions you asked or as to the
25 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Now, you testified you d	id 25 topic generally even if you didn't ask the
	Page 195 Page 197
1 not review the documents labeled as NAMB Su	Page 195 Page 197 apporting 1 question?
2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi	Page 195 Page 197 upporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for
2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi3 My question is: Did you review any	Page 195 Page 197 apporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for things I move to strike? Is that what you're
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 	Page 195 Page 197 apporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're hrase 4 doing?
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 	Page 195 Page 197 apporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're hrase 4 doing? 5 MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 	Page 195 Page 197 upporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're hrase 4 doing? 5 MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6 conceivable question about this topic and so I
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 	Page 195 Page 197 upporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're hrase 4 doing? 5 MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6 conceivable question about this topic and so I 7 can't tell if you're saying did he not, without
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 	Page 195 Page 197 ipporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're hrase 4 doing? 5 MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6 conceivable question about this topic and so I 7 can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8 being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 	Page 195 Page 197 ipporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're 4 hrase 4 doing? 5 MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6 conceivable question about this topic and so I 7 can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8 being asked yesterday, say everything he knew? 9 MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 	Page 195Page 197upporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3athings I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 66conceivable question about this topic and so I 77can't tell if you're saying did he not, without
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 	Page 195Page 197upporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 66conceivable question about this topic and so I 77can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 88being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it. 1111Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 	Page 195Page 197apporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3athings I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?b5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6conceivable question about this topic and so I 7r can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testi 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 	Page 195 Page 197 ipporting 1 question? fy. 2 MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3 things I move to strike? Is that what you're 4 hrase 4 doing? 5 MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6 conceivable question about this topic and so I 7 can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8 being asked yesterday, say everything he knew? 9 MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 10 I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it. 11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 0 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 13 letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 	Page 195Page 197upporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 66conceivable question about this topic and so I 77can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 88being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 1301213letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 66conceivable question about this topic and so I 77can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 88being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 13 letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?0u15Q. In response to my question about what you
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3a things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?b5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6conceivable question about this topic and so I 7r can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 13 letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.ou15Q. In response to my question about what you 16 did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2,
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3a things I move to strike? Is that what you're4hrase4doing?b5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6conceivable question about this topic and so I 7can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it. 1111Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 13 letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.15Q. In response to my question about what you 16 did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2, 17 you identified two things, your own personal
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you for the phrase of the phrase is a power of the phrase is a power of the phrase. 18 A. I'm not. 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3a things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?b5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6conceivable question about this topic and so I 7can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it. 1111Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 13 letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.15Q. In response to my question about what you 16 did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2, 17 you identified two things, your own personal 18 knowledge and you reviewed the documents behind
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 17 confirm. So you're not aware of any? 18 A. I'm not. 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 66conceivable question about this topic and so I 77can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 88being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 12 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 13 letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.0015Q. In response to my question about what you 16 did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2, 17 you identified two things, your own personal 18 knowledge and you reviewed the documents behind
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 17 confirm. So you're not aware of any? 18 A. I'm not. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Asked 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3a things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?b5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6conceivable question about this topic and so I 7r can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 1013letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.15Q. In response to my question about what you 16 did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2, 17 you identified two things, your own personal 18l and1919Tab 2. I'm going to ask you in a bit about the
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 17 confirm. So you're not aware of any? 18 A. I'm not. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Asked 20 answered. 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every6conceivable question about this topic and so I7can't tell if you're saying did he not, without8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what10I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at10I2 some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination13letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.15Q. In response to my question about what you16did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2,17you identified two things, your own personal18knowledge and you reviewed the documents behind19Tab 2. I'm going to ask you in a bit about the20second part of that, the documents behind Tab 2.
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 17 confirm. So you're not aware of any? 18 A. I'm not. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Asked 20 answered. 21 A. Sorry. 	Page 195Page 197ipporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every6conceivable question about this topic and so I7can't tell if you're saying did he not, without8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what10I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at10I'm getting at sNAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.15Q. In response to my question about what you16did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2,17you identified two things, your own personal18knowledge and you reviewed the documents behind19Tab 2. I'm going to ask you in a bit about the20second part of that, the documents behind Tab 2.21I'm focusing on your reference to personal
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 17 confirm. So you're not aware of any? 18 A. I'm not. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Asked 20 answered. 21 A. Sorry. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You can put that aside. 	Page 195Page 197inporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for 3ings I move to strike? Is that what you're4hrase4doing?b5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every 6conceivable question about this topic and so I 7can't tell if you're saying did he not, without 8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what 1010I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at 1012some length the December 2nd, 2014 termination 1313letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.ou15Q. In response to my question about what you 16 did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2, 17 you identified two things, your own personal 1818knowledge and you reviewed the documents behind 1919Tab 2. I'm going to ask you in a bit about the 20 second part of that, the documents behind Tab 2. 2121I'm focusing on your reference to personal 2222knowledge. Topic 2 asks about the factual support 23 for the assertions in the termination letter,
 2 Organization 1 through 3453 to prepare to testif 3 My question is: Did you review any 4 documents produced by NAMB that used the p 5 "supporting organization" in order to prepare to 6 testify today? 7 A. I don't recall any those specific two 8 words. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you recall ever writing to anyone us 10 the phrase "supporting organization"? 11 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 12 A. Using those specific two words, I just d 13 not recall. 14 Q. (By Mr. Gant) And I'll tell you I don't 15 remember seeing any written documents that you 16 authored or from you using it, so I just want to 17 confirm. So you're not aware of any? 18 A. I'm not. 19 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Asked 20 answered. 21 A. Sorry. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You can put that aside. 23 Thank you. 	Page 195Page 197inporting1question?fy.2MR. GANT: So are you trying to account for3things I move to strike? Is that what you'rehrase4doing?5MR. PERLA: No. You didn't ask every6conceivable question about this topic and so I7can't tell if you're saying did he not, without8being asked yesterday, say everything he knew?9MR. GANT: Yeah, that is essentially what10I'm getting at, but let me rephrase it.11Q. (By Mr. Gant) We did discuss yesterday at10Isome length the December 2nd, 2014 termination13letter, marked as NAMB 001, correct?14A. Yes.15Q. In response to my question about what you16did to prepare to testify today regarding Topic 2,17you identified two things, your own personal18knowledge and you reviewed the documents behind19Tab 2. I'm going to ask you in a bit about the20second part of that, the documents behind Tab 2.21I'm focusing on your reference to personal22knowledge. Topic 2 asks about the factual support23for the assertions in the termination letter,24correct?

50 (Pages 194 - 197)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 52 of 128 PageID #: 3181

Dec. 100	Dec. 200
Page 198 1 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge that	Page 200
2 NAMB deems factual support for the assertions in the	
3 December 2nd, 2014 termination letter that you did	3 A. No. Not for me, no.
4 not discuss yesterday when testifying in your	4 Q. Did you record any of the preparation
5 30(b)(1) deposition?	5 meetings in order to facilitate your testifying
6 MR. PERLA: Same objections before. That's	6 today?
7 impossible to answer, but go ahead.	7 A. No.
8 A. I answered the questions that you asked.	8 Q. All right. Let's talk about Tab 2, then
9 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Sitting here, can you think	9 we're going to come back to your personal knowledge.
10 of any factual support for the assertions in the	10 Who selected the documents that are behind
11 December 2nd, 2014 termination letter that you did	11 Tab 2 in the notebook?
12 not mention or disclose yesterday?	12 A. Legal counsel.
13 MR. PERLA: Objection. That would he	13 Q. Okay. Did you pick any of the documents
14 would have to know every question he answered	14 yourself?
15 yesterday in order to even begin to answer that.	15 A. I reviewed them.
16 It's an unreasonable question.	16 Q. My question was, did you pick any of them
17 MR. GANT: Well, the question was if,	17 yourself?
18 sitting here, he already had in mind anything	18 A. I did not pick them.
19 that he NAMB deems factual support for the	19 Q. What's your understanding of what the
20 assertions in the letter that he didn't	20 collection of documents behind Tab 2 is supposed to
21 disclose.	21 represent?
22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Either you do have something	A. Answers to the second subject matter topic.
23 in mind or you don't. That's what I'm trying to find	23 Q. Which is the documents and factual support
24 out.	24 for the assertions in the December 2014 letter?
A. You're saying if I have something in mind	25 A. Yes.
Page 199	Page 201
1 or if I know it. There are things that I know that I	1 Q. Okay. Is it NAMB's position that all of
2 don't have in mind right now I'm sure. So I answered	2 the documents supporting the assertions in the
3 every question you asked yesterday.	3 December 14 termination letter are contained behind
4 Q. Okay. I understand that.	4 Tab 2?
5 Do you have anything in mind right now that	5 MR. PERLA: Objection. Misstates the
6 you did not disclose or testify to yesterday that	6 record.
7 NAMB is contending is factual support for the	7 MR. GANT: I don't know how I can misstate
8 assertions in the termination letter?	8 it. I'm just asking a question.
9 MR. PERLA: Same objection.	9 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you need the question read
10 A. What do you mean by "in mind"?	10 back?
11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) That we didn't cover it or	11 A. That would help, yeah.
12 you forgot to mention it.	12 (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the
13 MR. PERLA: Objection. Same objection.	13 reporter as follows:)
14 A. I'm not going to say that I've said	14 "Question: Is it NAMB's position that all
15 everything I know about this. You say "in mind,"	15 of the documents supporting the assertions in 16 the December 14 termination letter are contained
16 right in the forefront of my mind right now, does it	16 the December 14 termination letter are contained17 behind Tab 2?"
17 come to mind? After two days of testifying, I'm not	
18 saying everything is coming to mind. Do I know other19 stuff? I'm sure I do. I've been doing this for	 18 MR. PERLA: Same objection, also scope. 19 A. Is NAMB I included the documents that
20 13 years. But if you ask me a question, I'll answer	20 would sufficiently answer Number 2.
20 15 years. But it you ask the a question, 11 answer 21 it.	20 would sufficiently answer Number 2. 21 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Thank you.
21 II. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. I don't think I asked	21 Q. (By With Gailt) Thank you.22 Let's return to your personal knowledge.
23 you this yet: In preparing to testify today on	22 Let's return to your personar knowledge.23 In order to prepare to testify today, did
24 Topics 1 through 18 did you take any notes at any	24 you attempt to write down memorialize collect your
24 Topics 1 through 18, did you take any notes at any 25 time?	24 you attempt to write down, memorialize, collect your25 personal knowledge that NAMB contends provides

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 53 of 128 PageID #: 3182

1	Page 202		Page 204
1	factual support for the assertions in the termination	1	and the events leading up to it accurate and
	letter?		complete?
3	A. No. As I said yesterday in my testimony,	3	MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.
4	NAMB relies on the testimony of Jeff Christopherson	4	A. My answers were accurate, if it's what you
	and Steve Davis. They were the primary ones to deal	5	mean by complete.
	with Maryland/Delaware.	6	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Yesterday you testified that
7	Q. Is the deposition of Steve Davis behind		you did not have personal knowledge of the
8	Tab 2?		allegations that were made in the termination letter,
9	A. Jeff Christopherson Jeff Christopherson		is that correct? Firsthand, personal knowledge?
10		10	A. Firsthand, personal, no. I relied on
11	we felt like that breached the agreement, so	11	Mr. Christopherson, Mr. Davis.
12	MR. GANT: Move to strike.	12	MR. GANT: Okay. We may come back to two,
13	Can you read back my question?	13	but I think I'm done, at least for now, so why
14	A. Strike Steve Davidson.	14	don't we take a couple minute break.
15	Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm sorry?	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:39.
16	A. I can't strike anything, I guess.	16	(WHEREUPON, a recess was taken.)
17	Q. No, you can't.	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record
18	A. I would be glad to. I'll run your life if	18	at 2:50.
	you want me to, as I said to my nephew.	19	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. All right. I'm going
20	MR. PERLA: I think he needs the question	20	to turn to Topic 1 in a minute, and I'm going to mark
21	again.		as an exhibit a document that's in the notebook, but
22	MR. GANT: Can you read it back?	22	it's going to be a little easier, at least for me, to
23	(WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the	23	use the version separate from that, so I'm going to
24	reporter as follows:)	24	give you a copy as well.
25	"Question: Is the deposition of Steve	25	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 27, The North American
	Page 203		Page 205
1	Davis behind Tab 2?"	1	Mission Board of The Southern Baptist
2	A. No.	1 2	
3		2	Convention, Inc.'s Answer and Defenses to
5	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Why not?	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for
4	A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who		Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.)
4 5	A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's	3 4 5	Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one?
4 5 6	A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday.	3 4 5 6	Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.)MR. GANT: Do you want one?MR. PERLA: What is it?
4 5 6 7	A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used	3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.)MR. GANT: Do you want one?MR. PERLA: What is it?MR. GANT: It's the Answer.
4 5 6 7 8	A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: Sitting here, are you able to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document. A. Yeah, this one I have.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document. A. Yeah, this one I have. Q. You've read the first document in Tab 1?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document. A. Yeah, this one I have. Q. You've read the first document in Tab 1? A. Yes, sir.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter?" 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document. A. Yeah, this one I have. Q. You've read the first document in Tab 1? A. Yes, sir. Q. I will tell you that I believe and expect
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document. A. Yeah, this one I have. Q. You've read the first document in Tab 1? A. Yes, sir. Q. I will tell you that I believe and expect they are identical to what we marked as Exhibit 27.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Because Jeff Christopherson is the one who outlined the matter of subject matter two. He's the one that outlined it for us, as I said yesterday. And there was a lateral there and Steve used Jeff's you know, they used the same documents so I'm sure it's covered in Jeff Christopherson's. Q. Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter? A. I think we've included appropriate to answer Number 2. MR. GANT: Can you read it back? (WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the reporter as follows:) "Question: Sitting here, are you able to identify on behalf of NAMB any other documents that provide factual support for the assertions in the termination letter?" 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	 Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, marked for identification.) MR. GANT: Do you want one? MR. PERLA: What is it? MR. GANT: It's the Answer. MR. PERLA: No, thank you. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Exhibit 27 is NAMB's Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading, filed in this case as Document 198. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever read it through in its entirety? A. I just want to make sure it's the same one. Q. I believe it's identical to the first document. A. Yeah, this one I have. Q. You've read the first document in Tab 1? A. Yes, sir. Q. I will tell you that I believe and expect

52 (Pages 202 - 205)

	Page 208
Page 206 1 MR. PERLA: I think he needs to know what	1 he verified all documents, what do you mean by that?
2 you mean by "that document."	2 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) They are the same, either	3 A. In here it has a verification page. And so
4 Exhibit 27 or the first document behind Tab 1.	4 I said, you did verify each one of these? And he
5 A. I've reviewed it. Yeah, I read it. This	5 said he did.
6 one I read in its entirety.	6 Q. (By Mr. Gant) When you asked him that, wha
7 Q. Okay. When?	7 were you asking him?
8 A. That was this morning, actually.	8 MR. PERLA: Objection. I'm sorry. Go
9 Q. Before this morning, had you ever read	9 ahead.
10 NAMB's I'm going to call it just so we know	10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) For example, you'll see there
11 what we're talking about, I'm going to call it NAMB'	
12 Answer, referring to Document 198.	12 numbers. Were you asking him if he looked at all of
13 Before this morning, had you read NAMB's	13 them and then wanted to ensure that they were
14 Answer in its entirety?	14 responsive and appropriately placed in this response?
15 A. Not in its entirety.	15 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. Go ahead.
16 Q. We're going to come back to that, but I	16 A. My question was, did you verify all the
17 there are some other documents behind Tab 1 can	17 documents? Did you verify did you verify the
18 you maybe it's only one document. It's one	18 document?
19 document.	19 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You meant the document as a
20 A. It's interrogatory	20 whole, not any individual document?
21 Q. The other document is NAMB's Response to	21 A. There are several documents included in the
22 Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories.	22 different tabs and I was asking if he had verified
23 A. Yes.	23 all the documents in all the tabs. There are
24 Q. Do you remember we discussed that very	24 verification pages for all these documents. And I
25 briefly earlier today?	25 was asking, you did actually do verify all those
Page 207	Page 209
1 A. In summary, but yeah.	1 documents? He responded that he had.
	1 documents: The responded that he had.
2 Q. I think you told me that you had looked at	2 Q. How long was the exchange between you and
2 Q. I think you told me that you had looked at 3 it earlier this week.	2 Q. How long was the exchange between you and
	-
3 it earlier this week.	2 Q. How long was the exchange between you and 3 George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's
3 it earlier this week.4 A. Right. Uh-huh.	 2 Q. How long was the exchange between you and 3 George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of
 3 it earlier this week. 4 A. Right. Uh-huh. 5 Q. I think you said Wednesday, but 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories?
 3 it earlier this week. 4 A. Right. Uh-huh. 5 Q. I think you said Wednesday, but 6 A. Yes, sir. 	 2 Q. How long was the exchange between you and 3 George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? 6 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
 3 it earlier this week. 4 A. Right. Uh-huh. 5 Q. I think you said Wednesday, but 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 	 2 Q. How long was the exchange between you and 3 George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? 6 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 7 A. I don't recall how long we were talking.
 3 it earlier this week. 4 A. Right. Uh-huh. 5 Q. I think you said Wednesday, but 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds?
 3 it earlier this week. 4 A. Right. Uh-huh. 5 Q. I think you said Wednesday, but 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 30 seconds?
 3 it earlier this week. 4 A. Right. Uh-huh. 5 Q. I think you said Wednesday, but 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? 10 A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? 6 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 7 A. I don't recall how long we were talking. 8 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? 9 A. Less than a minute, yeah. 10 Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? 12 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? 6 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 7 A. I don't recall how long we were talking. 8 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? 9 A. Less than a minute, yeah. 10 Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? 12 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 13 A. I don't know, to be honest.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said yes and that was the end of it?
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said types and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said types and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said yes and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did 19 you discuss with George McCallum anything about what 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said types and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down. Q. (By Mr. Gant) With respect to NAMB's
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did 19 you discuss with George McCallum anything about what 20 he did to verify NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said types and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down. Q. (By Mr. Gant) With respect to NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did you discuss with George McCallum anything about what 20 he did to verify NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's 21 Fourth Set of Interrogatories? 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said tyes and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down. Q. (By Mr. Gant) With respect to NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories, testifying on behalf of NAMB, I
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did 19 you discuss with George McCallum anything about what 20 he did to verify NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's 21 Fourth Set of Interrogatories? 22 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 130 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said yes and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down. Q. (By Mr. Gant) With respect to NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories, testifying on behalf of NAMB, I asked you are there any documents that are responsive.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did 19 you discuss with George McCallum anything about what 20 he did to verify NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's 21 Fourth Set of Interrogatories? 22 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 23 A. I asked George if he'd verified all 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's 4 Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 5 Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 11 30 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said yes and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down. Q. (By Mr. Gant) With respect to NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories, testifying on behalf of NAMB, I asked you are there any documents that are responsive.
 3 it earlier this week. A. Right. Uh-huh. Q. I think you said Wednesday, but A. Yes, sir. Q. Have you at any point read the entirety of 8 NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories? A. I can't say in the entirety. I scanned and 11 reviewed it. Q. Did you play any role in preparing it? A. No, sir. Q. Once again, that response was verified by 15 George McCallum. Do you see that on Page 69? A. Yes, sir. Q. In preparing for today's deposition, did 19 you discuss with George McCallum anything about what 20 he did to verify NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's 21 Fourth Set of Interrogatories? 22 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. 	 Q. How long was the exchange between you and George McCallum about his verification of NAMB's Responses to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't recall how long we were talking. Q. (By Mr. Gant) Did it last 30 seconds? A. Less than a minute, yeah. Q. Less than a minute. Was it less than 130 seconds? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. (By Mr. Gant) You asked a question, he said yes and that was the end of it? MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. Sorry. MR. PERLA: That's okay. Just slow down. Q. (By Mr. Gant) With respect to NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories, testifying on behalf of NAMB, I asked you are there any documents that are responsive.

53 (Pages 206 - 209)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 55 of 128 PageID #: 3184

	Page 210	Page 212
1	MR. PERLA: Objection.	1 Q. When did you read it?
2	A. Sorry.	A. I don't recall the date and time, but I'm
3	MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope. They, legal	3 sure the first time I read it was in draft form.
4	conclusion. Go ahead.	4 Q. The Separation Agreement?
5	MR. GANT: I think he answered.	5 MR. PERLA: I think you're probably talking
6	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you need it read back?	6 about different things.
7	A. If you could.	7 A. The help me understand which one
8	(WHEREUPON, the record was read back by the	8 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Sure.
9	reporter as follows:)	9 A. Or show me the
10	"Question: With respect to NAMB's Response	10 Q. I'm looking for it.
11	to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories,	11 MR. GANT: You don't have one handy, do
12	testifying on behalf of NAMB, I asked you are	12 you?
13	there any documents that are responsive to	13 MS. HERRINGTON: Separation Agreement? Not
14	Plaintiff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories that	14 in this room, but I can probably get one.
15	are not listed in NAMB's response?"	15 THE REPORTER: I have the exhibits from
16	And he said, "Not aware of any."	16 yesterday.
17	MR. PERLA: So is that your complete answer	17 MR. GANT: Do you?
18	or do you have more to say?	18 THE REPORTER: Uh-huh.
19	MR. GANT: That's a little leading, but	19 MR. GANT: Do you mind pulling them out?
20	okay.	20 MR. PERLA: I just found it on my computer.
21	MR. PERLA: "Not aware of" isn't a	21 If you just want him to know they're there, I'm
22	sentence. If he wants to say	happy to show him on my screen and I can show
23	MR. GANT: I think he actually said "I'm	23 you
24	not aware of," but I'm sure that would be	24 MR. GANT: I need a copy. Let's just go
25	determined when the audio was listened to.	25 off the record a second. I'll find it.
	Page 211	Page 213
1	A. Not to my knowledge.	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:04.
2	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Thank you.	2 (WHEREUPON, there was a discussion off the
3	From your perspective, testifying today on	3 record.)
4 t	behalf of NAMB, NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth	4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The
5 \$	Set of Interrogatories is complete, is that right?	5 time is 3:05.
6	MR. PERLA: Same objections.	6 MR. GANT: Apologies about that. I was
7	A. To my knowledge.	7 looking for an exhibit that I thought we used
8	Q. (By Mr. Gant) And you're testifying on	8 yesterday, but it was actually the second one we
91	behalf of NAMB today, correct?	9 used this morning, which seems like yesterday.
10	A. Yes.	10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Okay. Can you pull out
11	Q. Let's go back to Document 198. You can use	11 Exhibit 2, please?
12 t	he version I marked as Exhibit 27. You can use the	12 A. I have it.
13 1	version in your notebook, which is the first document	13 Q. Okay. Can you turn to Page 7?
14 t	behind the tab. They should be the same.	14 A. Okay.
15	(Pause in the proceedings.)	15 Q. And can you read to yourself Paragraph 15,
16	Q. (By Mr. Gant) Turn to Page 2 of the	16 entitled Governing Law; Jurisdiction.
17 /	Answers.	17 A. Okay.
18	Do you remember when we looked at the	18 Q. Okay. Do you see the second sentence which
19 \$	Separation Agreement yesterday during your	19 is on line 2, beginning "All suits"?
20 0	deposition?	20 A. Yes.
21	A. Yes.	21 Q. Can you please read that sentence aloud?
22	Q. I don't remember if I asked you or what	22 A. "All suits, proceedings, and other actions
122 -	your answer was. Had you ever read the Separation	23 relating to, arising out of, or in connection with
1 23 3		
	Agreement before I showed it to you yesterday?	24 this agreement shall be brought exclusively to the

54 (Pages 210 - 213)

Page 214	Page 216
1 the Federal Court of the State of Maryland."	1 Q. And then turning back to Exhibit 2,
2 Q. Now, has NAMB invoked the Separation	2 Section 15, that we just looked at, this section
3 Agreement and Release in Maryland courts? Yes or no.	3 says, governing law and jurisdiction for the
4 A. I'm not aware.	4 Separation Agreement and Release, correct?
5 Q. Where is this lawsuit pending?	5 A. Yes.
6 A. Mississippi, I believe.	6 Q. You can put those aside.
7 Q. Do you know where in Mississippi?	7 Can you look at the 10th defense on Page 4
8 A. No.	8 of the Answer, and look at Page 27 at the same
9 Q. You don't know what county?	9 time well, if you can. Why don't you turn to
10 A. No.	10 Page 27 of the Interrogatory Response and you can
11 Q. Is NAMB's fourth defense, fifth defense,	11 keep open Page 4 in Exhibit 27 so you can have them
12 sixth defense, and seventh defense invoking a	12 open at the same time.
13 Separation Agreement and Release marked as Exhibit 2?	13 A. Okay.
14 MR. PERLA: Objection. Calls for a legal	14 Q. Do you see Interrogatory 16?
15 conclusion.	15 A. Interrogatory 16. Yes.
16 A. Yeah. I would say they NAMB's position	16 Q. It asks, "Describe in full all the bases
17 is there stated.	17 for your 10th defense asserted in your Answer and
18 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do those four defenses, four,	18 Defenses to Plaintiff's Supplemental Pleading,
19 five, six, seven, relate to the Separation Agreement	19 including the source of law for your defense."
20 and Release?	20 Do you see that?
21 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a	21 A. Yes.
22 legal conclusion.	22 Q. What is the source of law for NAMB's 10th
23 A. NAMB's position is there as they stated.	23 defense?
24 I'm not legal counsel.	24 MR. PERLA: Objection. Calls for a legal
25 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I know you can read them so	25 conclusion. Outside the scope.
Page 215 1 let's just go through them. The fourth defense, do	Page 217 1 A. I don't have legal expertise to respond to
2 you see reference to Separation Agreement and	2 that.
3 Release?	3 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You don't have an answer?
4 A. The Separation Agreement and Release	4 A. I'm not a lawyer.
5 executed by plaintiff can you repeat the question?	5 Q. If I ask you about each of these
6 Q. Do you see in NAMB's fourth defense that it	6 Interrogatory Responses that concern the Answer
7 is invoking the Separation Agreement and Release?	7 was asked about the source of law if I ask you any
8 It's right there on the first and second lines.	8 questions about the source of law for any of NAMB's
9 A. Yeah, I know. I see it, yes.	9 defenses, will you be able to answer it?
10 Q. In the fifth defense in NAMB's Answer, do	10 A. No, sir.
11 you see it is also invoking the Separation Agreement	11 MR. PERLA: Register my objection. Calls
12 and Release? If you would like help finding it, I'll	12 for a legal conclusion. Compound. Outside the
13 be happy to.	13 scope.
14 A. I see the words Separation Agreement and	14 MR. GANT: Let's go off the record.
15 Release, yes.	15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:13.
16 Q. Twice, correct?	16 (WHEREUPON, a recess was taken.)
17 A. I'll keep reading.	17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record
18 Yes, I see it twice.	18 at 3:30.
19 Q. In NAMB's sixth defense, do you see again	19 at 5.50.19 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Welcome back from the break.
20 Invocation of the Separation Agreement and Release?	20 A. Thank you.
20 Invocation of the Separation Agreement and Release? 21 A. Yes.	20 A. Thank you. 21 Q. During the break, did you discuss with
21 A. Tes. 22 Q. Turn the page to the second defense. Do	22 anyone anything related to the substance of the
23 you see again NAMB invoking the Separation Agreement	23 deposition?
2.5 you see again waynd myoking me separation Agreement	
	24 A No sir
24 and Release?25 A. I see the words, yes.	A. No, sir.Q. Before Dr. McRaney became executive

55 (Pages 214 - 217)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 57 of 128 PageID #: 3186

Dage 219	Page 220
Page 218 1 director of BCMD, had you heard of him?	1 street here outside the window, do you think he would
2 A. No, sir.	2 know who he is?
3 Q. And I think you mentioned I asked you if	3 A. I would say
4 he had a book. And what was your answer?	4 MR. PERLA: Objection. Speculation.
5 A. Yes.	5 Scope.
6 Q. Okay. Do you know the name?	6 A. I just don't know. Help me out. I need a
7 A. I don't.	7 better understanding of what your definition of
8 Q. Have you ever read it?	8 "famous" is.
9 A. No, sir.	9 Q. (By Mr. Gant) I'm pointing out the window
10 Q. Can you name for me anyone who has ever	10 to people walking down the street.
11 read it?	11 A. Uh-huh.
12 MR. PERLA: Scope. Objection. Go ahead.	12 Q. If we stop a hundred of them, how many do
13 A. I don't can't name some I can't name	13 you think are likely to know who Dr. McRaney is?
14 anybody in particular, but	14 MR. PERLA: Objection. Speculation.
15 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you remember anyone ever	15 Scope.
16 coming up to you and saying, Oh, I read this book by	16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Your best guess. You're
17 Will McRaney?	17 under oath. I want your best guess.
18 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	18 MR. PERLA: Objection. Speculation.
19 A. Yeah. I don't I remember someone	19 Scope.
20 saying, hey, his book's actually good.	20 A. That's a I don't know. Depends on which
21 Q. (By Mr. Gant) You don't remember who that	21 hundred you select.
22 was?	22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) The first hundred I see on
23 A. No, sir.	23 that block I'm pointing to, what do you think?
24 Q. That was one person?	24 MR. PERLA: Same objection.
25 A. Yeah, I remember one person saying that.	A. If you're asking me if any of them would
Page 219	Page 221
1 I'm not limiting it to one, but one at least.	1 know him, I don't know that.
2 Q. And do you remember what year it was?	2 Q. (By Mr. Gant) If you had to bet the fate
3 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	3 of the world is at stake. You had to get within two
4 A. I don't.	4 to save the world. What's your guess?
5 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you remember whether it	5 MR. PERLA: Objection. Speculation.
6 was before or after Dr. McRaney was terminated from	6 Scope. Argumentative. Asked and answered.
7 BCMD?	7 Go ahead.
8 A. Yes.	8 A. The only thing I would bet on is I don't
9 MR. PERLA: Objection. Scope.	9 know what any of them would say.
10 A. Sorry. I'll slow down. It's the coffee I	10 Q. (By Mr. Gant) All right. Shouldn't ask
11 guess. It was after.	11 anyone who believes in the afterlife about saving the
12 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Do you remember how long	12 world. That's probably not as compelling to you as
13 after?	13 to me.
14 MR. PERLA: Can we just make a standing	14 A. Flew right over me, so
15 objection so I don't	15 Q. Have all the answers you've given to my
16 MR. GANT: Yes, you may.	16 questions today been truthful and complete?
17 MR. PERLA: Thank you.	17 A. Yes, sir.
18 A. I do not. I just remember it was after	18 MR. GANT: As I noted earlier, due to the
19 because of the way they said it.	19 non-production and late provision of certain
20 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Dr. McRaney is not famous, is	20 documents, I'm formally leaving this 30(b)(6)
21 he?	21 deposition open.
22 A. Sir?	I also believe we haven't received all the
23 Q. Is he famous, Dr. McRaney?	23 documents that were owed and were responsive to
1	
A. What do you mean by "famous"?Q. If he walked up to the guy walking down the	requests. So I hope not to have to resume thedeposition, but if I do, then we'll see each

56 (Pages 218 - 221)

1	Page 222		Page 224
1	other again.	1	so you need to pause just like you did for
2	And I just also want to note for the	2	Tim
3	record and counsel for NAMB will contradict	3	THE WITNESS: Sure.
4	me if they believe I'm wrong but we served	4	MR. GANT: or tried to do.
5	the deposition notice on the date indicated in	5	THE WITNESS: I didn't do it for Tim
6	the document and we did not receive any	6	either.
7	objections to the notice. Am I right? Did I	7	MR. GANT: Well, do it for me. I don't
8	miss something or were there no objections?	8	care if you did it for Tim. For me, please
9	MR. PERLA: You didn't receive written	9	pause so I can make any objections I deem
10	objections. You've received plenty of	10	appropriate.
11	objections to objectionable questions.	11	THE WITNESS: Sure. I'm sorry.
12	MR. GANT: I just want the record to be	12	MR. GANT: Thank you.
13	clear, though. We did not receive any written	13	Q. (By Mr. Perla) Without trying to quote you,
14	objections prior to the deposition?	14	because I didn't write it down, do you recall
15	MR. PERLA: That's correct.	15	testifying to the effect that the documents behind
16	MR. GANT: All right. With that, we're	16	Tab 2 were sufficient to answer the topic?
17	done for today and I thank you again for your	17	A. Yes.
18	time. Do you have questions?	18	Q. Did you mean to suggest that Topic Tab 2
19	MR. PERLA: Just very few. We don't even		contains every single document relevant to Topic 2?
20	have to change seats.	20	MR. GANT: Objection. Leading.
21	MR. GANT: Then I may have more questions.	21	Foundation. Calls for speculation. And to the
22	MR. PERLA: Understood. And also I	22	extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
23	understand you put that on the record and you	23	A. No.
24	understand that the defendants disagree and	24	Q. (By Mr. Perla) Your answer was?
25	we're happy to meet and confer about any	25	A. No.
	Page 223		Page 225
1	concerns you have.	1	Q. Does Tab 2 contain every document
	EXAMINATION	2	supporting NAMB's position concerning the breach of
3	BY MR. PERLA:	-	
1	0 0'		the SPA?
4	Q. Sir, very, very quickly.	4	MR. GANT: Same objections.
5	You recall please direct your attention	4 5	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
5 6	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in	4 5 6	MR. GANT: Same objections.A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat theQ. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain
5 6 7	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2.	4 5 6 7	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position
5 6 7 8	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA?
5 6 7 8 9	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls
5 6 7 8 9 10	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes.	4 5 7 8 9 10	 MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2?	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes. Q is that fair?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes. Q is that fair? A. Yes, sir.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Next one is my last one so
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes. Q is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Next one is my last one so give him time to object.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes. Q is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. MR. GANT: Sorry. Before you I just	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Next one is my last one so give him time to object. A. The answer would be, yes, there would be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes. Q is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. MR. GANT: Sorry. Before you I just since we haven't done this part before, I have a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Next one is my last one so give him time to object. A. The answer would be, yes, there would be additional
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You recall please direct your attention back to Exhibit 1, the deposition notice, and in particular Topic 2. A. Yes. Q. Just let me know when you're there and you've reminded yourself what Topic 2 is. A. Yes. Q. You recall you were asked some questions about Topic 2? A. Yes. Q. And you were also asked some questions about Tab 2 of your binder A. Yes. Q is that fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. MR. GANT: Sorry. Before you I just	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. GANT: Same objections. A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Q. (By Mr. Perla) Sure. Does Tab 2 contain every document that supports NAMB's position concerning the breach of the SPA? MR. GANT: Same objections, and also calls for speculation. A. It's NAMB's position on on the answers to Number 2. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Are there documents that are not in Tab 2 that support NAMB's position concerning the SPA? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. Leading. Foundation. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. Q. (By Mr. Perla) Next one is my last one so give him time to object. A. The answer would be, yes, there would be

57 (Pages 222 - 225)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 59 of 128 PageID #: 3188

Page 226		Page 228
1 BY MR. GANT:	1	like to make before we conclude?
2 Q. Do any of your counsel's questions or your	2	A. If I ever implied that it was exhaustive, I
3 answers to them lead you to conclude that any of the	3	did not mean to imply that. So I don't remember the
4 answers you gave to my questions were in any way	4	exact wording of how I answered those questions.
5 inaccurate or incomplete?	5	Q. Okay. Well, a number of my questions were
6 MR. PERLA: Objection. Vague.	6	asking you to confirm that, for example, NAMB's
7 A. I don't recall. Again, it's been a long	7	Interrogatory Responses were complete and up to date.
8 day. I tried to answer everything what I know. So I	8	Are you changing your answer about that?
9 don't know the wording of you know, I just don't	9	A. I'm saying the interrogatory in and of
10 know. I don't recall all of it so I don't know.	10	themselves are up to date.
11 Q. (By Mr. Gant) At the end of my exam, I	11	MR. GANT: All right. Again, we will leave
12 asked you if all of your answers were truthful and	12	the deposition open, but nothing further today.
13 complete as far as you knew. You said they they	13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14 were, yes?	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:40.
15 A. Yes.	15	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 28, Binder to prepare
16 Q. Then your counsel asked you a few	16	for 18 topics, marked for identification.)
17 questions. Did that change your answer to my	17	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded
8 question about whether all of your answers to my	18	at 3:40 p.m.)
19 questions were truthful and complete?	19	
A. Well, if I answered where I implied	20	
21 everything was represented in here, I did not mean to	21	
22 do that. Those were the answers to the question.	22	
23 There may be other documents that are not listed here	23	
24 that I'm not aware of.	24	
25 Q. When you say "here," what are you referring	25	
Page 227		Page 229
1 to?	1	CERTIFICATE
2 A. Two Number 2 or any of the tabs,	2	STATE OF GEORGIA)
3 actually. I mean, these were answers to these	3) ss.:
4 questions. And are there other documents out there	4	FULTON COUNTY)
5 that could be provided to help in any of these tabs?	5	
6 I don't know the answer to that.	6	I, Robin Ferrill, Certified Court Reporter
1	0	i, Kobin Ferrin, Certified Court Reporter
7 Q. Now, one of the documents behind Tab 2 is		within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify:
7 Q. Now, one of the documents behind Tab 2 is8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of		*
	7 8	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify:
8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of9 Interrogatories, correct?	7 8 9	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set
8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of9 Interrogatories, correct?10 A. Yes.	7 8 9 10	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 	7 8 9 10 11	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 	7 8 9 10 11	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness.
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 18 you only asked about Tab 2. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto s
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 18 you only asked about Tab 2. 19 MR. PERLA: I did. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto s
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 18 you only asked about Tab 2. 19 MR. PERLA: I did. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto s
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 18 you only asked about Tab 2. 19 MR. PERLA: I did. 20 MR. GANT: Okay. Then I don't need to go 21 back to Tab 1. You're right. That's fair. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto s
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 18 you only asked about Tab 2. 19 MR. PERLA: I did. 20 MR. GANT: Okay. Then I don't need to go 21 back to Tab 1. You're right. That's fair. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto s
 8 NAMB's Response to Plaintiff's Fourth Set of 9 Interrogatories, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What did I say? Tab 2. Sorry. Tab 1. I 12 apologize. 13 A. I'm sorry. 14 MR. PERLA: I was going to object. Outside 15 the scope of my examination. 16 Q. (By Mr. Gant) Go ahead. 17 MR. GANT: Oh, okay. Let me think. Yes, 18 you only asked about Tab 2. 19 MR. PERLA: I did. 20 MR. GANT: Okay. Then I don't need to go 21 back to Tab 1. You're right. That's fair. 22 Q. (By Mr. Gant) So let me just ask you again, 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 within the State of Georgia, do hereby certify: That 30(b)(6) of NAMB through Kevin Ezell, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto s

58 (Pages 226 - 229)

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 60 of 128 PageID #: 3189

	Page 230		Page 232
1 To: Mr. Perla Re: Signature of Deponent 30(b)(6) of NAMB	1 age 230	1 Page Line Change	
2 through Kevin Ezell Date Errata due back at our offices:		2 3 Reason for change	
3		4 Page Line Change	
Greetings:		5	
4 This deposition has been requested for read and sign by the deponent. It is the deponent's responsibility		6 Page Line Change	
5 to review the transcript, noting any changes or		7	
corrections on the attached PDF Errata. The deponent		8 Reason for change	
6 may fill out the Errata electronically or print and fill out manually.		9 Page Line Change	
7		10	
Once the Errata is signed by the deponent and 8 notarized, please mail it to the offices of Veritext		11 Page Line Change	
(below).		12	
9		13 Page Line Change	
When the signed Errata is returned to us, we will 10 seal and forward to the taking attorney to file with		14	
the original transcript. We will also send copies of		15 Reason for change	
11 the Errata to all ordering parties.		16 Page Line Change	
12 If the signed Errata is not returned within the time above, the original transcript may be filed with the		17	
13 court without the signature of the deponent.		18	
14 Please send completed Errata to: 15 Variety/Production English		19	
15 VeritextProduction Facility 16 20 Mansell Court			
17 Suite 300		20 30(b)(6) of NAMB through KEVIN EZELL	
18 Roswell, GA 30076 19 (770) 343-9696		21 Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of	
20		22,	
21		23	
22 23		NOTARY PUBLIC	
23		24	
25		25 My Commission Expires:	
	Page 231		
1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT # 5675825	U		
2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have			
3 read the transcript of my testimony, and that			
4 There are no changes noted.			
5 The following changes are noted:			
6 Durrought to Dula 20(7)(a) of the Endered Dulas of			
Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of 7 Civil Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes			
in form or substance which you desire to make to your			
8 testimony shall be entered upon the deposition with a			
statement of the reasons given for making them. To			
9 assist you in making any such corrections, please use			
the form below. If additional pages are necessary,			
10 please furnish same and attach.			
11 Page Line Change			
12			
13 Reason for change 14 Page Line Change			
14 Page Line Change			
16 Page Line Change			
17			
18 Reason for change			
19 Page Line Change			
20			
21 Page Line Change			
22			
23 Reason for change			
24 Page Line Change			
25			

59 (Pages 230 - 232)

[& - 192]

ο	118:6 122:7	136:21 191:12	153:1 213:15
&	10/18/2018 8:5	130.21 191.12 12/11/2020	216:2
& 4:12 75:8	194:14	4:13,19 75:9	16 5:19 53:12
0	102 5:11	78:17	85:1 108:11,20
00080 1:5	102 5:11 103 5:15	12/14/20 3:23	108:25 121:22
001 179:9	103 5:19	64:17	156:25 172:7,7
197:13	108 5.19 10896 158:11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0012 191:15	10890 138:11 109 6:4	12/16/2016	172:8,10 174:8
009876 108:11		7:21 193:3	174:10,18,19
02109 2:10	10:47 92:14	1253 7:12	174:25 216:14
03453 177:5	10th 134:2,6	189:18,21	216:15
	216:7,17,22	12:18 153:20	169 54:4
1	11 4:22 84:8,23	153:22	16th 89:20
1 3:13 10:15,19	85:7 89:17,21	12:30 141:23	17 6:4 50:14,16
12:3,16 40:20	90:5,18 92:1	13 5:6 98:23	50:23,25 51:11
54:12 55:9,12	97:14 170:24	99:4 101:1	51:21 54:11
55:17 83:4	175:6,6,8,11	115:14 133:2,4	94:18,24
124:17 133:1	176:12 177:18	161:4,4,8,10,14	109:23 110:4
147:18 170:14	182:21 194:1	161:15,19,21	190:18
172:4 176:14	194:22	161:24 162:10	179 6:13
177:5,11 186:4	11/17/2017	162:14,17	18 6:8 8:12
195:2 198:5	7:11 189:17	168:6 169:19	13:2,12,13
199:24 204:20	11/24/2020	170:9 171:2,19	16:6 49:24
205:20 206:4	5:12,13 102:4	172:4 199:20	50:1,7 94:18
206:17 223:6	102:5	13637 229:23	94:24 110:13
227:11,21	11/8/17 6:18	13th 133:22	110:19 199:24
1,200 121:23	181:12	14 5:11 7:17	228:16
1/2012 6:12	110 6:8	55:7,19 58:17	181 6:17
110:16	1170 1:19 2:15	58:18 71:5	184 7:4
10 3:8,13 4:21	11:01 85:13	102:3,7 191:12	189 7:10
83:24 84:3,11	92:17	191:15 201:3	19 6:13 179:3
84:16 85:5	12 5:4 7:16	201:16	179:13
90:16 97:15,16	92:25 93:4	1401 2:4	1900 1:20 2:16
97:19 103:17	124:1,2,7	15 5:15 103:2,7	191 7:13
109:13,15,21	127:3,6,11,22	103:19 142:1,1	192 7:18
111:4 112:1	128:4,8 129:4	142:16 145:16	

[193 - 3450]

Page 2

		1	
193 7:20	182:15 183:7	161:2 170:24	2:39 204:15
1936 1:23	230:16	2023 1:15 3:6	2:50 204:18
194 8:4	2000 27:23	9:5,7 85:1	2nd 197:12
198 205:11	20005 2:4	113:14 229:18	198:3,11
206:12 211:11	2002 159:3	2027 93:14	3
1:17 1:5	2010 104:10	204 8:7	3 1:15 3:6,16
1:32 153:22,24	105:1,3 187:1	20th 229:18	9:5 44:9,10,18
1st 132:11	2012 113:9,13	21 7:4 184:4,10	45:14,19 46:20
2	2013 112:15	22 7:10 189:16	52:6,8,15,21
2 3:14 46:3,18	114:5 163:17	189:20	85:13 185:11
47:7 48:16	2014 53:12	220 12:8	191:4
60:4,9,20 62:5	54:17,20 55:3	223 3:9	3,000 182:25
62:9 196:5,6,6	197:12 198:3	226 3:8	3,453 182:22
196:9,11,17,22	198:11 200:24	228 8:12	3/31/1999 7:16
197:16,19,20	2014-15 52:1	23 7:13 191:9	191:11
197:22 200:8	2015 156:2	191:14	30 1:12 3:3 9:2
200:11,20	2016 33:11	24 7:18 38:18	9:8 10:15,16
201:4,17,20	34:15,25 95:6	102:10 155:6	12:7 31:2 55:9
202:8 203:1,15	97:9,9 132:11	155:22 156:19	55:12,17 58:19
211:16 213:11	133:1,2 138:17	157:15 192:11	58:21 61:6
213:19 214:13	155:14	192:14	124:17 147:18
216:1 223:7,10	2017 94:21	2491 6:15	158:10,15
223:13,16	97:12 101:1	179:5,16	166:1 198:5
224:16,18,19	158:10,10,15	25 7:20 193:2,6	209:8,11
225:1,6,12,14	180:18 183:19	26 8:4 194:13	221:20 229:8
227:2,7,11,18	190:11,18	194:16	230:1 231:6
2/16/23 4:23	2018 143:14	27 8:7 204:25	232:20
84:9	144:21 145:1	205:9,23 206:4	300 230:17
20 6:7,17 38:17	202.237.2727	211:12 216:8	30076 230:18
70:5 71:2	2:5	216:10,11	30309 2:16
88:16 93:14	2020 70:5 71:2	28 4:17 8:12	31 180:18
94:21 110:1	71:5 85:13	77:17 142:7	343-9696
155:5,21	88:16 102:11	228:15	230:19
156:19 157:15	2022 159:3,5,8	2842 7:9 184:8	3450 6:19
181:11,15	159:15 160:4	184:11	181:13,17

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830 [3452 - 81]

		1	
3452 6:20	42 105:18	528 77:20	6212 6:7 110:1
181:13,17	107:11,14	53 3:17	64 3:21
3453 7:22	112:13 113:8	5675825 231:1	65 4:20 78:18
176:15 177:11	114:11 121:23	5:30 16:1 68:20	678.515.5000
179:10 193:4,7	42nd 107:15	68:22	2:17
195:2	43 7:9 184:8,11	5th 34:24 95:6	69 4:4 207:16
35 163:2	46 3:14	97:9	7
37 163:2	463 4:20 78:18	6	7 4:11 63:19,21
38 142:7 146:7	465 78:20	6 1:12 3:3 4:4	75:7,12 76:1
146:25 147:12	47,000 121:23	9:2,8 10:16	87:23 175:25
3:04 213:1	470 4:14 75:10	12:7 31:2	213:13 231:6
3:05 213:5	75:12	58:19,21 61:6	7/16/15 3:15
3:13 217:15	48 25:20 28:3	68:20 69:19	46:4
3:30 217:18	5	70:1,12 82:19	75 4:11
3:40 228:14,18	5 3:21 12:19	82:23 83:1,3,7	7672 55:8,12
3rd 9:7 155:14	21:2 22:24	83:14,19,20	58:18,22
4	23:1,2,6 24:1	166:1 221:20	7673 55:23
4 3:17 23:11	25:13,14 30:15	229:8 230:1	56:1
30:13,16 31:11	30:19,23 34:15	232:20	77 4:15
31:12,22 32:3	45:10 64:15,19	6/1/17 6:14	770 230:19
32:4 35:24	65:3,16,24	179:4	7780 5:5 93:1,6
37:25 38:17	70:20 88:12	6/25/21 6:6	7787 93:7
40:22,25 42:13	90:15 91:16,19	109:25	78 4:18
44:7 46:19	170:20	60 2:9	7:15 91:9
53:15,25 90:15	501 185:11	617.526.6000	8
90:18,24,25	509 186:4	2:10	
91:9,14 92:23	191:4	6181 5:13	8 4:15 48:18
95:21,24	52 3:16	102:5	59:2,12,18
154:22 155:2,5	52.69 180:18,25	6186 6:12	62:11,21 63:16 77:15,19
156:14,20	5237 32:11	110:16	154:11 158:4,4
157:3,10,11	37:4 38:9,21	6194 110:20	8/20/2020 91:9
216:7,11	39:6	6195 5:18	80 5:21 108:22
41 105:17,18	5239 162:21	103:5	80 5.21 108.22 81 93:6
107:11,14	526 4:17 77:17	6210 110:5	
113:7 162:22			
115.7 102.22			

[82 - additional]

82 5:5 93:1,6	90 3:24 64:17	accept 127:24	actions 38:21
8219 8:6	64:20,22	acceptance	39:6 122:16
194:15,17	92 5:4 6:16	160:20	123:16 213:22
8237 142:7	179:5,16	access 37:1	actual 17:21
144:15 146:6	94 6:12 110:16	152:22,23	18:12,22 20:13
146:25 147:12	97 103:7	account 197:2	33:15,17 86:20
8238 143:1	98 5:6,18 103:5	accountability	118:23 126:17
152:21	984 5:10 99:2	104:6,9,11	142:21 151:3
83 4:21 5:14	9876 5:21	105:10 106:7	159:9
102:5,8	108:22	accountant	actually 25:25
8377 124:4,13	989 5:10 99:2,5	187:9 188:15	29:22 36:1
128:5,21 133:4	99 36:22	accounting	37:14 41:19
136:21	9:03 9:7	183:22 187:18	57:15 63:9
84 4:22	9:14 88:16	188:7,23 189:5	74:8 77:24
85-1 192:16	9th 134:6	189:14	97:15 118:21
86 3:24 64:17	a	accumulated	144:19 145:5
87 17:3	a.m. 1:16 9:7	14:7	206:8 208:25
88 21:1 64:22	85:13 88:16	accumulation	210:23 213:8
880 108:12	91:9	40:1	218:20 227:3
8:58 1:16	ability 11:3	accuracy 89:12	adams 107:19
8th 134:6	188:6	91:25 186:8	107:21,25
9	able 62:2 80:25	accurate 44:12	add 23:24
9 4:18 16:10	81:2 93:6	47:4 55:12	25:12,15 40:3
17:12,16,23	120:20 121:18	171:19,24	44:7,14 55:15
18:5,8,10,13	123:4 129:1	172:5 185:15	58:16 83:17
20:23 78:16,19	130:6 163:18	185:19 192:6	95:12 106:1
79:18,22	168:2 189:7	204:1,4	116:22 158:2
154:11 158:20	203:10,19	acknowledge	added 108:9
158:21,21	217:9	31:7 89:7	addison 78:21
159:3,4	above 48:23	190:23	80:2,6,9
9-11-30 231:7	230:12	acknowledging	addition
9/20/22 4:16	absolutely	139:7	142:17 196:10
77:16	166:17	action 38:8	196:15
9/3/2020 5:17	abuse 6:4 98:17	229:14	additional
103:4	109:24		12:12 14:17

[additional - answer]

83:17 111:3	212:13 213:24	allegations	analogy 105:5
129:10 156:22	214:3,13,19	105:12 106:15	annual 150:12
225:22 231:9	215:2,4,7,11,14	107:21 114:1	151:17
address 33:21	215:20,23	118:20 122:15	annually
34:11 55:24	216:4	123:15 125:12	150:10
79:15	ahead 25:3,8	204:8	answer 8:9
adjusted 164:7	31:18 36:12	alleged 98:16	14:6,16 20:8
adopt 81:20	49:16 51:6	106:25 120:21	35:6 39:17
adopts 58:20	53:23 65:17	121:5	40:3 49:11,12
advance 111:17	81:1,7 86:5,7	allow 86:19	51:12 61:7,20
advice 54:18	89:1 98:13	allowed 101:13	62:7,16,23
167:5	106:18 114:24	aloud 213:21	71:16 74:8
advil 11:1	121:7 123:21	amended 31:1	76:12 98:21
afield 191:20	133:19 134:3	american 1:6	103:8 106:20
afterlife 221:11	144:8 147:15	6:8 7:5,14 8:7	108:18 110:20
agent 143:21	148:15 156:8	9:9,25 110:14	115:10,11
ago 41:23 42:6	156:16 174:15	184:5 185:6	121:8,18 123:4
42:18 67:14	177:12 180:9	191:10 204:25	126:9 128:13
71:10 85:18	198:7 208:9,15	amici 4:5 69:20	128:15 130:21
125:23 172:23	210:4 218:12	amicus 66:24	131:3 132:14
agree 11:7	221:7 227:16	67:7 68:2 69:3	133:25 135:14
23:12 58:24	aig 158:14	69:4 70:2 71:1	136:8 139:1
88:19 89:4	air 105:5	72:22,25 73:2	140:12 154:9
95:14,15,20	alabama	73:6,10 74:1	157:24 158:2
114:18,23	100:16	74:21 75:2	159:17 166:13
119:16 182:18	alaska 5:15,16	76:5 77:7	166:24 167:1,3
183:5	103:2,3 104:1	78:13 80:3,10	167:4,6 181:6
agreeable 21:7	104:3,4,18	88:21 89:6,8,9	186:19 187:17
agreed 89:25	117:9	90:20 91:3,10	188:6 189:7
agreement 3:14	alike 84:18	91:18	196:12,15
45:24 46:4	allegation	amount 119:23	198:7,15
47:10 48:15,21	97:21 98:1	152:13,15,18	199:20 201:20
60:8,19 62:4	112:10 115:15	152:20 153:6	203:15 205:2,7
202:11 211:19	118:8,9 122:22	153:12	205:9 206:12
211:24 212:4			206:14 210:17

[answer - asking]

211:23 215:10	apologize	arguing 31:8	73:17 80:7
216:8,17 217:3	227:12	argumentative	85:25 86:12
217:6,9 218:4	app 149:20	29:23 33:4	89:23 106:17
224:16,24	appeal 80:18	40:14,15 138:9	123:20 125:10
225:21 226:8	82:7	186:24 221:6	127:7 129:25
226:17 227:6	appeals 64:4,12	argumentive	130:2,5,9
228:8	appearance	138:7	138:9 140:6
answered	42:22 95:4	arguments	149:2 153:14
35:10,11 65:17	appearances	111:20	168:21 169:4
65:18 106:18	2:1	arising 213:23	171:12,15
106:19 123:20	appeared 94:4	arrange 148:5	173:23 174:20
127:7 153:14	appellee's 4:9	art 95:2	178:7,11
174:20 178:11	69:23	arthur 59:3	186:16 187:7
186:17 187:7	applicable	60:23	187:16 189:3,9
187:16 189:4	213:25	article 5:6 6:4	195:19 196:24
189:10 195:20	applicant 192:6	98:23 100:11	197:8 198:8
198:8,14 199:2	applies 184:19	100:12 101:3	199:3,22
210:5 221:6	apply 189:23	109:23 112:19	207:23,25
226:20 227:24	appreciate	117:12	208:6 209:14
228:4	46:17 48:3	articulation	209:22 210:12
answering	112:23 118:18	19:17	211:22 217:7
69:10	119:15,17	aside 49:22	218:3 221:6
answers 11:5,8	appropriate	55:6 77:14	223:12,15
200:22 204:4	51:5,15,24	92:8 95:2,8	226:12,16
211:17 221:15	173:12 203:14	98:7 101:6	227:18
225:11 226:3,4	224:10	102:22 105:11	asking 17:9
226:12,18,22	appropriately	109:11 110:12	20:6 24:24
227:3,25	208:14	111:2 175:5	25:10 28:25,25
antibiotic 11:1	approved	181:5 184:3	35:3,6 39:15
anybody 47:20	185:9	192:10 193:1	47:16 57:24
127:2,5 131:22	approximately	194:4 195:22	69:11 80:21,23
134:14 218:14	94:16,18	216:6	95:23 106:13
apart 82:2	april 25:23	asked 12:12	106:24 113:12
apologies 70:23	area 166:11	35:10 45:16	113:19 115:7
213:6		58:6,15 65:17	118:23 122:1,2

[asking - b]

Page 7

122:10,13	association	128:11,11	40:8 60:2,12
123:9,12	41:12	134:25 136:9	61:12,22,23,25
131:14 133:13	associations	139:24,25	65:9,19,25
137:17 144:4	121:24	166:25 185:21	67:1 68:4
144:13 147:7	assume 49:6	187:9 230:10	73:24 74:3,4,5
157:13 161:18	57:16 61:12	attorney's	74:17 77:9,10
163:12 172:1	86:8 154:7	167:5	92:7 97:3,25
174:14 175:19	assumes 36:11	attorneys 18:15	100:3 101:10
177:7,15 180:4	assuming 34:1	54:9 60:15	102:21 116:10
201:8 208:7,12	86:8 135:15	73:18 83:16	116:12 122:4
208:22,25	assumption	audibly 71:16	126:3,6 130:12
220:25 228:6	47:22,23	155:9	134:15 136:20
asks 42:13,22	assumptions	audio 210:25	140:19,21,22
54:14 95:25	48:9,10 135:16	august 70:5	146:5,16,19
197:22 216:16	assure 177:9	71:2 85:13	147:25 148:2,3
223:25	atlanta 1:21	88:16	148:16 154:7
assembled	2:16	authentic 50:9	159:6,10,14
13:23	attach 231:10	50:12 181:8	163:19 165:23
asserted 89:24	attached 8:17	183:7 190:24	168:13,15
216:17	85:3,6 91:10	authenticity	172:18,22,25
asserting	230:5	181:7 184:25	175:18,23
186:14 190:1	attachment	author 85:14	176:1,3,4
assertions	90:19 91:3,17	86:2	178:5 186:13
197:23 198:2	attachments	authored	195:17 203:23
198:10,20	89:24 90:1,12	195:16	209:25 210:16
199:8 200:24	90:17	authority 5:8	210:21,24
201:2,15 202:1	attempt 201:24	98:25 99:19	214:4 226:24
203:12,21	attendance	103:23,25	b
assignment	9:17	automatic	b 1:12,23 3:3
231:1	attention 60:9	150:11	9:2,8 10:15,16
assist 125:8	60:22 62:3	autonomous	12:7 31:2 55:9
127:10 231:9	223:5	79:3,5	55:12,17 58:19
associated	attorney 62:15	avenue 2:4	58:21 61:6
146:12	62:15 73:4	aware 17:25	124:17 147:18
	77:23 79:9	18:18 36:14,20	166:1 198:5

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[b - believe]

	ı		
221:20 229:8	bad 29:3	182:20 186:7	160:3,4,13
230:1 232:20	banc 4:10	186:13	161:1,2 218:1
babies 28:15	69:24	bates 3:23 4:14	219:7
baby 28:7,12	bank 104:12	4:16,19 5:4,10	began 36:2,4
28:12	baptist 1:6 5:16	5:13,17,20 6:7	131:5 132:3,23
back 16:17	5:19 6:11 7:6	6:12,14,18 7:8	beginning 9:8
21:1 31:16,19	7:15 8:8 33:21	7:11,16,21 8:5	16:23 154:2
33:11 38:16	34:10,19 103:3	32:11 38:17	165:11 213:19
39:1 42:17,20	108:21 110:15	64:17,19 75:9	behalf 2:2,8,14
44:3,21 45:1,9	117:12 184:6	75:12 77:16,19	4:5 9:22 10:1
48:18 54:11	185:7 191:11	78:17,19 88:11	10:16 16:5
62:9 78:8 84:2	205:1	88:11 90:2,4,6	19:7,9 25:15
92:16,18,23	baptists 121:22	90:11,15,18,25	35:4 44:7
100:17 108:18	barely 155:13	91:16,19 93:1	45:14 55:15
123:3,7 144:14	based 35:13	93:4,5 99:1,4	62:1 65:10
151:25 153:23	44:18 100:5	102:5,8 103:4	69:20 74:20
154:21 158:4	101:13 109:5	103:7 108:11	79:19 85:23
158:20 166:6	158:25 167:7	108:22 109:25	87:5,8 89:5
168:7 178:18	181:6 188:7	110:5,16,19	132:25 144:4
178:19 187:19	basement	142:6 157:15	147:10 157:14
187:21 196:12	112:22	162:20 176:14	166:13 169:19
196:13 200:9	bases 175:1	179:4,15	171:17 172:1
201:10,12	216:16	181:12,16	177:25 203:11
202:13,22,23	basic 129:19	184:7,10	203:20 209:21
203:16,17	basically 24:7	189:17,20	210:12 211:4,9
204:12,17	104:11 105:4	191:11,14	behavior
206:16 210:6,8	105:18	193:3,6 194:14	122:16 123:16
211:11 213:4	basis 20:1	194:16 208:11	belief 47:2
216:1 217:17	23:20 30:5	bcmd 16:15	134:17
217:19 223:6	51:2 54:15	17:13,20,22	believe 11:2
227:21 230:2	62:15 73:12	18:11,13,21	12:2 16:4
background	89:11 91:24	20:6,12,14	19:17 20:17
137:1 189:5,6	131:3 134:17	47:12,13 49:2	33:1,7 34:21
189:14	134:22 136:8	98:7 159:7,9	38:5,7 64:20
	139:24 166:25	159:14,19	101:19,22

[believe - ca5.uscourts.]

106:23 109:5	birthday	bottom 33:20	bring 105:3
110:7,21 125:1	132:19	87:24 100:25	broad 129:3
130:20 132:1	bit 24:6 34:13	104:5 162:22	broader 184:15
154:15 158:7	56:25 124:16	163:2 189:22	broadly 114:5
158:22 160:13	155:4 195:25	bought 149:17	brought 41:18
170:10 172:16	197:19	150:23,23	59:18 60:9,22
180:21 205:17	black 40:16	breach 225:2,8	62:3 151:8,9
205:22 214:6	blank 50:15	breached	213:24
221:22 222:4	82:23	202:11	bryan 2:22
believed 87:1	block 220:23	breadth 128:14	bsfllp.com 2:5
believes 30:22	blog 112:21	break 11:20	2:6
86:12 221:11	blogs 121:9,14	43:23,24 92:12	build 39:10,14
belts 63:8	122:12 123:11	92:19 95:10	building 36:23
benefit 47:24	blood 229:14	115:25 139:3	68:10 96:2
74:7	board 1:6 5:20	141:22 182:11	134:24 157:6
best 14:16 47:1	7:5,14 8:7 9:9	196:1 204:14	bullet 72:18
53:2 111:13	10:1 24:9,15	217:19,21	bullying 5:7
113:17 116:19	24:17 73:20	breaking	98:24 99:18
117:20 124:21	108:21 136:23	141:22 153:17	104:8 105:13
158:6,24	137:9,13,19,23	brian 102:23	106:10,11,16
220:16,17	138:2,6 139:10	brief 4:5 66:24	106:24 107:2
bet 94:5 221:2	140:10 184:5	67:7 68:2 69:3	112:10 114:2
221:8	185:7,8,23	69:4,15,20	115:16 121:6
better 75:21	191:10 205:1	70:2,8,11,25	122:15 123:15
99:14 220:7	board's 6:9	71:1 72:14,15	butler 1:18
beyond 111:6	110:14	72:22,25,25	2:15 9:22
binder 8:12	bobo 104:19	73:2,6,10 74:1	81:10
11:11 13:18,21	boies 2:3 9:14	74:21 75:3	butlersnow.c
14:22 16:20	bono 82:3	76:5 77:7	2:17
59:14 129:8	book 95:15	78:13 80:3,10	с
174:9 223:16	218:4,16	88:21 89:6,8,9	c 185:11 194:7
228:15	book's 218:20	90:20 91:4,5	229:1,1
bird 105:6	boston 2:10	91:10,18	ca5.uscourts.
birth 28:22	bother 58:24	briefly 10:21	3:22
		52:9 206:25	

[ca5.uscourts.gov - church]

ca5.uscourts	capacity 10:15	celebrations	232:1,3,4,6,8,9
64:16	card 37:2	26:4	232:11,13,15
calendar 25:25	care 82:2 115:9	cell 173:14	232:16
26:6,10 27:20	224:8	certain 35:17	changed 95:3
27:22	carlos 145:1,2	35:18 71:21	119:16,17
calendars	145:13,16	78:4 86:13	156:9
27:10,19,24	151:1,2,3,5,9	96:13 97:1	changes 171:23
california 6:10	carrington	99:9 104:1	230:5 231:4,5
110:15 111:12	2:14 4:22 84:9	106:1 144:10	231:7
113:9 117:14	case 1:4 12:13	221:19	changing 228:8
117:18	17:14 54:3	certainly 129:2	characterize
call 28:13 69:7	61:14 80:19	165:4	56:12
73:21 88:11	82:8 84:14	certified 229:6	charge 145:5
112:24 116:4	108:17 165:8	certify 229:7,13	150:18 166:11
126:14,20,22	165:15,22	231:2	181:2
126:23 206:10	166:18 167:10	cetera 115:16	charles 7:18
206:11	168:11,22	cfo 144:19,21	192:12,15
called 10:6 74:3	169:5,21 183:9	144:22 145:1,5	check 75:13,14
74:4 86:17	192:16 205:11	145:14	96:14 97:22
126:6,10	caseprocessing	chain 38:20	105:21
calls 185:16	3:22 64:16	39:5,20 77:20	checked 97:20
186:9 187:8	cat 9:21 81:8,9	79:14 84:23	chief 183:22
188:13,20	cause 117:9	183:15,19	choice 111:24
190:25 192:7	caution 36:15	chains 17:5	choose 120:19
214:14,21	cautious 36:5	90:1	christopherson
216:24 217:11	36:25 39:12	challenges	202:4,9,9
224:21,22	40:2 57:6,10	101:23	203:4 204:11
225:9,17,17	60:1 144:9	chance 20:25	christopherso
camera 151:18	156:11	182:14 189:12	203:9
cameras 96:22	ccr 1:23	change 42:7	chronological
148:20 149:16	celebrate 28:22	106:4 222:20	53:2
149:18 150:19	29:5	226:17 231:11	church 5:16
150:24	celebration	231:13,14,16	79:2,3,5
canal 10:23	26:6	231:18,19,21	100:16,17
		231:23,24	103:3 105:4,7

[church - community]

120:6	105:2 115:25	column 53:5	137:19 162:12
churches	126:24 143:5	87:17 88:11,18	communicated
121:23	152:2 190:5	come 21:1 30:5	14:16 60:14
circuit 64:4	222:13	36:20 44:3	73:10,11 76:21
65:16,23 66:25	clearing 102:23	96:10,23 138:3	77:5 78:12
67:8,20 68:2	clearly 48:6	199:17 200:9	80:2 144:5
76:6 80:4,10	client 62:15	204:12 206:16	145:25 151:2
80:18,24 81:6	128:11 136:9	comes 163:4	communication
81:23 82:8	139:24 166:25	184:17,23	18:14 63:24
85:20 213:25	close 30:17	coming 30:7	65:9 67:13
circulated	54:19	36:23 96:20	68:18 71:18
91:18	clowns 104:19	150:5 199:18	79:19 126:25
circumstances	104:20	218:16	144:16 156:22
58:19 157:3	cmecf 3:22	comment	158:9 159:2
193:22	64:16	143:25 144:6	communicati
citations 54:24	coaching	146:1	14:6 16:15
civil 86:16	119:21	commentary	17:17,19,25
231:7	code 185:12	24:6 116:22	18:2,10,11,21
claim 111:15	186:4 187:6,14	121:9,14	19:3,23 20:3,6
158:14	188:1,19 189:2	commission 4:8	20:12 41:11
clarification	189:15 191:7	4:13 69:22	51:3,22 54:16
14:10 82:16	coffee 219:10	75:9 232:25	61:11,17 62:12
112:24 113:4	coincidentally	committee	64:8 65:1,21
121:18 146:14	131:9	67:11,12 68:6	68:4 72:21
clarify 23:10	collated 14:1	68:7 71:25	74:11,17 81:13
163:10	colleague 9:16	77:23 79:7,20	83:11 127:15
clarity 82:19	collect 168:10	118:1,16 125:7	142:20,25
128:2	201:24	125:8,21,24	156:12 158:13
clark 59:10	collection	126:4,14,18	159:6,18
classified	200:20	129:13,16,16	160:12,25
185:24 187:5	collective 122:3	131:21	165:13,20
187:13,25	collegial 120:11	communicate	166:15
clean 131:24	colon 153:3	31:12,23 32:17	community
clear 21:14	color 5:4 33:9	65:14 67:6	28:12
48:11 68:14	92:25	73:5 80:6	

[company - construe]

	44:12 47:4	concerns 117:2	confident 47:15
company 59:4			
59:25 148:6	55:13 96:16	117:3,5,24	47:18 59:8
152:5	125:19 171:19	121:4 122:15	79:16,16
compare 90:16	172:5 204:2,5	123:14 156:6	confidential
compel 3:19	210:17 211:5	223:1	101:20 182:5
53:17 54:2	221:16 226:13	conclude 226:3	confidentiality
compelling	226:19 228:7	228:1	75:15
221:12	completed	concluded	confine 116:21
complain	230:14	133:5,6,12,15	confirm 30:17
112:20 113:9	completely	228:17	43:20 58:15
115:19,20	48:7 66:16	conclusion	85:25 178:8,21
119:13 120:13	113:14	185:17 186:10	184:18 195:17
122:4	composition	187:8 188:21	228:6
complained	129:13	191:1 192:8	confirmed
98:5,9 112:19	compound	210:4 214:15	45:16,22 50:8
114:4	217:12	214:22 216:25	51:24
complainers	comprehensive	217:12 224:22	conflict 54:20
115:23	120:10	225:18	55:3
complaining	computer 27:8	condiment	confused 51:7
120:12 122:24	212:20	180:1	163:11
122:25	concede 148:13	condition 102:1	confusing
complaint	concedes 181:8	conduct 17:2	23:11
97:20,25	conceivable	98:5,11,14	connected
112:10 113:25	197:6	118:11 125:15	24:17,20
114:1 115:15	concern 118:15	131:8	connection
115:21 118:8	118:19 120:21	conducted	10:23 76:22
122:22	217:6	100:6 130:5,16	77:6 82:7
	•	133:1	139:18 146:7
complaints	concerning	155.1	137.10 140.7
complaints 101:10 113:25	16:16 17:21	conducting	213:23
-	0		
101:10 113:25	16:16 17:21	conducting	213:23
101:10 113:25 114:1 116:3,25	16:16 17:21 18:2 77:7 80:3	conducting 134:10,13	213:23 consider 123:5
101:10 113:25 114:1 116:3,25 117:1 118:20	16:16 17:21 18:2 77:7 80:3 92:4 139:18	conducting 134:10,13 confer 16:14	213:23 consider 123:5 196:21
101:10 113:25 114:1 116:3,25 117:1 118:20 122:11,21 123:10	16:16 17:21 18:2 77:7 80:3 92:4 139:18 142:21 159:8	conducting 134:10,13 confer 16:14 222:25	213:23 consider 123:5 196:21 constitute
101:10 113:25 114:1 116:3,25 117:1 118:20 122:11,21	16:16 17:21 18:2 77:7 80:3 92:4 139:18 142:21 159:8 171:2 225:2,8	conducting 134:10,13 confer 16:14 222:25 conferences	213:23 consider 123:5 196:21 constitute 62:12

[contacted - counsel]

	1		
contacted	contributed	conversation	156:7 173:17
59:25 68:8	180:18	37:6 69:6 72:4	175:7 180:6
112:18	contributions	80:12	183:15,23
contain 225:1,6	180:8	conversations	186:21 187:1
contained	control 175:21	72:19 98:22	190:16 197:13
17:22 18:5	176:6,16 177:1	159:22	197:24 204:9
23:25 65:23	178:2	copies 118:14	211:9 215:16
78:13 201:3,16	controller	230:10	216:4 222:15
contains	183:22 189:22	copy 5:4 11:16	227:9
224:19	190:10,17	21:17 22:22	corrected 85:2
contended	convened 75:1	44:3 47:10	85:3,5
107:1	76:4,15 77:7	92:25 108:7	correcting
contending	convention 1:7	190:16 204:24	66:11,23 67:19
199:7	5:9,20 6:11 7:6	212:24	142:11 171:14
contends	7:15 8:9 98:4	corporate 9:10	correction 66:8
152:11 201:25	98:18 99:1,19	correct 22:2,10	67:16 68:3
content 38:15	108:5,6,21	23:16 48:16,21	69:15 70:21,24
149:4	110:16 112:12	49:4,6,8,10,20	71:4
contention	113:24 114:4	55:9 56:9	corrections
54:15	118:23,24	58:23 61:14	230:5 231:9
contentions	119:2,5,10	70:8,10,24	correspond
51:2	120:23 184:6	71:2,6,7 73:1	11:22 175:14
contents 16:7	185:7 191:11	78:3 79:4	corresponden
62:24 80:12	205:2	85:20,21 87:5	59:9
context 112:14	conventions	87:6 88:16	cost 150:9,17
continually	5:7 98:24	89:9 101:1	costs 150:16
15:21	99:18 105:14	109:17,18,21	counsel 2:1,24
continued 4:1	106:16,25	117:22 122:8	9:12,25 10:2
5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	107:11 112:9	124:4,18	13:13 14:15
39:14	112:12 113:8	127:23 131:19	15:2,2 16:13
continuing	116:9 121:23	131:23 132:23	16:16 17:21
42:13	122:13,19	134:6 135:9	18:12,22 19:5
contradict	123:12,18	139:11 144:16	19:6,14 20:13
222:3	146:9	147:14 152:6	47:12,13,17,17
		153:11 155:16	47:21 51:23

[counsel - defendant]

Page 14

54:17 61:7	230:16	79:8 88:15	68:23 81:16
65:7,14,21	courtesy 31:8	93:15,16,18	115:19 131:10
66:20 67:11	courts 214:3	101:1 115:14	134:8 226:8
68:6,7 73:11	cover 72:19	130:24,25	229:18 232:21
73:14,15,18	164:19 199:11	131:1,1,2,4,12	days 133:6
75:16 77:5	covered 18:13	131:13,14,15	134:25 172:23
78:2,12 79:9	60:12 128:10	132:21,22	173:5 199:17
80:2 81:19	203:9	133:9,14,21,25	dc 2:4
84:15 85:25	cowboys 135:6	134:4 138:18	deal 119:11
89:18,23 92:4	cpa 7:19	138:19,24	143:15,17
93:16 94:2	192:12,15	149:8 159:16	202:5
95:9 108:12	срс 193:14	160:5 165:5	dealings 137:8
127:8,10,13,15	created 56:1	167:22 168:13	dear 192:6
127:19,20	credible 33:1	168:13 169:7	debacle 75:3
136:17 138:25	curiae 4:5	171:21 172:5	debbie 193:17
142:4 159:7,19	69:20	212:2 222:5	december
160:10,13	current 78:4	228:7,10 230:2	51:13 54:17
161:1 200:12	custody 175:20	dated 85:1	71:5 197:12
214:24 222:3	176:6,15,25	133:4 155:14	198:3,11
226:16	178:2	156:1 170:24	200:24 201:3
counsel's 54:18	cute 28:13	dates 79:13	201:16
54:18 58:13	cv 1:5	93:13 129:1,15	decide 99:12
226:2 227:23	cycle 28:22	129:18 132:2	deciding 14:11
count 42:21	29:5	145:11 159:22	decision 14:14
67:15	d	167:23	111:25
county 213:25	d 47:6,9	dave 147:5	declaration
214:9 229:4	dallas 134:25	david 193:13	7:18 192:11,15
couple 173:5	das 1:5	194:5,7	dedicated
204:14	date 34:14,18	davidson 7:20	133:8
course 31:9	35:2,7,12,15,18	193:3,10,11	deem 224:9
39:10 51:1	35:22 40:5,9	202:14	deems 198:2,19
court 1:1 10:3	41:1,2,6,10,24	davis 202:5,7	defendant 1:8
12:2,8 64:3,11	41:25 42:4,5,9	203:1 204:11	2:8,14 4:9 9:20
213:25 214:1	44:12 53:6	day 15:7,11,12	9:23 69:23
229:6 230:13	68:21 70:4,17	15:15 58:12	
	00.21 /0.4,1/		

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[defendants - directed]

defendants	deponent's	depot 148:19	37:10 38:23
222:24	230:4	depression	39:7,22 40:6
defense 214:11	deposition 1:11	101:23	42:23,25 93:12
214:11,12,12	1:12 3:2,3,13	describe 13:11	94:13 95:5
215:1,6,10,19	9:1,2,9 10:14	86:18 106:5,6	96:4 157:6,17
215:22 216:7	10:20 12:7,7	216:16	destroy 169:5
216:17,19,23	15:25 16:24	described 23:3	detail 54:10
defenses 8:10	17:2 40:20	155:19,23	72:20 143:11
205:2,10	42:8 44:19	156:5,13	173:2
214:18 216:18	45:20 48:6	177:21	details 176:11
217:9	52:22 55:9,12	describing	180:20
defer 19:4,13	55:19 58:21	175:21 176:7	determine
38:11	60:4,7 63:12	176:16 177:1	20:11 177:18
define 116:4	64:13 70:15	178:3 192:6	determined
122:23	76:16,20 92:20	description	210:25
definition	92:24 101:4	3:10 4:2 5:2	dictate 5:8
220:7	102:20 103:17	6:2 7:2,7 8:2	98:25 99:19
definitive 35:6	107:7 108:2,16	184:6 185:3	differences
delaware 202:6	109:9 110:9,25	descriptions	21:19 22:19
delay 46:15	124:17 128:18	107:25	different 23:3
delete 167:25	147:18 154:3	designate 182:4	24:4 30:24
deleted 32:21	156:21 158:18	designation	69:10 104:25
32:23	159:12 160:24	75:15	113:14 134:20
delivered 139:9	161:23 162:9	designed	163:24 164:5
180:15	163:21 164:23	125:16	164:14 172:20
depend 105:16	168:17 170:17	desire 231:7	208:22 212:6
119:8	192:23 198:5	desk 24:12,18	difficult 128:13
depending	202:7,25	24:19,20,20,21	162:21
23:22 146:11	207:18 211:20	25:3,5,7,10,17	dig 16:9
180:14	217:23 221:21	25:18,21 26:13	digital 24:9
depends 111:8	221:25 222:5	26:14,15,16,17	direct 12:22
111:10 116:14	222:14 223:6	26:24 27:4	39:16 73:13
180:13 220:20	228:12 229:9	28:2 33:16	148:6 223:5
deponent 230:1	229:10 230:4	34:24 35:8	directed 155:15
230:4,5,7,13	231:8	36:14,16,19	

[directly - documents]

directly 143:16	discussion 71:8	38:16 39:20,25	148:3
143:17	140:9,12,13,14	53:9 54:4,25	documents
director 99:24	140:18 160:6	58:22 75:11,18	11:13,25 13:15
119:1 218:1	213:2	75:25 84:11	13:18,20 14:17
disagree 19:19	disintegration	86:3,15,18	16:19 17:3,15
19:24 120:16	156:25	88:23 99:8	21:21 22:13
120:16 121:11	dismiss 100:22	110:13,21,23	50:8,11 51:15
165:4 222:24	100:23	110:24 124:8	51:16 59:13
disagreeing	dispose 166:16	124:14,15,17	61:13 63:5,21
19:21	167:9	127:4,8 131:2	82:25 83:1,19
disagreements	disposition	142:17 147:8	86:24,25 96:17
120:2	165:14,21	155:5,21,22	109:12,15,19
disclose 62:24	disprove	157:15 162:16	109:22 112:1,5
140:11 198:12	187:12,24	162:18,23,24	122:7 127:9
198:21 199:6	dispute 187:12	170:9,20 171:5	135:11,20
discovery 3:19	187:24	171:19 172:4	142:19 146:12
53:17 54:3	disputing 89:11	175:19,24	147:1,7,9,11,16
63:14	91:25 186:7,14	178:2 180:2,16	154:6 155:1
discuss 32:17	distribute	180:21 181:9	158:5,22 159:2
32:21 33:3	104:12	182:21 183:8	161:9 165:14
45:14,18 66:22	distribution	184:4,12,15,17	165:21 166:16
171:4 197:11	145:8	184:22,23	166:18 167:9
198:4 207:19	district 1:1,2	190:4,21,24	168:10,21
217:21	divulge 127:15	191:2 192:16	169:5,21
discussed 10:21	doc 27:25	204:21 205:11	174:18 175:7
51:23 52:11	50:10 53:5,8	205:12,18,20	175:14,20
55:8 56:5,11	doctrine	205:25 206:2,4	176:2,6,12,15
80:9 85:18	128:12 136:10	206:12,18,19	176:25 177:5
111:7 141:1	139:25	206:21 208:18	177:19 178:6,9
155:4 183:11	document 6:8	208:19,20	178:22 179:8
190:7 193:22	7:4 12:8 13:2	211:11,13	181:7,19
206:24	17:25 26:20	222:6 224:19	182:22,25
discussing	32:11,18,20,25	225:1,7	195:1,4,15
155:18 167:16	33:3 34:2,6,21	documentation	196:11,16
183:17	35:23 37:23,24	146:23 147:24	197:18,20

[documents - employment]

200:10,13,20	38:22 39:7,21	e	ec 79:10 116:16
200:23 201:2	40:6 48:21	e 2:2 3:21 4:15	editor 102:11
201:15,19	54:19 55:2	4:22 6:13,17	102:12
203:8,11,20	75:17,23 84:2	7:20 8:4 14:2,5	effect 125:2,4
206:17 207:24	92:18 99:23	17:5 52:3 59:9	163:9 164:11
208:1,11,17,21	105:11 127:21	64:15 77:15,20	224:15
208:23,24	142:13 144:6	79:11,14,15	effort 18:1
209:1,22	146:8 147:14	84:8,23,24,25	eiland 3:21
210:13 221:20	147:21 150:5	85:14 90:1,2,4	64:15
221:23 224:15	152:12 156:6	90:17 91:18	either 62:13
225:13 226:23	157:16 166:19	131:11 135:21	70:15 125:8
227:4,7	181:20 190:14	135:24,25	132:4 145:16
doing 26:7,7,9	217:25 219:6	136:15 155:19	153:10 166:13
29:22 52:2	219:20,23	155:23 156:5	198:22 206:3
81:9 113:16	220:13	156:14,23,24	224:6
117:20 120:3	draft 65:22	179:3 181:11	elaboration
120:22 121:5	90:19 91:5,9	183:14,19	89:18
125:7,12,21	91:17 159:20	193:2,18,22,25	electronic
182:6 190:5	212:3	193:2,10,22,23	24:15
196:2 197:4	drafted 13:24	231:6,7	electronically
199:19	14:3	earlier 17:1	180:15 230:6
dollars 150:12	drafts 65:1,14	77:22 92:22	eleven 176:21
donation	drawing 43:3	95:23 133:6,23	176:22
180:20,22,24	drop 28:9	155:4 159:20	employed 79:6
donations	due 31:9	183:11 190:8	119:2
180:22,25	152:11 221:18	206:25 207:3	employee 101:8
door 149:16	230:2	200.25 207.5	119:10 161:9
doubt 184:25	duly 10:6	earliest 53:4,11	162:19,25
190:15,22	229:10	159:1	163:3,14,24
dr 9:15,18 10:5	dyer 130:8	easier 204:22	164:3,15
10:11 26:23	131:5,16	easily 115:20	employees 28:9
27:6 28:21	136:18 137:15	easy 63:20	employment
29:4,7,11	137:18 139:9	66:14 81:3,18	78:4 79:13
30:23 34:19,23	140:9,17	ebert 8:5	131:1,5,13
35:8 36:10		194:14	

[empty - excuse]

empty 50:19,20	89:12 92:3	establish 82:4	exam 226:11
en 4:10 69:23	entry 53:4,11	established	examination
encouraging	85:12 86:2	109:14	3:7 10:9 17:2
29:11	87:4,7,11,13,15	et 115:16	124:12,23
engaged 98:17	87:21 88:7,10	ethics 4:7,12	125:2,22 127:1
106:15 107:1	89:7 91:8	69:21 75:8	128:21 130:5
144:10	equipment	evaluate 57:18	130:16,22,24
engagement	150:22 151:4	57:22 58:4	131:8 132:2,23
143:1,13	151:10,16	86:19	133:1,15
147:13	152:3	event 28:22	134:10,14
engagements	erlc 3:23 4:11	29:5 41:21	135:12 136:6
146:13,23	4:14,17,20	events 38:20	136:18 137:6
ensure 208:13	64:17,22 65:10	39:5,20 52:1	138:6 139:11
ensuring	66:9,21,22	96:19 204:1	139:14,19
181:23	67:9 68:2,16	everybody	223:2 225:25
entered 231:8	70:3 75:1,7,10	96:21 98:22,22	227:15
entire 21:3 99:8	75:12 76:4,21	100:20 120:10	examine 76:4
entirely 59:19	76:22 77:6,17	168:2	examined 10:7
entirety 12:16	78:8,14,18	everyone's	example 96:11
161:25 184:13	79:20	47:24 74:7	208:10 228:6
205:15,25	erlc463 78:20	evidence 150:4	excerpt 161:23
206:6,14,15	erlc526 77:19	evolved 39:14	163:1 184:12
207:7,10	erlc86 64:20	39:24	184:14,15
entitled 6:4,8	errata 230:2,5	ex 143:20	excerpts 162:9
7:4 52:8 54:1	230:6,7,9,11,12	exact 35:2,15	exchange 79:11
84:12 109:23	230:14 231:1	40:9 41:10	209:2
110:13 113:21	errors 66:23	52:18 72:15	exchanges
116:24 132:1	67:7,20 76:5	138:19 145:11	144:11
138:23 164:22	78:13	149:8 177:23	excluding
166:2 184:4	escalated 36:24	228:4	61:16 121:14
192:14 213:16	esquire 2:2,3,8	exactly 38:13	121:17,21
entrances	2:14	56:3 74:19,22	exclusively
148:21	essentially	96:7 120:24	213:24
entries 53:1	197:9	163:18	excuse 50:10
88:20 89:4,9			194:6

[execs - famous]

execs 119:11	75:7,12 76:1	95:25	facility 230:15
executed 215:5	77:15,19 78:16	expect 31:5	fact 36:11
executive 5:20	78:19 79:18,22	182:24 205:22	50:24 75:18
67:11,12 68:6	83:4,24 84:3,6	expertise 217:1	81:24 105:12
68:7 71:24	84:8,11,16,23	expires 232:25	127:17 137:20
77:23 79:6,10	85:5,7 89:17	explain 43:6	137:22 169:8
79:20 99:24	89:21 90:5,16	113:11 174:1	169:13
108:21 117:25	90:18 92:1,25	explained 30:4	facts 187:12,24
118:16 119:1	93:4 98:23	explaining	factual 51:2
142:22 145:2	99:4 102:3,7	138:2	54:15 127:13
147:13 151:6	103:2,7,9,19	explains 45:8	127:21 129:19
152:8,14	108:11,20,25	explanation	175:1 197:22
217:25	109:23 110:4	26:8 137:18	198:2,10,19
exempt 185:10	110:13,19	explanatory	199:7 200:23
exhaustive	179:3,13	33:5	202:1 203:12
228:2	181:11,15	expressed	203:21
exhibit 3:11,13	182:15 183:7	118:4 120:21	faint 155:12
3:14,16,17,21	184:4,10	121:4	fair 14:9 48:24
4:3,4,11,15,18	189:16,20	expressions	67:18 115:25
4:21,22 5:3,4,6	191:9,14	118:15,19	223:18 227:21
5:11,15,19 6:3	192:11,14,22	extended	fall 63:5
6:4,8,13,17 7:3	193:2,6 194:13	101:24	falling 100:20
7:4,10,13,18,20	194:16,17,21	extent 20:2	falsely 111:16
8:3,4,7,12	204:21,25	61:1 224:22	familiar 16:3
10:19 12:3,16	205:9,23 206:4	ezell 1:14 3:5	30:3,6 51:25
14:22 21:4,10	211:12 213:7	9:4,11 10:5,11	63:24 64:1,5
21:11 40:20	213:11 214:13	30:23 92:18	76:10 81:11
46:3 48:16	216:1,11 223:6	127:21 142:13	84:21 162:6
52:6,8,12,15,21	228:15	229:8 230:2	173:10 182:24
53:15,25 54:12	exhibits 3:10	232:20	193:21
60:4,9,20 62:5	4:2 5:2 6:2 7:2	f	familiarize
62:9 64:15,19	8:2,17 212:15		15:22
64:22 65:3,16	exist 140:24	f 47:3 229:1	families 23:22
65:24 69:19	existence 60:7	face 30:3 36:16	famous 219:20
70:1,12,20	60:19 62:4	facilitate	219:23,24
		126:24 200:5	,

[famous - follows]

220:8	214:11 215:10	fine 11:21 21:8	212:3 215:8
far 100:6	figure 14:20	22:8 46:11	220:22
156:24 226:13	51:17	114:23 120:6	firsthand 204:9
fate 221:2	figured 23:16	121:13 139:4	204:10
fbi 143:20	142:5,10	148:11 190:6	fit 120:5
feared 54:21	file 4:4 69:20	finish 27:17	five 43:12
55:4	230:10	30:15 42:1	70:24 117:16
february 34:15	filed 12:8 47:11	finished 21:12	196:1 214:19
34:24 85:1	54:3 63:25	157:1	fix 66:14
89:20 95:6	64:2 68:3,4	firm 9:17	fixed 41:1
97:9 155:14	70:2,4,18 71:2	firms 80:17,22	42:15,24 96:3
federal 86:16	71:4 159:14,16	80:23 81:3,5	157:4
214:1 231:6	160:4 161:2	first 23:13 32:5	flew 221:14
fee 149:17,18	192:15 205:11	32:6,10 35:23	flexner 2:3 9:15
149:19 151:21	230:12	37:2,24 38:16	flip 11:24 88:4
181:2	filing 69:3,4	41:1,12 46:1,2	167:25
feel 35:11 65:18	filings 83:15	46:22 47:9	floor 37:2
99:15 106:19	fill 117:13	52:14,24 53:3	68:11
116:7 119:25	230:6,6	60:16 66:17	floyd 68:8,19
120:2,3 151:6	final 65:2,15,22	79:18 81:11,22	71:9,18,22
225:24	finances 119:21	81:25 82:6,9	72:22
fees 151:17	financial 145:3	84:25 85:12,14	focus 103:21
152:5	145:8	85:18 86:3	105:2,3 120:6
felt 74:9 202:11	financing	87:4,25 88:25	120:7,8
ferrer 145:1,17	144:25	89:7 92:5	focused 105:7
151:2,3,9	find 15:10 18:1	94:21 97:15	120:8
ferrill 1:23	19:2,10 22:14	99:17 100:25	focusing
229:6,24	51:19 58:7	131:4,4,10,13	104:15 197:21
fifth 64:4 65:15	69:5 74:10	150:17 153:25	follow 95:22
65:23 66:24	136:25 149:5	155:5 157:11	136:11,13
67:8,20 68:1	198:23 212:25	161:13 162:16	following 231:5
76:6 80:3,10	finding 215:12	170:10 172:12	follows 10:8
80:18,24 81:6	findings 136:22	185:2 192:5	31:20 39:2
81:23 82:7	137:5,9,13	205:17,20	47:2 123:8
85:19 87:13	139:10 141:1	206:4 211:13	178:20 187:22

[follows - gant]

196:14 201:13	186:3 190:19	142:2 154:23	11:22 12:1,2
202:24 203:18	224:21 225:17	155:20 161:5	13:25 14:4,9
210:9	foundational	176:19,22	14:20,25 16:12
food 180:1	163:13	196:6	16:22 17:10,11
force 4:11 75:2	four 70:22 71:5	full 14:5 31:3	19:13,20 20:1
75:8 76:4,15	93:5,8 214:18	46:22 89:8	20:5,10,17,22
76:22 77:6	214:18	136:23 137:9	21:3,7,9,25
125:25	fourth 87:11	137:13 138:2	22:3,6,8,11,15
forefront	172:17,24	216:16	22:18,23 23:15
199:16	206:22 207:8	fully 16:5 22:3	23:24 24:4
forgot 28:13	207:21 209:4	40:8 44:12	25:4,9 27:16
199:12	209:20,23	45:8 136:22	29:24 30:22
form 115:18	210:11,14	137:5,8 171:21	31:10 33:8
212:3 231:7,9	211:4 214:11	172:5	35:12 36:17
formal 112:25	215:1,6 227:8	fulton 229:4	37:24 39:15
115:22 116:3,7	foyer 42:20	fun 143:21	40:15,17 43:14
116:13,14,14	frank 5:12	function 48:5	43:19,25 44:2
118:21 120:14	101:7 102:4,10	86:21	44:23 45:3
122:11 123:10	102:13	funding 104:3	46:6 48:3,5
126:4,8	free 106:2	119:20 120:18	49:10,15,19
formally	225:24	funds 153:7,13	50:18,21,22
221:20	friday 15:8	furnish 231:10	51:10 52:8
former 101:8	friendlies 36:23	further 72:21	53:19,21,25
147:5	friends 120:16	83:10,10	58:22 59:1,2
forth 128:20	front 12:4	108:19 191:19	59:22 60:16
152:1,20	21:22 22:1	228:12 229:13	61:5,12,20
171:18 172:4	36:14,16,19	g	62:9,19 63:2
229:10	37:10 40:18,22	ga 230:18	63:15 64:19
forums 122:12	46:17 47:7	gallagher 59:3	65:20 67:18,22
123:11	48:16 54:5	59:3 60:10,23	69:12,16,25
forward 230:10	77:18 82:21	61:13 62:3,13	71:1 75:6,11
found 150:4	83:3 84:4 87:2	158:14	75:13,23 76:10
212:20	89:21 93:5,8	gant 2:2 3:8	76:14,19,25
foundation	97:16 102:8	4:23 9:14,14	77:10,18 78:19
36:12 159:25	103:6 111:14	10:10,21 11:18	80:21 81:2,11
		10.10,21 11.10	

[gant - give]

84:1,3,9,11	141:21,25	193:17,21,25	gen 54:9
86:7 88:24	142:1,10,12	194:4,16,20,25	general 9:25
89:1,15 91:13	144:13 146:5	195:14,22,24	39:10 54:8
91:24 92:3,8	147:17 148:4	196:5,12,18,19	56:6 73:15,18
92:10,18 93:3	148:11,13,24	197:2,9,11	227:23
93:16,19,20	149:25 153:16	198:9,17,22	generally 25:8
94:1,10 95:9	153:25 154:10	199:11,22	160:16 196:25
95:12,17,20,21	154:16,19,21	201:7,9,21	generate 56:17
97:2 98:7,11	155:12 156:13	202:12,15,22	george 2:24
98:16 99:3	156:18 160:2	203:3,16,24	9:24 45:10,15
101:15 102:7	160:10,21,23	204:6,12,19	45:18 47:18,18
103:6 106:8,9	164:17 165:4,7	205:5,7,9	51:25 73:16,21
106:20 107:6	165:10 167:1	206:3 207:25	74:1,3,4,18
108:1,24 110:3	168:14,20,24	208:6,10,19	80:5,8 81:14
110:18 111:6	169:3 173:15	209:8,14,19	81:14 97:23,24
111:19,25	173:24 174:5	210:5,6,19,23	130:8,25 131:7
114:20,23	174:14,22	211:2,8,16	132:3,6,8,16,20
115:1,13	175:5,18	212:8,11,17,19	134:24 170:22
118:13,17	176:12 177:14	212:24 213:6	170:23 173:15
120:20 121:13	178:17,25	213:10 214:18	207:15,19,23
122:1 123:23	179:7 180:16	214:25 217:3	209:3
126:7,9 127:10	180:23 181:15	217:14,19	georgia 1:21
127:16,20,24	181:18,25	218:15,21	2:16 185:8
127:25 128:19	182:7,14 183:2	219:5,12,16,20	229:2,7
129:5,11,15,25	183:4,7 184:10	220:9,16,22	germane
130:4,20,23	184:20,24	221:2,10,18	113:18
131:25 132:7	185:19 186:13	222:12,16,21	getting 105:9
132:12,15,20	186:20,25	223:21,25	105:20 197:10
133:22 134:1,5	187:4,11,19	224:4,7,12,20	ghd 1:5
134:9 135:16	188:5,14,25	225:4,9,16	gift 28:10 56:9
135:22 136:4	189:7,11,20,25	226:1,11	56:18,22
136:11 138:8	190:6,7,23	227:16,17,20	give 11:4,7,16
138:14,23	191:3,14,23	227:22 228:11	18:16 28:15
139:4,8,21	192:1,10,14,20	gee 111:22	37:1 61:3
140:2,15,23,25	192:22 193:1,6		83:22 112:17

[give - happened]

Г		1	1
115:10 117:17	148:15 154:21	200:9 204:19	gunther 73:3
120:9 122:18	156:8,16	204:20,22,23	73:10,12,25
123:17 189:11	158:20 161:4	206:10,11,16	74:3,18 77:22
204:24 225:20	166:21 167:8	227:14	79:19
given 9:10	174:14 177:12	good 9:21	guy 100:21
30:20,23 43:5	180:9 182:5	10:11,12,13	111:23 113:12
83:16 96:24	195:25 198:7	82:14 104:13	113:13,15,16
128:13 158:23	208:8,15 210:4	105:18 107:11	143:19,21
180:14 221:15	211:11 212:24	107:13,14,15	219:25
229:11 231:8	215:1 217:14	113:8 116:23	guys 105:19
glad 111:11	218:12 221:7	117:10 120:16	120:15 196:3
202:18	227:16,20	196:3 218:20	h
glance 162:2,6	goes 64:22	gov 3:23	h 194:7
glancing 162:8	going 12:22	governing	half 90:5 92:11
go 14:11 16:24	17:7,8 20:8	213:16 216:3	hand 108:10
21:2 22:23	44:2 52:14	great 86:10	180:15 229:18
25:3,8 30:15	53:21 84:1,6	115:5,7	handbook
31:10,16,18	92:10,23 99:8	greetings 230:3	162:19,25
36:12 37:11	102:16 103:8	greg 78:21 80:2	162:19,23
42:17,20 44:9	105:25 108:10	80:9	164:3
49:16 50:14	108:14,17	grounds 60:25	handbooks
51:6 53:23	109:12 110:7	group 126:20	164:15
55:7 59:2	111:15,22	126:22 130:4	handed 12:3
63:19 65:17	113:20 114:17	130:15 134:10	53:25 70:1
68:11 72:20	120:7,13,13	134:13	
81:1,7 86:5,7	123:2,2,3,4	guenther 4:19	93:3 99:3
87:7,18 89:1	124:21 125:19	78:17	110:3,4,18 145:3
97:14 98:13	129:20 136:11	guess 26:6 48:2	
106:18 108:18	136:13 141:23	82:22 202:16	handing 108:12 179:12
113:5,15,19	151:20 153:17	219:11 220:16	handle 182:12
114:8,13,15,24	155:1 160:16	220:17 221:4	handled 156:11
121:7 123:21	164:23 166:12	guessing 94:19	
124:1 133:19	167:1,3 189:11	guests 30:5,6	handy 212:11
134:3 142:1	195:25 196:4	gun 105:7	happen 120:2
144:8 147:15	197:19 199:14		happened 29:19 66:17
	1		29:19:00:17

[happened - identifies]

	1	1	1
137:21 148:25	heider 6:13	hold 166:23	humorous 57:1
149:2 167:20	179:3,19,23	hollow 143:20	hundred
169:8,24 170:2	180:17	home 147:18	150:12 220:12
happening	help 23:22	148:17,19	220:21,22
56:19 168:8	41:14 66:10,13	149:6 150:6	hundreds
happens 149:3	81:25 82:11,17	151:15 152:4	104:20,21
happy 11:16	157:8 201:11	honest 209:13	i
86:9 94:1	212:7 215:12	hope 182:18	idea 164:18
95:11 132:7	220:6 227:5	221:24	identical 21:18
212:22 215:13	helped 127:8	hoping 105:5	21:22 22:9
222:25	151:12	hour 63:13	205:17,23
hard 117:9	helpful 67:25	92:11	identification
129:3	81:21 99:13	hours 15:13	3:11 4:3 5:3
hardest 27:16	hereinbefore	25:20 28:3	6:3 7:3 8:3
hawaii 117:18	229:9	134:9	10:20 40:25
head 68:16	hereunto	house 2:24	46:5 52:7
71:15 76:11	229:17	73:18,23	53:18 63:4
89:16	herrington	housekeeping	64:18 69:24
headed 60:3	9:21,22 16:20	153:25	75:10 77:17
heading 185:3	17:4 43:18,23	howard 213:25	78:18 83:25
headquarters	44:1 93:17	hr 101:14	84:10 93:2
35:9 38:23	141:23 154:18	hudson 59:9	99:2 102:6
39:8 40:7	154:20 181:21	huh 14:13 32:7	103:5 108:23
93:13 94:4,13	182:3,11	32:13 40:21	110:2,17 179:6
97:4 157:17	212:13	41:3 50:2 56:8	181:14 184:9
hear 57:25	hey 37:9	77:13 89:22	189:19 191:13
59:22 72:13	119:14 120:17	91:1 108:6	192:13 193:5
74:16 116:24	143:19 151:19	109:7 122:9	194:15 205:4
137:17 192:20	218:20	137:11 147:2	228:16
heard 16:18	highlighting	150:2 152:7	identified
155:13 218:1	22:12 108:9	155:8 156:12	22:19 156:4
227:23	hill 6:6 109:25	158:19 163:15	191:21 197:17
hearing 102:25	hired 131:7,9	207:4 212:18	identifies
heavens 37:15	hit 105:5	220:11	182:22

[identify - internal]

identify 9:12	included 35:2	indicating 91:8	instance 20:3
27:25 42:25	59:13 62:20	individual	56:20 104:17
62:2 112:8	125:20 131:2	10:15 35:20	127:13 150:17
120:20 122:13	131:20 153:5	81:4 208:20	institute 81:12
123:12 203:10	156:19 161:10	individuals	81:23 85:19
203:20	170:7 171:5	28:1 180:9	92:5
identity 80:17	173:11 201:19	inform 20:4	instruct 61:2
96:2 97:4	203:14 208:21	informal 36:21	127:14
iditarod 104:18	includes 23:9	118:21	instructed
illinois 147:6	40:25 134:5	information	29:18 36:13
impact 6:10	153:2	18:16 20:19,23	75:17 167:12
75:15 110:15	including 17:20	27:2 30:18	167:13,14,17
implemented	18:11,21 20:12	32:4 35:14	instructing
37:4	65:6,13,21	45:4 47:2 61:2	136:7 166:24
implied 21:16	77:5 78:11	63:11 86:12	instruction
226:20 228:2	80:1 95:4	101:18,19	29:25 36:10,17
imply 228:3	103:17 112:25	122:8 127:14	37:3 136:12,13
important 33:2	122:11 123:10	127:21 128:20	insurance 59:4
129:8	159:7,19 161:1	129:10 152:19	59:25 60:15
impossible	187:15 216:19	164:21,25	158:14
98:21 120:9	incomplete	165:25 171:10	insurer 59:5,6
198:7	171:11 226:5	173:11 174:9	61:11,18
improper 121:5	incorporated	181:23	insurers 60:10
inaccurate	185:8	initially 176:2	60:23 62:4,13
171:11 186:14	independent	inquired 19:22	intention 53:3
226:5	59:17 125:5,6	insert 146:1	interaction
inadvertent	125:11,16,19	insertion 144:6	102:1 162:4
56:15	index 3:1 4:1	inspect 22:22	interactions
inappropriate	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	install 150:1,17	39:11 119:14
122:16 123:16	indicate 108:8	installation	interested
inaudible 192:4	180:17	149:6	229:15
inc.'s 8:9 205:2	indicated 222:5	installed 150:3	interfere 11:3
include 24:3	indicates 70:17	150:19,20	internal 47:21
81:14 112:1	91:17	151:8,10	73:11,14,21
169:13			79:9 185:10,11

[internal - keep]

187:6,14 188:1	interviewed	133:11 175:1,4	janet 56:7
188:18 189:1	134:14,15,16	investigations	57:17
191:6	interviews	142:21 143:13	january 115:14
interpret	134:18	143:24	jeff 202:4,9,9
105:23	introduce	invite 84:1	203:4,9
interrogatories	190:3	invocation	jeff's 203:8
11:15 14:5	invading	215:20	jeremy 113:17
23:5 44:11	128:14,16	invoked 214:2	jim 73:3,10,11
45:9 161:13	inves 133:8	invoking	73:25 74:18
170:11 172:12	invest 103:25	214:12 215:7	79:19
172:12,13,15	119:25	215:11,23	jimmy 193:11
172:17,24	investigate	involved	job 19:10 37:11
173:11,16	18:19,24 19:1	124:11,23	81:16 125:13
175:2 206:22	20:15 29:16	126:25 136:18	joke 56:22 57:2
207:9,21 209:5	40:11,12 41:24	165:8 188:8	57:5,8,13
209:21,23	42:9,10,12,16	involvement	joking 58:12
210:11,14	42:19 43:4	17:14	journalist
211:5 227:9	60:7 66:2,4,5	involving 54:17	194:8,10
interrogatory	66:19 67:2,4	irs 7:13 191:10	judge 176:4
23:25 25:13,14	74:23 76:15,19	ish 92:11	july 170:24
30:25 31:2,5	76:24 77:4,11	issue 36:14,15	june 93:14
44:22 45:2,4,7	77:13 78:11,15	105:19 132:5	94:21 132:11
46:19,19	80:1,13,17	148:9 154:1	133:1,2,4,22
170:16 171:18	100:20 107:6	164:24	134:2,6,6,6
172:3 206:20	108:1 153:10	issues 17:9 66:2	138:17
216:10,14,15	165:19 169:20	92:20 101:23	jurisdiction
217:6 228:7,9	177:15	153:11	213:16 216:3
interrupt 23:15	investigated	j	k
interrupting	80:23 152:16	j 2:8 60:23	k 1:23 229:24
148:10	158:17 159:11	jackie 6:6	kat.carrington
interruption	160:7 163:20	109:25	2:17
173:14	168:16	jacksonville	kathleen 2:14
interview	investigation	41:13	keep 96:14,16
194:12	125:5,20	jamie 193:10	97:3 115:23
	128:10 131:24	J	122:3 129:21

[keep - knowledge]

			,
148:10 190:1	68:9,9,14,22,23	137:22 138:24	193:14,15,15
196:4 215:17	68:24 69:6,14	140:15 141:4	193:16,17
216:11	69:16 72:6,6,9	141:15 143:8	194:5,7,9
kept 125:2,6	72:16 73:3,9	145:24 146:4	198:14 199:1,1
kevin 1:14 3:5	73:25 75:1	146:18 147:8	199:15,18
9:4,10 10:5	78:5,9,21 80:8	148:18,18,22	201:7 203:8
36:21 229:8	80:11,12 81:5	149:2,4,5,5,8	206:1,10
230:2 232:20	93:16,17 96:14	149:12,15	209:13 212:21
key 37:2	96:18 97:7,11	150:16 151:1,5	214:7,9,25
knew 19:10	97:14 100:2,3	152:22 155:21	215:9 218:6
57:10 69:7	100:4 101:7,13	157:23 159:16	220:2,6,13,20
80:21 105:25	104:7,12,13,19	159:17,23	221:1,1,9
106:3 120:12	105:5,6,16,17	160:2,5 161:16	223:9 226:8,9
197:8 226:13	106:1,3 110:21	162:3,5 163:8	226:9,10,10
know 17:11,13	112:21 113:19	163:12,23	227:6
18:16 19:14	113:24 114:11	164:2,5,12,16	knowledge
20:19 22:20	114:25 115:13	164:19 165:5	14:17 16:7
24:9 25:6 27:1	116:13 119:3	165:24 166:2,5	17:24 18:7
28:8 29:14,15	119:14 120:13	167:15,20	23:6,7 29:10
30:4,8,10,11,11	120:24 121:25	168:14,20	29:17 35:21
32:8 33:17,24	122:2,2 125:17	169:3,8,13,24	44:17,24 47:2
34:1,3 36:9,24	125:18,24	170:1,6 173:13	59:17,24 62:17
37:1,12 41:10	126:19 128:25	177:8 178:15	62:18 73:12
41:25 42:4,5	129:11 130:1	179:19,21,23	75:5 80:5 81:8
43:3,10,21	130:10 131:14	179:24 180:4	83:23 89:2,14
44:18 46:6	131:16,18,20	180:11 181:1	89:15 98:21
47:13,16,25,25	131:21,22	184:22 185:22	121:24 123:22
48:10,22 49:5	132:1,2,4,7,9	186:12 187:4	124:15 128:6,7
51:13,13,14,15	133:8,12,23	187:10,10	142:18,23
51:25 52:10,13	134:9,13,16,20	188:7,10,11,14	151:11 152:15
56:3,4,23	134:24 135:2,4	188:19,22,23	158:16 159:21
57:16,16 58:11	135:8,8,10,11	188:25 189:6	175:12,15
59:3 60:14	135:18,19,22	189:14,15	178:5 196:10
61:20 62:19	135:25 136:17	191:6 192:5,17	196:16,20,21
66:11 67:5	137:12,14,20	193:10,13,14	197:18,22

[knowledge - lines]

Page 28

198:1 200:9lateral203:7142:25143:25198:11,20201:22,25laugh57:3144:5146:1199:8200204:7,9211:1laughed56:23ledge27:8201:3,162211:7law9:17left24:1053:5203:13,22known100:15213:16216:375:2390:7204:8100:16,18,19216:19,22163:2lever167:2	:24 202:2
204:7,9 211:1 211:7laughed56:23 lawledge27:8 24:10 53:5201:3,16 2 	202:2
211:7law9:17left24:1053:5203:13,22known100:15213:16216:375:2390:7204:8	
known 100:15 213:16 216:3 75:23 90:7 204:8	25
	,25
100.161910 216.1022 162.2 10000 167.2	
	25
101:22 217:7,8 legal 185:17 liberty 4:7	,13
knows 27:3 lawsuit 47:11 186:10,11,18 69:22 75:9)
37:10 61:18 101:21 214:5 187:8,10,17 81:12,22,2	25
64:9 111:16lawyer54:9188:7,20,2382:6,9 85:	14
129:9,9,23,24 188:9,15 217:4 189:5,13 85:19 92:5	5
139:1 166:4,5 lawyers 73:22 190:25 192:7,9 life 24:9 28	3:22
I 81:4 200:12 210:3 29:5 202:1	8
labeled 32:11 laying 30:10 214:14,22,24 likely 220:	13
Tabled 52.11 38:17 64:19 layout 42:23 216:24 217:1 limited 68	.7
38.17 04.19 95:4,4 217:12 224:22 122:11 12 75:12 77:19 95:4,4 110:11 110:11	3:10
73.12 119 78:19 99:4 lead 226:3 225:18 limiting	7:15
102:8 103:7 leaders 5:16 length 141:1,4 112:24 21	9:1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15
108.11 110.3 leading 130:13 letter 4:11,18 lindsay 7:13	8
110.19 137.13204:1 210:195:15,19 7:10192:12179:15 181:16204:1 210:195:15,19 7:10192:12	
1 - 224.20 - 225.16 - 7.13 - 36.1 - 100000 - 10000000000000000000000000	2:15
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	24
	:5
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
language 38:19 leave 1.4 69:20 67:10 68:3 142:6 153	:2
39:4,10,19	
41:4 181.20.23 75.7.78.16 231.11.14	
Targe 98:20 225.23 228.11 102.11 12.17 231.10.21	,
140:10 leaving 82.2 103.2 108.20 232.1 4.6	
largest 119:19 05.2 08.7 155.14 157.21 232.13 16	,
120:18 105.11.221.20 180.16.100.16 lines 153.5	
laser 105:/	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
221:19	

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[list - mails]

	1		
list 28:15 90:2	locate 18:2	53:3 54:11	luck 104:13
112:12 113:5	located 27:7,7	75:17 84:7,18	lunch 141:21
113:10,11	27:10	87:17 88:18	153:18 154:3
114:11 115:23	location 95:25	89:17 90:15	158:7,8
115:23 116:11	146:11	95:24 100:5	luncheon
116:22 117:22	log 3:16 4:21	119:14 120:4	153:21
120:10,10	52:7,9 53:12	120:17 125:17	m
122:4 153:5	63:4,13 83:24	144:15 151:19	made 17:13
161:16,17	84:12,13 85:2	153:1 154:25	18:1 24:2
listed 13:2	85:4,5,13,23	165:10 182:15	51:16,25 56:22
17:15 18:8	86:4,11,17	216:7,8	57:2 67:17
23:5 32:4 59:9	87:2 89:12	looked 13:16	96:8 107:21
88:20 133:21	90:9,16 91:20	15:21 43:16	135:2 140:9
161:20 177:5	92:1 96:11,12	60:2 94:13	164:17 165:7
209:24 210:15	logan 59:10	95:5 154:1,3	204:8
226:23	logged 63:10	207:2 208:12	mail 3:21 4:15
listened 210:25	logistically	211:18 216:2	4:22 6:13,17
listening 69:17	14:21	looking 16:2	7:20 8:4 14:2
lists 90:11	logs 84:17,18	65:2,16 146:24	64:15 77:15,20
literal 104:20	84:19	157:25 164:4,8	79:11,14,15
litigation 50:12	long 23:21	164:13 184:16	84:8,23,25
54:21 55:4	25:17 140:2	212:10 213:7	85:14 90:1,2,4
86:11 166:23	141:2 143:19	looks 12:20	90:17 91:18
little 11:19 24:6	150:4 155:19	84:21 142:8	105:21 155:19
34:13 51:7	155:23 156:4	182:12	155:23 156:5
102:1 124:16	156:14,24	loosely 126:1,2	156:14 179:3
204:22 210:19	162:8 209:2,7	129:16	181:11 183:14
lives 101:8	219:12 226:7	loss 146:17	183:19 193:2
llp 1:18 2:3,9	longer 113:12	lot 14:24 17:4	193:18,22,25
2:15 9:22	153:18	72:19,20	194:13 230:8
lobby 24:21	look 11:18,20	115:19 119:20	mails 14:5 17:5
25:2,8 35:9	15:9 17:6	131:11	52:3 59:9
42:15,23 94:3	20:25 34:13	lots 208:11	84:24 131:11
96:10,24 97:5	36:22 37:9	love 57:25	135:21,24,25
97:8,12 157:5	43:6 52:9,24		136:15 156:23

[mails - mean]

156:24	131:5,16	86:13 119:6	54:19 55:2
maintained	134:24,25	materials	57:7 75:17,23
157:5	135:4,5,5,6	139:13,17	84:2 85:1
make 16:2 21:4	136:18 139:9	matt 144:17,18	105:11 144:2,6
36:13,15,19	140:17 204:20	145:4,12,13,17	146:2,8 147:14
37:9 57:19,22	marked 10:20	183:11,14,17	147:21 150:5
60:1 81:3,3,18	12:3 46:4 52:7	183:21 190:9	152:12 156:6
81:19 86:9	53:18 54:12	matter 12:21	156:23 157:16
96:15 109:14	60:8,20 62:5	12:23 88:19,19	166:19 181:20
111:21 118:13	64:17 69:24	89:6 96:20	190:14 217:25
128:1 131:23	70:1,11,20	181:22 188:16	218:17 219:6
131:24 144:10	75:10 76:1	188:18 200:22	219:20,23
152:2 169:16	77:17 78:18	203:5,5 229:16	220:13
171:24 173:10	83:25 84:9	matthew 145:9	mcraney's
182:8,17 190:4	93:2,4 95:14	189:22 190:8	28:21 29:4,7
192:9 205:16	99:2,4 102:5	190:16	38:22 39:7,21
219:14 223:23	103:5 108:22	mccallum 2:24	mean 12:14
224:9 228:1	110:1,4,17	9:24,24 45:11	13:23 14:1
231:7	179:5,12	45:15 73:16	17:1,5 23:15
making 47:22	181:13 184:8	74:1,18 80:8	23:23 24:6
47:23 51:14	189:18 191:12	130:8 132:3,9	32:8,24 34:2,6
63:6 96:21	192:12 193:4	132:11 170:22	34:7 39:17
101:10 111:20	194:15 197:13	170:23 171:1	43:3,19 46:8
231:8,9	205:3,23	171:25 173:15	47:20 48:22
mansell 230:16	211:12 214:13	207:15,19	49:13 57:12
manually 230:6	228:16	209:3	60:15 64:2
map 114:14	marriage	mccallum's	66:8,9,13,14
march 1:15 3:6	229:15	130:25	67:10,11 68:6
9:5,7 25:23	maryland	mcraney 1:3	68:12 69:2,6
159:3,3,5,8,15	202:6 214:1,3	2:23 9:15,18	79:1 81:8,8,15
160:4 161:2	massachusetts	26:23 27:6	86:8 98:14
229:18	2:10	29:11 33:11	101:15 103:24
mark 14:21	material 3:20	34:19,23 35:8	104:17 105:25
21:3 84:6	53:17 54:3	36:3,10 39:11	113:12 114:7
108:8,10 130:8	62:11,20,25	40:6 48:21	114:20 115:19

[mean - moore]

	1	1	
116:4,14 117:3	meetings	million 121:22	missionary
118:8,25 119:8	138:20 146:10	mind 113:3	104:18
120:25 122:23	146:11 200:5	198:18,23,25	mississippi 1:2
127:16 133:10	member 119:1	199:2,5,10,15	214:6,7
141:21 143:3	memes 121:15	199:16,17,18	misstate 201:7
147:5 148:18	memorandum	212:19	misstates 88:22
148:20 149:1	3:17 53:15	mine 22:1	174:12 201:5
150:18 166:10	54:1	112:3 120:16	mistake 66:11
167:24 168:1,1	memorialize	minute 167:11	66:16
177:4 180:20	201:24	196:1 204:14	mistreated
180:23 196:23	memory 56:19	204:20 209:9	116:8
199:10 204:5	56:21 114:11	209:10	mistreatment
206:2 208:1	130:17,18	minutes 85:18	6:5 98:17
219:24 224:18	143:4 176:11	140:16	109:24
226:21 227:3	mental 101:23	miracle 29:21	moment 41:23
228:3	mention 88:24	misconduct	42:6 55:6
meaning 82:3	89:6,9 138:3	97:21 98:1	125:23
means 71:20	198:12 199:12	107:22 112:10	moments 71:9
85:22 141:5	mentioned	114:2 115:16	monday 138:21
meant 72:25	77:22 117:6	118:9,9,10,10	monetary
85:22 125:6	121:4 122:14	118:20 121:1	119:23
208:19	123:13 125:21	122:22,24,25	money 103:22
mechanically	177:20 218:3	misconstrued	103:25 104:4,6
137:12 148:5	mentioning	160:20	104:12,23
medication	89:5 175:21	misleading	120:19 180:13
10:22,25	176:7,16 177:1	69:13	183:25
medications	178:3	missed 147:3	monitor 148:21
11:3	mentoring	missing 129:7	month 27:20
meet 16:14	145:13	mission 1:6 6:9	68:24 96:24
222:25	mess 102:25	7:5,14 8:7 9:9	150:14
meeting 37:7,8	met 15:2	9:25 110:14	monthly
37:9,13,14	mexico 116:19	184:5 185:6	149:17 151:16
38:3,4 138:17	117:7,9	191:10 205:1	months 71:5
138:22 143:14	middle 95:24	missionaries	moore 4:18
		23:21 25:24	68:12,13,15

[moore - namb]

Page 32

78:16	8:6 9:2 10:1,16	89:5,11,20	152:11,13,21
morning 9:21	12:14 16:5,15	91:2,24 92:4	154:2 155:5,15
10:11,12 12:17	17:20 18:3,11	93:1,6,7,12	155:21 156:19
16:1 95:18	18:21 19:8,9	94:4,13 95:9	156:20 157:14
158:23 206:8,9	20:7,12 23:4	96:10 97:4,8	157:15,17
206:13 213:9	25:15 27:3	98:1,5,10,11,14	158:13 159:7
mother's	28:2 30:22	98:17,24,25	159:15,19
112:22	32:11,20,25	99:18,19 101:8	160:3,12,25
motion 3:18 4:4	33:6 34:2,6,10	101:11,11	162:21 164:17
16:16 17:13,21	34:18,21,24	102:2,4,10	166:1,4,5,13,15
18:13,23 20:14	35:4,7,17,18	103:20,21,23	167:8 168:10
53:16 54:2	37:4 38:9,17	104:11 105:1	169:19,20
69:19 159:9,14	38:21,23 39:6	105:11 106:14	171:17 172:1
159:20 160:3	39:8,22 43:5	106:15 107:1	172:16,23
160:11 161:1	44:7,10,14	107:22 108:10	173:12 175:19
mouth 56:13	45:14 47:1,9	108:11,12,22	176:1,5,13,24
74:14 105:24	47:11,12 48:13	111:3 112:9,18	177:7,10,19
117:4,25	49:7,15,20	112:25 114:2,5	178:1,1 179:5
124:21 143:6	50:12,24 51:3	115:17,22	179:9,15,22
move 60:16	51:4,22 52:8	116:16 117:6	180:8,18,21
74:6 106:8	54:16,20,20	118:15,21,22	181:7,8,12,16
178:17 197:3	55:3,4,8,12,16	120:22 121:1,5	183:8,10,22
202:12	56:1,2 58:18	122:17 123:16	184:7,11,13,22
multiple 24:7	58:20 62:1,2	123:24 124:4	185:7,24
25:16 126:21	62:13 64:9,25	124:12 127:17	186:21 187:1,4
mustard 6:13	65:5,6,10,13,20	128:5,20 132:3	187:12,24
179:4,24,25	66:20 67:6	132:25 133:4	189:1,17,21
180:3,5	68:5 73:7,9,17	134:21 142:5,6	190:10,17,21
mwbc 6:5	74:18 75:6,16	142:22 143:1	190:24 191:2
109:24	76:21 77:5	144:4,9,15,16	191:12,15
n	78:11 80:1,9	146:6,7,25	192:6 193:4,7
namb 1:12 2:24	80:18,24 81:6	147:1,4,11,12	194:15,17
3:3 5:5,6,7,11	81:23 82:7,24	147:19 148:4	195:1,4 197:13
5:21 6:15,18	84:15 85:2,15	148:24 151:14	198:2,19 199:7
7:8,11,16,21	85:19 87:5,8	151:23 152:3	201:19,25
/.0,11,10,21			

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

[namb - notebook]

202:4 203:11	227:8 228:6	210:6 212:24	normally 135:1
203:20 209:21	name 41:15	220:6 224:1	180:25
210:12 211:4,9	48:23,25 81:5	227:20	north 1:6 6:8
214:2 215:23	85:9 87:18,21	needed 156:10	7:4,13 8:7 9:9
222:3 229:8	88:2 130:6	171:11,13,15	9:25 110:14
230:1 232:20	218:6,10,13,13	needs 28:7	184:5 185:6
namb's 3:16,17	named 180:17	120:5 202:20	191:10 204:25
6:4 16:13	194:8	206:1	northern 1:2
23:25 31:4	names 25:19	negatively	northwest 5:19
35:9 40:6	28:5,6,17	71:15 76:11	107:18 108:4,6
46:18 48:6	nashville	89:16	108:21 116:15
52:6 53:11,16	143:14,19	nephew 202:19	117:8
54:1,17 58:19	natural 141:22	network 5:17	notarized
60:10,23 62:3	153:16	103:4	230:8
62:13 63:3	nature 157:18	never 16:18	notary 10:7
65:13 73:15	ne 1:19 2:15	26:23 57:16	232:23
97:12 109:24	necessarily	70:8 73:17	note 16:13
123:5 128:19	133:5	80:6 82:12	56:18 102:11
132:13,20	necessary	100:9 194:19	142:4 160:10
133:14 139:1	181:22 231:9	new 2:4 58:12	165:1 190:14
160:10 170:10	need 23:23	113:13,16	191:19 222:2
170:17 171:18	32:21 36:15,25	116:19 117:7,9	notebook 11:11
172:3,11 175:1	37:9 39:12	158:5,6 190:4	14:12 15:21
176:5 183:25	40:1 42:1	195:24	16:8 17:22
201:1,14 205:9	46:13 66:9,13	news 33:21	21:4,10,13,16
206:10,11,13	71:16 72:7,17	non 165:14,21	24:1 30:20
206:21 207:8	75:13 95:12	221:19	33:12 35:24
207:20 209:3	101:16 102:16	nonprivileged	43:22 50:15
209:19,24	102:24 108:18	101:19	55:22 59:20
210:10,15	111:17,22	nonprofit	62:12 82:24
211:4 214:11	112:3,5,7	187:10 188:22	83:1 95:13
214:16,23	113:19 114:19	188:24 189:6	109:16,16
215:6,10,19	114:25 128:24	189:13,15	112:1 118:7
216:22 217:8	129:23 151:7	nonresponsive	124:2 154:2,6
225:2,7,11,14	155:9 201:9	106:8 178:17	154:13,17

[notebook - objection]

156:15,20	notwithstandi	oath 220:17	167:7 168:12
173:5,6,7	63:13	object 14:23	168:18,23
200:11 204:21	november	60:25 62:14	169:1 173:22
211:13	53:12 54:17	69:9 165:1	174:3,12,20
noted 131:2	101:1 102:10	182:19 225:20	175:3,16 176:9
183:6 221:18	183:19 190:11	227:14	177:12 178:11
231:4,5	190:18	objecting 19:16	180:12,19
notes 22:15	number 12:8	objection 19:12	182:18,19,25
199:24 200:2	16:10 17:16	25:2 29:23	183:1,5,5
notice 3:13	18:8 21:5	33:4 35:10	184:19 185:16
10:19 12:7	25:14 32:3	36:11 40:14	186:9,23 187:3
40:17,19,20	42:14 66:6	44:20,25 49:9	187:7 188:13
49:23 54:12	87:23 88:12	49:16 59:21	188:20 189:3
55:20 92:24	90:6,18,24,25	60:11,24 61:19	189:24 190:1
95:22 154:23	91:9,14,16,19	62:6 75:4,22	190:19,25
222:5,7 223:6	93:5 96:1,23	76:7,17,23	191:19,22
noticed 21:22	97:3 142:6,16	77:8 80:20	192:7,18,24
154:5	157:10,11	86:5 88:22	193:12,19,24
notices 165:13	158:20 170:14	89:13 91:12,22	194:2,18,23
165:19 166:14	172:4 175:25	92:2,6 96:25	195:11,19
166:21,23	176:20 201:20	98:6,8,13,19	196:23 198:13
167:8,23	203:15 225:12	101:12 105:15	199:9,13,13
notification	227:2 228:5	106:17 107:23	201:5,18 204:3
115:22 167:18	numbered	111:5,8 114:18	207:22 208:2,8
167:18	21:20	119:7 121:7,19	208:15 209:6
notifications	numbers 11:22	123:20 126:5	209:12,16
121:10	12:19 90:3,11	127:7,12 128:9	210:1,3 214:14
notified 51:14	90:15 93:4	133:19,24	214:21 216:24
116:6,16 168:2	162:20 176:14	134:3,7 135:13	217:11 218:12
169:10 170:4	181:4 208:12	138:7,12,12	218:18 219:3,9
notify 96:20	nw 2:4	144:8 146:3	219:15 220:4
116:16 169:10	0	147:15 148:1,9	220:14,18,24
170:5	o 194:7	148:14 149:24	221:5 224:20
noting 230:5	o'clock 68:20	156:8,16	225:16 226:6
		159:25 166:22	

[objectionable - open]

objectionable	officer 183:22	46:8,21 48:1,4	151:9 152:2
69:12 139:23	offices 230:2,8	48:8,12,13,14	154:25 155:3
222:11	official 43:15	48:24 49:22,25	155:12 156:4
objections	119:4,11	50:21 52:5	157:12,25
46:23 63:6	121:10 131:21	53:20 54:11,13	158:12 166:1
81:1,7 107:3	151:25	57:14 58:2	169:12 170:6
123:21 179:1	officially	59:1 61:4,23	171:23 172:14
186:16,23	112:18	63:1,19,20	172:21 177:9
187:15 188:3	oh 12:21 23:19	64:25 65:11,12	179:14 180:16
189:9 198:6	60:5 62:8	69:18 74:9,10	181:25 182:3
211:6 222:7,8	82:23 90:6	74:12 75:23	182:11 185:6
222:10,11,14	100:12 103:13	78:5,7 81:17	188:11 190:6
223:23 224:9	111:22 143:17	81:24 82:9,13	192:19 193:9
225:4,9	150:2,18,20	82:16,20 83:3	196:4 199:4,22
obligation 31:3	163:15 166:17	83:18 84:23	200:13 201:1
obo 85:15,22	218:16 223:24	85:12,24 87:3	204:12,19
obscured 34:14	227:17	89:1 90:10,15	206:7 209:18
obtained 34:5	ohio 113:15	90:24 93:19,25	210:20 213:10
34:10,18 47:9	115:5 116:18	94:20 99:17	213:13,14,17
obviate 31:3	117:7,8	101:21 103:16	213:18 216:13
obvious 66:15	okay 11:2 12:1	109:11 112:17	218:6 223:20
66:16 129:22	12:14,21 13:20	112:23 113:2	227:17,20
obviously	14:9 16:9,11	113:23 114:10	228:5
13:15 16:22	16:22 17:10	115:12 116:17	once 96:15
17:14 18:9	18:19 19:20	117:3,17	107:10 114:17
124:14 142:4	21:25 22:8,25	118:17 121:2	207:14 230:7
148:13 160:11	23:13,14 24:11	121:11 122:10	one's 61:11
164:6 183:4	24:14,23,25	124:16 127:16	ones 84:19
occurred 68:19	25:11,12,22	128:7 130:4,14	105:19 131:16
94:14	26:22 27:15,18	130:19 132:15	177:8 202:5
occurrence	30:14 34:9,13	132:17 133:13	ongoing 156:24
30:8	36:8 38:7,12	134:1,5 136:3	157:18
ocga 231:7	39:12 40:24	136:4 137:2,4	open 91:2
offer 151:22	42:2,6 43:19	138:14 139:4	164:23 216:11
182:1	43:25 44:5,9	141:19,25	216:12 221:21

[open - paragraph]

228:12	177:17 178:10	outlined 203:5	64:21,22 79:18
operated 24:19	178:23 179:7	203:6	84:25 87:25
opinion 105:2	181:19 182:7	outreach	88:4 90:5
opportunity	194:1 195:5	112:25	91:15 99:17
17:6 39:18	198:15 200:5	outside 89:13	100:25 124:1,4
99:15	201:23	136:17 165:2	126:13 128:4
opposed 4:4	ordered 176:5	216:25 217:12	144:1,7 147:4
69:19	ordering	220:1 227:14	154:4 158:10
oral 18:10,20	230:11	overlap 145:12	162:23 170:20
19:3,22 20:11	ordinary 56:17	overlooking	207:16 208:3
65:21 137:14	organization	129:21	211:16 213:13
137:16 138:24	6:19 7:7,9,12	overly 60:1	215:22 216:7,8
139:9 140:3,9	7:22 125:18	overruled	216:10,11
140:16 141:7	175:13,22	176:4	231:11,14,16
160:25	176:8,14,17	overseeing	231:19,21,24
orally 142:11	177:2,22,24	131:17	232:1,4,6,9,11
order 29:8	178:4 179:9,16	overture 88:25	232:13,16
31:11,21 32:18	180:5 181:13	89:8	pages 17:3 21:1
42:7,11 53:2	181:16 184:7,8	owed 152:5	84:24 93:5
64:12 66:2	184:11 185:4,9	221:23	147:12 162:2,3
74:23 75:2,14	185:11,25	own 47:21	162:5 163:2,6
76:19 77:3	187:5,13 188:1	66:11 120:4	163:9 164:3,8
78:10 79:25	188:12,19	197:17	164:13,19
80:13,16 83:19	189:1,18,21	owner 32:14	184:25 208:24
86:19 93:22	193:4,7 195:2	р	231:9
102:20 103:16	195:5,10	p.m. 153:22,22	paid 82:3
107:7 109:9,20	organiztion	228:18	147:19 148:4
110:9,24 127:2	6:15 179:5	page 3:7,11 4:3	148:24 151:14
127:5 131:7	orient 69:25	5:3 6:3 7:3 8:3	152:3,4 153:6
145:15 152:16	original 8:17	12:19 13:1	pain 10:23
152:24 153:11	8:17 43:12	30:11 45:10	paradigm
159:11 160:7	152:3 230:10	46:18,21 47:7	106:5
162:13 163:20	230:12	48:18,18 49:7	paragraph
168:16 171:1	outcome	49:24,24 52:24	46:22 47:6,9
173:20 174:17	229:16	53:4 55:23	50:1 136:24
		33.4 33.23	

[paragraph - perla]

185:2 191:4	partners	pending 214:5	22:10,12,21
192:5 213:15	111:13 113:18	people 25:19	23:12,17 24:2
parameters	116:19,20	27:3 28:6 29:8	25:2,6 27:14
202:10	119:18	29:12 36:20,23	29:23 31:7
paraphrasing	partnership	42:14,18,21	33:4 35:10
58:24	104:5	95:2 96:23	36:11 37:18,21
pare 103:21	partnerships	97:8,11 104:2	40:14 44:20,25
parentheses	178:14	107:4 112:20	48:2 49:9,11
91:2	parts 116:1	115:18 116:10	49:16 50:20
part 39:25 60:6	party 86:12,19	122:4,24	51:6 53:20,23
60:17 65:3,16	pass 108:13	126:21 129:1	58:20,23 59:21
65:24 79:22	passed 42:14	130:7 135:9	60:11,24 61:9
99:9 104:10	90:8 97:8,11	220:10	61:15 62:6,14
122:6 131:7	past 37:1 104:9	people's 23:17	62:23 65:17
133:7,11	104:10 172:23	perceived	67:13,21 69:9
135:12 138:5	pastor 6:6	146:8 147:21	69:13,18 70:22
139:9 161:14	78:24,25	152:12	75:4,22 76:7
169:18 182:4	100:15 109:25	percent 36:22	76:12,17,23
197:20 223:22	193:15	period 42:15,24	77:8 80:20
participated	pastors 5:15	63:14 67:16	81:1,7 86:5
130:3,22	79:2 103:3	96:3 112:15	88:22 89:13
participating	patrick 99:24	163:8,25	91:12,22 92:2
131:19	pause 211:15	164:19	92:6,13 94:5
particular 79:8	224:1,9	periodic 152:5	95:11,15,19
84:22 163:9	pay 148:7	periodically	96:25 98:6,8
164:22 182:21	149:17,18	15:22	98:13,19
218:14 223:7	150:22 151:15	periods 23:21	101:12,17
particulars	151:16,20,22	25:17	105:15 106:17
181:18	paying 144:20	perla 2:8 3:9	107:3,23
parties 229:14	payment 148:6	9:19,19 11:16	108:17 111:5,8
230:11	payments	11:21,24 13:23	111:14,21
partner 60:13	153:7	14:2,23 19:12	114:17,22,24
115:5,8 116:23	pdf 230:5	19:16,24 20:2	115:9 119:7
119:19,23	peachtree 1:19	20:8,20 21:6,8	121:7,19
120:18 178:16	2:15	21:19 22:2,5,7	123:20 126:5,8

[perla - placed]

127:7,12,19,23	191:18,24	196:15,19,21	34:4,19,23
128:9,23 129:7	192:7,18,24	197:17,21	35:8,19 36:10
129:14,21	193:12,19,24	198:1 200:9	38:22 39:7,21
130:2 132:5,10	194:2,18,23	201:22,25	40:5 42:9,25
132:13,17	195:11,19	204:7,9,10	44:3 157:4,16
133:17,19,24	196:2,4,23	personally	photographs
134:3,7 135:13	197:5 198:6,13	35:16 93:17	5:4 25:20 28:1
135:19 136:1,7	199:9,13 201:5	personnel 5:9	43:5,7,8,11,15
138:7,12 139:2	201:18 202:20	98:5,12,15,17	93:1,8,12,22
139:7,23	204:3 205:6,8	98:18 99:1,20	94:3,8
140:11 142:9	206:1 207:22	101:11,14,14	photos 94:12
144:8 146:3	208:2,8,15	103:24 106:15	94:20
147:15 148:1,8	209:6,12,16,18	107:1,22 114:3	phrase 106:9
148:12,15	210:1,3,17,21	115:17 117:6	175:22 176:8
149:24 153:14	211:6 212:5,20	122:17 123:17	176:17 177:2
154:8,15	214:14,21	134:20 135:3	177:21 178:4
155:10 156:8	216:24 217:11	144:16 166:15	195:4,10
156:16 159:25	218:12,18	167:8	physical 95:3,4
160:16,22	219:3,9,14,17	perspective	physically
165:1,5,9	220:4,14,18,24	5:11 16:4	24:17
166:22 168:12	221:5 222:9,15	102:4,10 211:3	pick 200:13,16
168:18,23	222:19,22	petition 4:9	200:18
169:1 173:22	223:3 224:13	69:23	picture 23:9
174:3,12,20	224:24 225:6	phone 15:9	26:1,8,16,18
175:3,16 176:9	225:13,19,23	56:24 71:19,21	28:11,23 33:11
177:12 178:11	226:6 227:14	149:20 173:14	33:13,25
179:1 180:12	227:19 230:1	photo 29:9,18	pictures 23:18
180:19 182:17	person 56:24	34:8,9 41:1	23:20 24:8,9
183:3 184:18	71:19 104:3,4	42:15,24 43:21	25:16 26:2
184:21 185:16	112:21 152:8	96:3	28:4,14
186:9,16,23	218:24,25	photograph	place 36:5
187:3,7,15	personal 23:6,7	26:23 27:6	94:17 124:15
188:3,13,20	44:17,23	28:21 29:4,7	138:15 149:7
189:3,9,23	142:18 175:12	29:10,25 33:10	placed 33:13
190:3,19,25	175:15 196:10	33:15,18,19	41:2 42:24

[placed - precaution]

44 10 54 10	205 10 205 22	20 10 20 2 17	••
44:10 54:19	205:10 206:22	38:19 39:3,17	positions
55:3 96:3	207:8,20 209:4	39:19 63:2	104:21 111:19
157:5 208:14	209:20,23	66:20 67:19	possession
plaintiff 1:4 2:2	210:11,14	68:1 99:8	175:20 176:6
3:11 4:3 5:3	211:4 216:18	114:5 115:8,14	176:15,25
6:3 7:3 8:3	227:8 228:15	129:8 138:1	178:2
9:15 47:10	planning 105:8	141:22 145:13	possibility
157:4 165:15	120:6	153:17 156:5	61:16 66:22
165:22 167:10	plant 57:11,19	158:14 164:23	129:22
168:25 169:6	58:9	169:4 183:18	possible 61:10
169:22 175:19	planting	189:25 207:7	133:6 140:20
175:25 176:13	104:15 105:4	pointed 72:18	144:1 146:2,8
176:18,24	play 207:12	164:18	post 36:10
178:1,10,23	pleading 8:11	pointing 220:9	posted 29:11
183:8 215:5	205:3,10	220:23	33:16 34:24
plaintiff's 3:13	216:18	poison 58:9	35:8,19,21
3:18 8:10	please 9:12	poke 58:6	41:1 42:24
10:19,19 12:6	21:2 38:25	policeman	96:3 157:4
44:10 46:3	46:6 52:9,12	147:6	posting 38:22
52:6 53:15,16	74:7 99:6	policies 103:24	39:6,21 157:16
54:2 64:15	110:20 112:8	165:12	postmortem
69:19 75:7	132:10 187:20	policy 5:9 99:1	75:2
77:15 78:16	192:17 213:11	99:20	potential 17:21
83:24 84:8	213:21 223:5	portland 108:6	18:12,22 20:13
92:25 98:23	224:8 225:24	pose 57:23	36:15 142:21
102:3 103:2	230:8,14 231:9	posing 57:19	159:8
108:20 109:23	231:10	position 31:1,5	practice 56:17
110:13 161:12	plenty 129:10	31:7 35:18	pray 26:7 29:8
170:10 172:11	222:10	71:22 73:19	29:12
172:24 175:2	plus 123:21	119:4 121:2	prayer 25:24
179:3 181:11	186:16,24	128:19 182:10	25:25 26:5
184:4 189:16	189:3,9	201:1,14	27:9,19,24
191:9 192:11	pocket 21:23	214:16,23	praying 104:13
193:2 194:13	point 25:4,9	225:2,7,11,14	precaution
204:25 205:3	36:25 37:16	,,,,_,,_,	60:25

[prefer - procedures]

prefer 46:14	145:15 152:17	141:8	printed 26:10
104:16	152:24 153:11	preservation	34:7,22
preferred	158:18 159:12	165:13,14,20	prior 43:16
103:22	160:8 161:18	165:21 167:23	65:5 94:4,14
preparation	162:13 163:21	preserve	159:8,15 160:4
31:24 42:8	168:8,17 171:2	166:15 167:9	160:11 161:2
44:19 45:19	173:20 174:7	169:4,21	181:6 222:14
52:21 59:18	174:17 175:10	president 30:7	private 186:3
60:6 70:14	177:18 178:10	71:24 125:18	privilege 3:16
76:16 94:9	178:23 179:7	145:3 151:6	4:21 52:6,9
101:4 108:2	194:1,21 195:2	186:20 187:1	53:11 61:1
110:11 127:11	195:5 196:8	press 34:10,19	62:15 63:4
145:18 161:3	197:16 201:23	117:12	83:24 84:12,13
169:18 192:23	228:15	pressuring	85:2,4 86:4,11
200:4	prepared 11:14	104:8 105:13	86:17,20 91:19
prepare 8:12	13:6,8,11,20,25	106:10,12,16	92:1 128:11,14
13:17 15:3,17	16:5 20:18	106:24 107:2	128:25 136:9
15:25 23:2	50:3,9 130:21	112:11 114:2	139:24 166:25
31:11,21 32:18	156:20 190:14	115:16 121:6	privileged 20:3
42:11 50:6,22	190:16	122:16 123:15	20:5 51:9 61:2
51:11,20 59:11	preparing	presumably	61:8,10 62:17
64:13 66:2	15:16 18:19	163:3	62:20,24 63:5
67:2 74:24	45:13 160:23	pretty 59:8	86:14 87:1
76:20 77:3	168:5 170:17	96:16	89:25 101:17
78:10 79:25	174:24 199:23	preventive	128:22 129:20
80:14,16 83:6	207:12,18	144:10	pro 82:3
83:9,20 93:23	prepped 13:13	preview 108:14	probably 75:16
97:18 102:20	present 2:21	previous 35:1	212:5,14
103:17,18	9:12 42:14	45:6 156:1	221:12
107:7 108:16	112:16 114:6	previously	problem
109:9,20 110:9	115:15 139:8	154:13	160:18
110:25 124:6	141:7,16	primary 202:5	procedure
127:2,6,21	163:17	print 29:9	86:17 231:7
128:3 129:6,12	presentation	230:6	procedures
130:14 142:15	140:3,10,17		165:12

[proceedings - put]

proceedings	product 54:18	139:5 144:12	pulling 212:19
81:9 211:15	61:17 62:16	148:16 151:7	purchase 151:4
213:22 228:17	128:12 136:9	168:21 174:24	purported
process 56:6	139:25	203:11,21	175:15
149:1	production	provided 16:19	purpose 29:1
processed	3:19 53:17	47:11,13 55:16	29:11 38:15
180:11	54:2 168:11,24	63:17 82:24	81:15 104:15
processing	191:21 221:19	83:12,13	125:11 168:5
180:20 181:1	productive	109:17 112:2	173:9
procured	104:22	116:25 127:13	purposes 136:5
152:11	proffer 139:1	132:20 138:25	pursuant 86:16
produce 86:16	proffering	139:6,17,22	182:21 231:6
176:1,5,18	127:17	173:15 203:25	put 21:10 23:19
produced	profit 185:9	227:5	26:12,13 28:1
16:21 17:1	promoted	provides 152:6	28:7,21,23
32:20,25 33:6	144:22 145:14	182:8 201:25	29:1,2,4,7,18
43:9,11 50:11	promptly 89:20	providing	29:19,21,25
51:4,24 56:4,4	properly 50:24	95:10	30:1 36:1,2
61:13 84:13,15	51:3,22 54:16	provision	49:22 55:6
85:4 86:3,14	propose 148:8	151:15 221:19	56:12 62:9
86:25 89:20	propriety	prudence 75:19	74:13 77:14
146:16 147:1,4	125:15	public 10:7	87:1 92:8 95:8
154:2,7,8,11,14	protection	112:25 121:14	101:6 102:22
154:14,17	142:22 146:24	122:12 123:11	105:6,24
158:23 160:14	147:14 152:9	232:23	108:10 109:11
172:16,23	152:13,14	publication	110:12 111:2
176:13,24	protective	102:14	112:21 113:21
177:7,20 178:1	75:14 181:19	publicly 185:24	117:3,24
178:6,9,23	protest 120:14	187:5,13,25	119:22 120:19
179:9 181:7	provide 13:12	published	124:20 143:5
183:8,10	15:16,17 20:23	102:13	143:25 175:5
184:13,14	31:3 45:13	pull 213:10	181:5 184:3
190:24 195:4	63:16 109:20	pulled 100:17	192:10 193:1
producing	111:4 119:20	135:24 136:5	194:4 195:22
86:15,18	127:20 136:14		216:6 222:23

[putin - reason]

putin 58:10	193:8 195:3	r	205:14,20,24
putting 113:21	197:1,6,15	r 7:18 192:12	206:5,6,9,13
115:1 160:17	198:14,16,17	192:15 194:7	207:7 210:6,8
q	199:3,20	229:1	211:23 212:1,3
qualified 192:9	200:16 201:8,9	raised 122:15	213:15,21
quash 16:17	201:14 202:13	123:14	214:25 218:8
17:13,22 18:13	202:20,25	random 121:24	218:11,16
18:23 20:14	203:19 208:16	randy 107:19	230:4 231:3
159:9,15,20	209:14 210:10	107:21,25	reader's 5:11
160:4 161:2	215:5 223:25	range 115:14	102:3,9
quashing 18:17	226:18,22	138:21	readily 22:13
question 14:6,9	227:23	rather 143:23	reading 100:9
19:18 31:17,21	questioning	reach 66:20	131:10 157:9
32:1 35:7 38:2	182:20	reached 41:18	215:17
39:3,15 41:24	questions 11:4	read 23:13	readjusted
46:7,11 51:10	11:8,14 12:12	31:19 39:1	103:20
52:10,14 60:18	17:9 20:9 74:8	46:8,11 50:25	ready 46:7
61:7,21 62:14	95:22 99:11	54:10 63:20	52:10 99:5
,	110:7 111:18	66:16 70:8,11	103:8 110:20
65:5 67:15,23 69:10 98:9,20	111:24 114:21	70:14 93:6	192:16 193:8
99:6 102:16	125:14 138:9	99:7,10,12	195:24
103:8 111:9	140:6,18	100:11,12,13	real 104:22
113:3 115:11	154:22 196:24	100:23,24	realized 41:12
115:25 121:18	198:8 217:8	100.23,24	41:17,19
	221:16 222:11	102.19 103.10	really 17:7 74:4
123:4,6,9	222:18,21	108.15,24	119:14,16,22
128:13,18,24	223:12,15	109.5,5,8 123:3,7 154:4	121:8 144:11
129:3,19	226:2,4,17,19	123:3,7 134:4	reams 61:13
130:21 135:19	227:4,24,25	10112 1 10211	reason 33:6
144:14 145:20	228:4,5	162:21 166:8	104:23 105:8
154:9 157:1	quickly 223:4	178:18,19	118:6 122:6
163:13 172:22	quote 54:15	187:19,21	125:17 184:24
174:14 178:18	153:6 224:13	196:12,13	190:15,21
178:21 185:18	quotes 54:19	201:9,12	231:13,18,23
187:19,23	1	202:13,22,23	232:3,8,15
189:8 191:15		203:16,17	,

[reasonable - regarding]

reasonable	reception 24:11	187:21 190:4	191:4 197:21
182:23	24:21 25:3	196:13 200:4	215:2
reasons 231:8	26:17 28:2	201:6,12	referred 23:4
recall 28:5,16	93:12 94:13	202:23 203:17	39:13 71:9,19
46:2 52:18	95:5 157:6	204:15,17	124:12 177:20
71:11,17 72:14	receptionist	210:8 212:25	208:11
84:17,18 107:4	29:20 30:2	213:1,3,4	referring 26:19
107:24 125:11	recess 92:15	217:14,15,17	26:20 27:25
134:19,23	153:18,21	222:3,12,23	37:21 64:12,21
135:7 137:24	204:16 217:16	228:14 229:11	64:23 68:15
139:15,20	recipient 87:17	recording	72:10 83:14,15
141:13,18	87:22 88:5,8	42:19	120:25 126:15
149:10,11	193:18	records 96:1,9	142:12 146:20
169:7 190:7	recognize 85:9	97:3 101:14	146:22 155:22
195:7,8,9,13	86:1 93:20	recount 42:17	155:25 175:21
209:7 212:2	184:16 191:2	redirect 225:24	176:7,16 177:1
223:5,12	recognizes	reduced 104:21	177:6 178:3
224:14 226:7	36:16	reece 142:20,20	185:24 206:12
226:10	recollection	142:25 143:8	226:25
receipt 146:20	76:3 124:21	143:13,15,20	refers 191:7
receive 31:1	reconvene	143:24 144:5	reflect 95:16
103:22 118:14	153:19	145:25 146:1	reflected 37:4
163:7 180:8	record 9:6,13	refer 17:15	38:9 43:1
222:6,9,13	12:6 21:14	21:12 23:9	143:1 146:6
received 16:23	31:19 39:1	31:16 32:3,3	reflecting 96:1
56:9 63:11	43:15 68:15	33:13 35:1	142:19
86:23 103:15	92:14,16 96:8	44:21 45:1,6	refresh 76:3,8
105:12 106:14	96:9,23 115:21	59:8 81:10	refusal 176:5
112:9 164:20	121:20,21	104:8 126:14	refuse 151:24
164:24 167:18	123:7 131:25	143:2 165:23	167:3
173:9 221:22	140:16 141:15	166:6 169:15	refused 151:22
222:10	142:11 153:20	170:1 177:4	176:1
recently 103:11	153:23 160:18	reference 56:22	refusing 167:6
117:12	165:12 175:24	96:8 135:2	regarding 16:6
	178:19 182:9	158:11 177:11	23:8 44:7

[regarding - reported]

45:19,21 50:7	rehearing 4:10	216:4	155:9 162:1,5
50:23 51:11,20	69:23	relevance	162:8 167:16
55:12,19 57:6	reimbursement	48:11	181:18 182:7
58:16,18,18,22	148:7	relevant 112:4	183:12 184:12
59:10,12 63:16	reiterate	168:21 196:22	194:11,11
65:14,22 67:7	160:14 164:21	224:19	195:15 206:24
74:20 75:14	relate 35:25	relied 204:10	211:18,22
83:6,13,20	147:12 214:19	relies 202:4	218:15,19,21
88:18 97:19	related 58:19	religious 4:7,12	218:25 219:2,5
109:20 111:4	92:20 109:13	69:22 75:8	219:12,18
112:6 124:7	146:23 147:14	rely 59:19	228:3
127:3,11,22	147:20,24	130:16,18	reminded
128:4 139:10	156:6 165:14	remember 15:1	223:10
139:13 145:16	165:21 166:18	15:7,8 27:12	reminder 26:7
161:8,19	167:9 169:5,21	28:19 37:12,14	remodeled
162:14 165:13	217:22 229:13	37:15,15,16	43:13
165:20 168:5	relates 92:3	38:2,4,10,12,14	removed 40:6
169:19 174:8	relating 65:1	38:14 41:15	41:2,6 42:10
174:17,25	213:23	42:1 56:25,25	renew 191:18
175:11 177:18	relation 73:13	57:2,24 63:3	renovation
194:1,22 196:9	120:22	64:9 68:18	43:17 94:4,14
196:19 197:16	relations	72:3 79:12,13	94:17,23
203:25	107:11,13	81:24 82:10	repeat 31:16
regards 12:13	relationship	84:21 94:16,19	128:1 215:5
66:5	105:18 107:15	96:7 100:9	225:5
register 122:14	119:20 156:25	101:25 103:14	repeats 17:4
123:14 217:11	157:14,19	109:13 117:14	rephrase 67:24
registered	relationships	117:21 121:3	197:10
117:5,23	113:8 178:14	125:3,22 130:7	report 137:16
regular 23:20	release 3:14	130:10 131:4	138:5,14,16,24
30:5,8 73:12	46:4 60:8,13	131:15 132:18	139:10,18
100:19	60:20 62:5	138:13,18,19	141:17
regularly 80:6	214:3,13,20	140:2,5,8	reported 137:8
80:11	215:3,4,7,12,15	141:2 143:10	137:13,23,23
	215:20,24	145:10 151:18	

[reporter - review]

reporter 10:3	160:15,17	responded	restrictions
12:2 31:20	164:17,21	209:1	106:1
39:2 123:8	165:2,7 170:14	responds 47:1	result 54:21
178:20 187:22	175:19,25	response 3:18	55:4 120:1
192:21 196:14	182:9	11:14 23:5,25	resume 221:24
201:13 202:24	requested	24:5 25:13,14	retain 168:3
203:18 210:9	18:15 86:13	30:25 31:4	retained 51:16
212:15,18	169:21 230:4	45:5 46:19	143:9 146:7
229:6	requesting	53:16 54:1	retention
reporting	86:19	56:18 60:17	147:13 162:24
136:22 137:5	requests	161:12 164:20	165:12
137:14	221:24	171:18 172:3	retired 117:11
represent	require 171:23	172:11 196:24	return 201:22
84:12 94:5	required 19:17	197:15 206:21	returned 230:9
119:10 146:15	75:20 182:1	207:14,20	230:12
173:12 200:21	reserve 119:24	208:14 209:20	revenue 185:10
representation	reserved 20:20	209:24 210:10	185:12 187:6
21:15 81:19	resolve 132:5	210:15 211:4	187:14 188:1
86:9 94:2	resource 5:17	216:10 227:8	188:18 189:2
127:18	103:4	responses	191:7
representative	resources	44:10,11,15	review 52:12
9:10 118:24,25	119:25 120:8	47:4 170:10,17	52:21 64:11
representatives	145:8	172:17,23	83:19 93:22
105:13 106:25	respect 209:19	175:2 207:8	100:5 101:3
112:11	210:10	209:4 217:6	103:12 108:12
represented	respectful	228:7	109:5,8,19
226:21	119:24	responsibilities	110:6,24
representing	respective	145:4	111:17 124:12
80:18,24 81:6	16:16 17:20	responsibility	124:23 125:3
81:23 82:6	18:12,22 20:13	183:25 230:4	127:1,9 128:4
85:19 92:4	159:7 160:13	responsive	128:21 130:5
request 16:14	respond 31:9	30:18 168:22	130:16,22,24
27:12 112:23	111:11 139:2	208:14 209:22	131:8 132:2,23
118:13 139:7	155:9 160:19	210:13 221:23	133:5,15
139:21 140:23	217:1		134:11,14

[review - says]

		1	
135:12 136:6	25:1,4 26:14	rights 20:20	S
136:19 137:6	26:17,24,25	ring 148:2,9,20	safe 57:4 58:5
138:6 139:11	31:10 32:13,16	149:14 150:5,9	75:21
139:14,19	34:14 35:5,17	risk 57:20,23	safety 57:19,23
152:24 159:1	35:23 38:1	roach 8:4 194:5	144:12
161:19 170:16	40:16 41:21	194:7,8,12,14	salaried 119:3
173:3 174:18	49:21 50:4	robin 1:23 21:9	sarcastic 57:1
179:8 192:22	53:10 56:20	38:25 110:4	save 14:24
193:25 194:21	58:11 60:21	123:3 178:18	221:4
195:1,3 230:5	64:10 74:21	229:6,24	saving 221:11
reviewed 12:16	77:24 78:1	robin's 27:12	saving 221.11 saw 26:23 46:2
52:3,23 59:13	81:24 82:1	robinson 2:22	75:16 154:10
66:9 84:17	92:22 94:22	role 207:12	159:2
94:8 110:8	95:19 101:2	ronnie 68:8,19	saying 14:3
124:8,14 127:4	102:2 115:18	71:9,18,22	26:18 27:9
135:12,20,21	115:24 119:24	72:18,22	29:2 35:19
142:17 147:4	121:11 124:25	room 75:24	72:25 102:11
158:6 161:9,12	125:16 126:18	84:2 137:25,25	106:23 107:4
170:18 173:1	126:25 132:5	141:13 181:20	112:4,5 116:12
174:9 196:11	137:7 146:2	181:24 212:14	120:4 125:3,22
196:16 197:18	149:10 153:16	root 10:23	128:24 130:11
200:15 206:5	154:15,21	roswell 230:18	151:18 181:3
207:11	155:4 158:20	roughly 150:12	197:7 198:25
reviewing 52:3	162:22 164:12	round 26:1	199:18 218:16
135:15	166:3 172:7	181:4	218:20,25
revisions	175:9 182:10	rpr 1:23 229:24	228:9
227:25	184:1 199:2,5	rule 86:16	says 12:23 25:2
rick 41:11,16	199:16,16	231:6	32:14 40:25
41:17,19 99:23	200:8 204:19	rules 231:6	46:22 47:1,9
right 10:24	207:4 211:5	run 202:18	53:5 70:4 85:3
11:12,19 14:18	215:8 221:10	russ 68:11,12	85:13 90:18
14:25 15:3	221:14 222:7	68:13 72:7,17	99:17,23 102:9
16:9 19:9	222:16 223:23	russell 68:12,15	136:21,22
20:25 21:2	227:21 228:11		130:21,22
22:23 24:13			141:4 153:2,6
			171.7 133.2,0

[says - see]

163:2 165:11	156:16 165:2	200:22 212:25	46:21,24 48:19
180:3,22	168:12,18,23	213:8,18 215:8	49:1 52:17,25
183:21 185:2,6	169:1 173:22	215:22	53:4,5,13
185:23 189:22	175:3 176:9	seconds 209:8	54:14,22,25
216:3	177:12 178:12	209:11	55:22 58:7
sbc 5:6 67:7,10	180:12,19	section 49:1	70:4,17 71:1
68:5 72:1,2	182:20,23	137:1 162:24	72:14,15 74:15
73:4 74:20	190:20 192:18	185:11 186:4	76:8 79:14,17
77:23,24 78:24	192:24 193:12	191:4,6 216:2	79:18 82:22,25
78:25 79:2,4,6	193:19,24	216:2	83:18 85:12,16
79:10,20 98:23	194:2,18,23	sections 164:6	87:4,9,18,21
117:6 118:1,16	195:11,19	securing	88:2,5,7,13
138:20 143:14	201:18 207:22	143:18	89:2 90:2,13
146:9,10	208:2,15 209:6	security 28:2	90:18,21 91:6
155:15	209:12,16	34:24 35:8	91:13,14,15,21
sbc.net 79:15	210:3 216:25	38:22 39:7,22	91:23 95:12
scales 43:2	217:13 218:12	40:6 93:12	96:5 97:20
scan 57:12,15	218:18 219:3,9	94:12 96:22	99:16,17,21,25
57:18,21,24	220:5,15,19	143:11,18	100:1 104:22
58:3	221:6 227:15	144:10,12	104:25 117:18
scanned 57:4	scordato 2:3	146:7,9,23	123:3 136:22
57:11 64:14,14	9:16	147:13,19	137:10 142:12
207:10	scott 2:2 9:14	148:6,17 149:7	153:1,3,8
schiller 2:3	179:19,23	150:5,8 151:15	155:7 156:17
9:14	180:17	152:4,8,14	157:7,12 158:9
scope 49:9	screen 212:22	156:6 157:17	158:11 159:4
60:11,24 63:6	seal 230:10	see 10:13 11:11	165:11,16
75:4 76:7,17	sealed 182:5	12:24 13:3	170:12,14,19
80:20 86:5	seats 222:20	16:25 17:7,15	180:2 182:12
89:13 91:12,22	second 44:11	23:19 24:22	183:18 185:3
92:2 104:24	46:21 87:24	32:5,12,14,21	185:13 186:1,5
105:6 114:18	88:4,10 117:17	33:22 34:16	189:21 191:3,5
131:22 144:8	137:3 165:11	35:15 36:20	207:16 208:10
146:3 147:15	170:9 172:13	40:24 41:4	213:18 215:2,6
148:1,9 156:8	191:3 197:20	44:9 45:9 46:1	215:9,11,14,18

[see - sir]

215:19,23,25	sense 105:3	services 152:14	shope 5:12
216:14,20	sensed 156:10	153:6	101:7 102:4,10
220:22 221:25	sensitive	set 23:5 36:5	102:13
seed 6:13 179:4	101:20	44:11 45:4	short 53:8
179:24,25	sent 36:3 55:24	128:20 152:20	92:11 115:22
180:3,5	64:11 84:14	161:13 170:10	116:11
seeing 103:14	86:24 89:18	171:18 172:4	show 109:13
195:15	91:10 118:15	172:11,12,13	212:9,22,22
seem 163:11	118:20,21	172:15,17,24	showed 211:24
seemed 33:5	166:15	191:21 206:22	shower 28:8,12
seems 213:9	sentence	207:8,21 209:4	showing 21:20
seen 12:9 43:8	157:11 185:23	209:20,23	shows 149:19
43:10,11 45:23	186:8 210:22	210:11,14	sic 93:14
52:15,16,16	213:18,21	211:5 227:8	120:17
54:7,8,9 72:13	separate 79:3	229:9,17	sign 230:4
75:25 77:20	204:23	seven 15:14	signature 48:22
79:22 84:16,22	separately	214:19	48:23,25 49:7
85:7 94:7,7,10	95:13	seventh 214:12	229:23 230:1
100:4,6,8,10	separation 3:14	several 73:20	230:13
102:17 103:9	45:23 46:3	84:17 104:1	signatures
108:15 109:6,7	47:10 48:15	120:15 134:25	48:19 49:5,14
110:8,10,21,23	60:8,19 62:4	165:7 208:21	49:17
146:25 179:17	211:19,23	sgant 2:5	signed 48:21
191:16 192:1,3	212:4,13 214:2	shared 117:2	49:4,8,15
192:17 194:17	214:13,19	sheet 32:6,8,10	230:7,9,12
194:19 205:12	215:2,4,7,11,14	33:9	significant 76:5
select 220:21	215:20,23	sheets 11:24	simply 104:8
selected 200:10	216:4	21:20 22:5	120:4 182:22
self 33:5	september	sherry 190:17	simultaneously
semiconfident	105:3 144:21	shifts 6:9	135:17
117:21	serious 57:9	110:14	single 224:19
send 47:21	served 175:19	shook 71:15	singling 107:17
56:18 58:9	222:4	76:11 89:16	sipe 147:5
230:10,14	service 152:6	shooting 105:5	sir 11:6,24 13:7
	185:10		13:10 15:4

[sir - speculation]

22:17 26:11	six 15:14 43:12	70:2 84:14	110:15 121:22
33:23 40:4	70:24 116:15	85:3,5 86:24	184:5 185:7
47:8 49:3	116:25 117:1,5	89:19,24 90:9	191:11 205:1
50:17 55:10,14	117:13,23	90:17 91:19,25	spa 225:3,8,15
67:4 70:16,19	118:17 122:14	somebody 28:8	speak 31:12,22
77:21 85:8,11	122:19 123:13	143:22 151:12	92:19 124:11
90:7 91:7	123:18 214:19	sorry 22:12	127:5 145:16
93:10 94:11	sixth 87:15	23:10 29:2	162:12 168:4
97:6,6,10,13	214:12 215:19	33:9 37:20	171:1 177:17
101:5 107:20	size 24:9	38:24 50:1	speaking
132:24 141:3,6	skim 158:24	52:19 58:8	135:17
142:3 154:24	skimmed 154:4	59:22 60:5	special 101:25
155:8,10,11,17	slash 25:2	62:8 68:13	specific 28:5,6
156:3 158:19	slip 22:5 32:6,8	70:10 75:21	28:6 38:19
161:6,15 163:5	32:10 33:9	102:23,23	39:4,9,16,19
169:17 170:13	slow 27:14	110:3 112:15	56:19,21
170:21,25	209:18 219:10	126:7 132:16	107:24 128:17
172:9 180:10	223:24	133:18,20	128:24 131:15
182:16 183:20	small 100:15	136:24 139:15	143:12 144:13
185:1 191:8,17	150:15	150:25 156:10	157:20,22
191:25 196:7	smaller 103:21	157:2 159:3	158:1 159:22
205:21 207:6	smith 6:18 7:10	161:20 166:17	164:2 168:5
207:13,17	7:20 144:17,18	192:2 193:10	174:1 178:13
217:10,24	145:9,17	195:21 202:15	178:15 195:7
218:2,9,23	181:12 183:11	208:8 209:17	195:12
219:22 221:17	183:14,21	210:2 219:10	specifically
223:4,19	189:17,22	223:21 224:11	39:24 45:14,16
sitting 35:20	190:8,17 193:3	225:5 227:11	59:12 92:4
97:2,7 145:24	193:17	227:13	97:18 107:4
147:10 159:17	smith's 183:17	source 34:7	142:15 145:24
163:23 166:12	snow 1:18 2:15	153:7,13	158:10 160:6
171:17 177:25	9:22 81:10	216:19,22	161:7 174:7,25
187:11,23	society 4:6	217:7,8	speculation
198:9,18	66:21,23 67:9	southern 1:6	220:4,14,18
203:10,19	68:3 69:21	6:11 7:6,15 8:8	221:5 224:21

[speculation - submitted]

225:10,17	start 16:10	185:15,20	119:18
spend 15:13	27:17 99:11	231:8	strategy 118:11
spent 104:3	116:3 130:23	statements	118:12 119:15
134:10 152:13	130:25 132:1	113:1	119:17 120:5
152:20 162:8	132:21,21	states 1:1	121:11 123:1
spoke 29:3	136:1 150:17	103:22,25	street 1:19 2:9
159:23 160:3	153:18 195:24	104:1,13,16	2:15 220:1,10
168:7	started 100:5	105:17 114:13	strike 17:17
spoken 145:18	131:10 167:24	116:15,25	52:25 60:16
ss 229:3	167:24	117:1,5 118:18	61:23,25 67:5
staff 45:7 79:20	starting 13:1	118:22 120:21	73:8 74:6 75:6
119:1	156:5	121:3 178:14	80:22 93:20
stake 221:3	starts 17:3	stay 23:21	106:8 123:24
stamp 94:20	64:21	164:6	150:8 162:17
stamped 3:23	state 2:9 5:7,8	staying 23:16	174:6,23
4:14,16,20 5:5	98:4,18,24,25	step 75:18	178:17 197:3
5:10,13,18,21	99:18,19	stephanie 85:9	202:12,14,16
6:7,12,14,18	103:24,24	85:14 87:5,8	string 4:15,22
7:8,11,16,21	104:4 105:13	87:18 88:5,7	6:17 8:4 77:15
8:6 64:17 75:9	106:16,25	88:20 89:5	84:8 181:11
77:16 78:17	112:9,11 113:8	91:11 92:5	194:13
93:1 99:1	113:24 114:4,8	steps 36:5	stucy 4:11 75:8
102:5 103:4	114:25 116:9	173:19 174:1	stuff 96:21
108:22 110:1	118:23 119:2,4	steve 202:5,7	100:23 199:19
110:16 179:4	119:10,11	202:14,25	subject 12:21
181:12 184:7	120:23 121:23	203:7	12:23 46:22
189:17 191:12	122:13,19	sticker 21:10	85:1 88:18,19
193:3 194:15	123:12,18	108:10	89:6 91:2
standing	147:6 185:8	sticking 95:21	200:22 203:5
123:21 148:8	214:1 229:2,7	stop 5:6 98:24	submit 148:7
182:17,19	stated 122:15	99:18 133:8	submitted 64:3
183:1 184:18	123:14 214:17	220:12	66:24 67:8,20
189:23,25	214:23	strained 157:19	76:5 78:14
191:18,22	statement 24:2	strategic 6:9	80:3
219:14	24:4 127:16	104:15 110:14	

[subparagraphs - tab]

subparagraphs	supplement	189:18,21	205:16 210:24
47:3	44:14 89:18	193:4,7 195:1	212:3,8 224:3
subpoena	90:8	195:5,10 201:2	224:11 225:6
86:24 159:9,15	supplemental	201:15 225:2	suspenders
subscribed	8:11 23:4	supports 225:7	63:8
232:21	30:24 205:3,10	supposed 17:1	swear 10:3
subscription	216:18	19:21 50:18,20	sworn 10:6
151:21,23	supplemented	86:18 156:6	229:10 232:21
subsequent	92:1	200:20	system 148:3
140:17	supply 45:3	sure 11:18 14:4	149:7,25 150:5
subsequently	support 3:17	16:2 21:6	150:9 152:4
78:2	4:8 6:14 53:16	22:21 28:19	t
substance 17:8	54:1 69:22	30:9 35:20	t 189:22 190:8
128:10 129:2	179:4 180:3	36:15,19 37:10	190:16 229:1,1
140:11 217:22	197:22 198:2	41:20 51:1,14	tab 11:22 17:16
231:7	198:10,19	51:16 56:2,2	17:23 18:5,8
substantively	199:7 200:23	57:19,22 58:9	18:10 23:1,6
13:25	202:1 203:12	58:12 59:7	24:1 25:13
sufficient	203:21 225:14	60:1 68:1,20	30:19 32:4,5
224:16	supported	71:14 72:14	33:8 35:14,24
sufficiently	185:25 187:5	75:20 84:20	37:25 38:17
201:20	187:13,25	86:10 92:13	44:10 46:20
suggest 128:17	188:11,19	94:25 95:1,7	50:14 55:22
224:18	189:1	96:15,16,21	59:19 62:11,21
suggested	supporting	109:14 111:21	63:21 82:22,23
147:20	6:15,19 7:8,12	113:5 114:20	83:1 109:15
suite 1:20 2:16	7:22 51:2	125:25 126:10	112:1 118:6
230:17	54:15 60:13	126:16,17	122:7 124:2
suits 213:19,22	175:12,22	128:1 131:23	136:21 142:1
sum 129:9	176:8,13,17	131:24 139:3	154:25 155:2,5
summary	177:2,21,23	144:22 145:2,4	154:25 155:2,5
140:16 207:1	178:4,15 179:5	146:15,21	158:4,21,22
supervised	179:9,15	152:2 166:7,10	159:3,4 161:4
151:3	181:13,16	169:16 190:4	161:10,14,15
	184:7,11	199:2,19 203:9	161:21,24
			101.21,24

[tab - testify]

162:10,17	taken 92:15	tell 25:19 36:6	territory
169:19 170:9	153:21 204:16	53:2 59:11	128:14,16
171:19 172:4,7	217:16	72:3 80:25	testified 10:7
172:8,10,18,19	takes 17:10	81:2 82:10	41:13 70:7
172:20 174:10	talk 27:12 72:7	89:25 94:6	72:5 122:20
174:16,19	72:17 127:2	96:13 99:5	123:19 146:10
175:6,8 196:6	200:8	122:21 124:6	147:18 194:25
196:11,17	talked 31:25	129:3 132:6	204:6
197:19,20	32:2 68:22	136:5 149:3	testify 10:16
200:8,11,20	74:1 80:7,11	163:18 164:8	13:6,8 15:3,25
201:4,17 202:8	124:16 134:20	164:10,14	16:5 18:20
203:1 205:20	135:3,4,4,5,6	184:14 193:7	19:7 20:18
206:4,17	194:11	195:14 197:7	23:2 31:11,21
211:14 223:16	talking 24:14	205:22	32:19 35:4
224:16,18	61:9,15 67:18	telling 56:21	42:11 50:3,6
225:1,6,14	69:14 73:15	74:16	50:23 51:11,20
227:7,11,11,18	87:23 111:10	temperature	59:12 61:5
227:21	115:21 119:9	36:3 156:9	66:3 67:3
tabs 21:20 22:5	148:19 166:22	tennessee	74:24 77:3
22:6 154:11	176:20 206:11	143:14	78:10 79:25
208:22,23	209:7 212:5	term 114:5	80:14,16 83:6
227:2,5	targeted	126:1 167:25	83:9 93:23
take 11:20 17:6	104:14	terminal 27:8	97:19 101:17
38:12 52:9	task 4:11 75:2	terminated	101:18 124:6
60:4 78:8	75:8 76:4,15	219:6	127:3,6,11,22
92:11 94:1	76:22 77:6	termination	128:4 129:6,17
102:15,19	125:25	197:12,23	130:15 144:4
106:3,4 110:6	tator 104:19	198:3,11 199:8	145:15 152:17
113:9 138:15	taub 85:10,14	201:3,16 202:1	152:24 153:11
149:7 167:5	87:5,8 88:5,8	203:12,22,25	160:8 161:7,19
181:8 182:9	88:20 89:5	204:8	162:13 168:5
194:20 196:1	91:11 92:5	terms 14:11	169:18 173:20
199:24 200:2	taub's 87:18	95:3 188:8,23	174:8 175:10
204:14	tax 185:10	188:23	177:18 178:10
			178:24 179:8

[testify - time]

194:1,21 195:2	22:11 43:25	46:12 47:19	153:2 172:11
195:6 196:8,20	53:23 59:1	51:10 53:1,2	172:15
197:16 199:6	67:21 82:16	61:18 69:9	thomas 4:6
199:23 201:23	92:9 95:9	70:7 71:8	66:21,23 67:8
testifying 48:13	110:12 114:24	77:24 84:24	68:2 69:21
62:1 147:10	118:3 123:23	86:20 90:3	70:2 84:14
166:13 171:17	132:12,15	92:10 99:14	85:2,4 86:23
177:25 198:4	139:4 145:23	100:6,11	89:19,23 90:9
199:17 200:5	148:12 160:22	107:10 111:15	90:17 91:19,25
209:21 210:12	183:3 184:21	115:24 116:2	thorough
211:3,8 224:15	191:24 195:23	117:11,19	125:12
testimonies	196:18 201:21	118:22 119:6	thought 42:2
45:7	205:8 211:2	120:11 126:9	122:7 124:22
testimony	217:20 219:17	128:12 129:7	137:16 139:15
13:12 15:16,18	222:17 224:12	129:25 138:23	143:7 147:3
30:20,23 34:9	228:13	143:4 144:24	182:2 213:7
35:1 38:11	thanks 82:17	147:19 149:15	threat 144:1
39:13,23 42:7	thing 26:3 46:9	150:12 155:10	146:2,8 147:21
45:13 48:6	46:11 52:4	157:18,22	152:12
55:11,16 56:12	101:25 121:25	158:21 162:21	three 49:14,17
56:16 58:16,17	125:13 128:3	166:23 172:20	93:13 94:21
58:19,20 63:16	150:12 221:8	181:21,22,25	148:20 149:16
72:24 74:2	things 103:20	182:3 198:9	162:23 163:6
82:14 109:20	106:1 115:20	199:22 202:20	164:3,8,13
111:3 123:5	119:22 121:22	203:14 204:13	throat 102:23
132:21,22,25	122:11 123:10	206:1 207:2,5	thrust 143:7
133:14 143:2,7	145:11 154:16	210:5,23 212:5	thursday 15:8
157:13 165:24	168:1 197:3,17	218:3 220:1,13	tim 50:18 63:2
166:6,9 170:2	199:1 212:6	220:23 227:17	77:22 142:20
174:13,22,24	think 17:8	thinking 31:24	143:23 223:25
202:3,4 203:24	18:15,17 21:14	155:25	224:2,5,8
229:11 231:3,8	21:16 22:18	third 23:5	time 9:7 14:24
text 54:12	23:10 26:22	24:22 40:24	15:23 17:10
thank 10:2	30:16 33:2	64:21 87:7	23:21 24:10
11:10,18 12:1	35:25 37:8	112:20 142:6	25:17 26:1,2

[time - topic]

35:15 41:11	timothy.perla	173:21 174:24	tom 23:9 31:13
52:11,12,18,20	2:11	175:11 177:25	31:23,25 32:14
67:16 68:3	tina 59:9	178:10,24	32:17 33:3
71:23 77:25	title 100:8,10	179:8 194:1,21	36:13,18,19
78:1 79:11,12	144:23 145:5	195:6 196:8	37:3 38:8,21
84:7 88:15	183:17	197:16 199:23	39:5 135:23
100:17 101:24	titles 22:13,14	200:6 201:23	136:4,14 162:9
102:15 106:4	28:14	206:25 211:3,9	162:13 166:5
110:6 111:18	tms 4:21 83:24	221:16 222:17	168:4,7 169:10
112:15 122:5	84:12 85:25	228:12	169:15
138:5,20 139:9	today 5:6 10:16	today's 42:8	tom's 37:11
144:21,23	10:25 11:8	45:19 52:22	tone 36:4
145:6 146:6	13:6 15:3,16	60:6 63:11	took 10:14
151:5 159:1	15:18,24 16:21	64:13 70:15	41:20,22 94:17
160:5,19 163:8	18:20 19:7	76:16,20 101:4	124:15 162:2
163:14,14	20:9 25:15	102:20 103:17	173:19 174:1
164:19 168:8	30:21 31:6	107:7 108:2,16	top 12:20 26:14
183:18,21	32:19 45:13	109:9 110:9,25	70:4 84:25
190:3 199:25	62:1 66:3 67:3	156:20 158:18	90:5 99:18
212:2,3 213:5	74:24 77:3	159:12 160:23	102:9 180:2
216:9,12	78:10 79:25	163:21 168:17	topic 17:12
222:18 225:20	80:14,16 82:24	170:17 192:23	18:7,13 20:23
230:12	83:7,20 85:4	207:18	21:2 22:24
timeline 21:23	93:23 94:9	together 56:3	23:2,11,13,16
21:25	97:7,19 98:24	113:22 115:2	24:11,21 30:13
timely 164:24	105:17 107:9	told 26:22 27:3	30:15,16,19,23
times 137:24,24	107:12 109:1	27:7 30:2,2	31:11,12,22
137:25 159:22	113:7 127:3,22	34:4 36:19	40:22,25 42:13
163:16 165:8	130:15 139:5	41:23 116:24	42:22 44:4,7,9
timothy 2:8	145:15,19,22	129:12,17	44:18 45:14,19
9:19 142:20,25	152:17,24	135:23 143:10	50:1,7,23
143:8,13,15,20	153:11 154:8	166:8 167:11	51:11,21 53:22
143:24 144:5	154:14,17	169:9,9 170:2	54:11,14,25
145:25 146:1	160:8 161:8	170:3,4 207:2	55:7,19 58:17
	169:19 171:2		58:18 59:2,12

[topic - typically]

59:18 63:16	tot 104:19	trust 34:3 58:2	tuesday 138:21
82:19 83:3,7	total 129:9	trustee 138:17	turn 12:19
83:14,19,20	152:12,15,18	138:22 146:11	38:16 46:18
92:23 95:21,24	153:12	trustees 137:9	48:18 49:23
97:14,16,19	totality 123:5	138:20 141:8,9	82:22 84:15
103:17 109:13	track 97:3	155:15 168:11	92:23 158:4
109:21 111:4	training 119:22	168:20 169:4	162:16 172:7
124:1,7 127:3	186:11,18	169:13,20	175:6 204:20
127:6,11,22	187:18,18	170:3,7	211:16 213:13
128:4,8 129:4	transcript 8:18	trustworthy	215:22 216:9
141:20 142:1,2	161:24 162:10	180:21	turned 23:1
142:16 145:16	182:4 230:5,10	truthful 11:4,7	36:3,4,4 82:23
153:1 154:22	230:12 231:3	221:16 226:12	turning 37:16
157:3 158:4,21	transferred	226:19	216:1
161:4,5,8,19	145:11	try 27:16 28:13	twice 215:16,18
162:14 165:3,9	transition	74:7 123:2	two 21:19
165:10 168:6	105:1,9	128:15	27:21 54:24
171:2 172:7	transitioned	trying 23:22	67:14 71:13
174:8,18,25	104:21	46:14 48:1	84:23 87:18
175:6,11	travis 78:5,12	51:17,17,19	103:14 130:6
176:12,19,22	treat 58:17	56:12 58:23	130:13 144:15
177:18 182:21	132:13	64:6 69:5	146:11 147:12
182:22 191:21	trick 81:17	74:10 81:3,17	148:20 149:16
194:1,22 196:5	82:11,12,15	82:4,11,12,14	153:5 172:23
196:6,9,22,25	tried 105:3,6	82:15 111:20	195:7,12
197:6,16,22	224:4 226:8	113:11 117:11	197:17 199:17
200:22 204:20	triggered 36:1	117:24 121:8	203:5 204:12
223:7,10,13	38:20 39:4,20	124:20 127:25	221:3 227:2
224:16,18,19	triggers 149:21	136:24 138:8	type 26:3 28:24
topics 8:12	truck 16:2	143:5 144:9	52:4 100:16,20
11:23 12:23	true 55:2	145:12 148:25	125:13 148:17
13:2,5,12 16:6	105:14 106:14	149:5 162:6	typically 24:8
16:10 50:4	106:21,23	197:2 198:23	30:9 47:20
72:19 153:17	124:24 127:17	224:13	81:10 96:18
199:24 228:16	190:15 229:11		112:19 119:19

[typically - verification]

149:1,3 180:15	underperfor	undertake 56:7	using 12:19
181:3,4	104:2	174:25	14:16 105:12
typo 142:5	underscore	united 1:1	114:1,4 126:1
u	176:13,14	unnecessarily	129:16 175:22
u.s. 64:3	undersigned	128:1	176:7,17 177:2
uh 14:13 32:7	231:2	unreasonable	177:23 178:3
32:13 40:21	understand	198:16	195:9,12,16
41:3 50:2 56:8	11:4 12:11	unsigned	usual 110:5
77:13 89:22	20:21 22:3	190:13	v
91:1 108:6	25:7,9 36:6	unwanted	v 85:1
109:7 122:9	53:8,23 56:14	144:11	v 85.1 vague 44:20,25
137:11 147:2	63:7 64:6 65:6	upcoming	59:21 80:20
150:2 152:7	65:7 67:22	10:23	96:25 98:6,8
155:8 156:12	86:4 102:12	updated 31:5	98:13,19
158:19 163:15	103:19 121:2	63:13 163:14	101:12 105:15
207:4 212:18	126:15 148:25	163:16 171:11	101.12 105.13
207.4 212.18	160:17 172:8	updates 171:24	111:9 114:18
	199:4 212:7	updating	119:7 121:7,19
ultimately 39:11	222:23,24	171:14	126:5 128:9
um 37:23,23	understanding	urge 29:8	133:19 138:12
uni 57.25,25 unaware	18:4,6 40:1	use 105:4	149:24 175:3
147:11	41:9 56:6	110:10 150:1,2	175:16 180:12
	66:10 81:22	204:23 211:11	185:16 186:9
under 26:15,16 32:14 63:5	82:18 93:11	211:12 231:9	190:19 204:3
71:5 73:23	94:2 142:24	used 15:1 43:3	
145:10 157:3	143:15 156:18	43:4 105:24	214:21 225:16
	169:12 173:8	106:2,9 113:16	226:6
161:10 182:8	200:19 205:24	120:3 121:9	validity 34:3,22
185:11 186:3	220:7	149:23,25	various 105:25
187:5,13 188:1 189:1 196:11	understood	153:7 177:21	varnum 6:17 181:12
	41:8 56:16	195:4 203:7,8	
196:16 220:17	59:24 72:10	213:7,9	veering 30:13 verb 15:1
underneath	142:9,10	usefulness	verb 15:1
27:8 35:14	143:12 167:12	48:10	
99:23	222:22		45:10 170:19 208:3,24 209:3

[verifications - welcome]

verifications	videotaped	74:13 76:25	watch 58:1
173:16	1:12 3:3 9:2	82:21 99:7,12	water 102:24
verified 171:12	viewed 84:19	99:14 102:25	water 102.24 way 42:17,18
171:16,22,25	vip 30:6	105:9,24	60:3 109:8
173:23 207:14	visible 26:17	109:14 111:12	113:16 116:7,8
207:23 208:1	27:11	111:14,21,24	119:12 120:3,4
208:22	visitor 96:11,12	112:12,17,18	160:21 173:12
verify 171:5	96:12	112:20 113:24	219:19 226:4
174:2 207:20	visitors 96:2,10	114:7,7 115:13	229:15
208:4,16,17,17	97:4	115:18 116:5	ways 119:21
208:25	visually 57:12	119:19 120:5,7	we've 51:8
verifying	vladimir 58:10	126:24 128:1	92:10 111:6
173:20	volunteer	128:15 135:16	118:17 178:16
veritext 230:8	81:25 82:3	151:7,19	203:14
veritextprodu	119:5	160:20 161:16	weber 56:7
230:15	vp 79:10	161:17 169:16	website 33:21
version 22:16	vs 1:5	181:20 182:5,8	34:5,10,20
65:15,22 164:2	vscordato 2:6	190:4 195:16	wednesday
204:23 211:12	W	202:19 205:5	172:16,23
211:13	wait 42:1 46:10	205:16 212:21	173:7 207:5
versions 65:2	99:11 133:17	220:17 222:2	week 15:6,9,10
163:24 164:5	133:17,17	222:12	15:11,12,15
164:15	waiving 46:23	wanted 95:22	25:23 26:1,2
vice 145:2	61:19	103:23 104:6	31:25 32:2
151:6	walked 219:25	105:20,22	68:25 69:5
victoria 2:3	walking 219:25	118:2 131:22	96:24 207:3
9:16 75:19	U	143:22 148:16	weeks 24:10
	220:10		
video 9:8 58:1	220:10 want 11:17	165:5 182:1	67:14
videographer		208:13	weigh 58:13
videographer 2:22 9:6 10:2	want 11:17	208:13 wanting 51:8	<pre>weigh 58:13 weird 180:22</pre>
videographer 2:22 9:6 10:2 92:14,16	want 11:17 24:7 25:6 28:5	208:13 wanting 51:8 wants 106:1	weigh 58:13 weird 180:22 180:23
videographer 2:22 9:6 10:2 92:14,16 153:20,23	want 11:17 24:7 25:6 28:5 30:6,16 41:14	208:13 wanting 51:8 wants 106:1 115:11 210:22	<pre>weigh 58:13 weird 180:22 180:23 welcome 21:23</pre>
videographer 2:22 9:6 10:2 92:14,16 153:20,23 204:15,17	want 11:17 24:7 25:6 28:5 30:6,16 41:14 42:7 46:8,10	208:13 wanting 51:8 wants 106:1 115:11 210:22 washington 2:4	<pre>weigh 58:13 weird 180:22 180:23 welcome 21:23 22:22 58:13</pre>
videographer 2:22 9:6 10:2 92:14,16 153:20,23 204:15,17 213:1,4 217:15	want 11:17 24:7 25:6 28:5 30:6,16 41:14 42:7 46:8,10 46:16 47:24	208:13 wanting 51:8 wants 106:1 115:11 210:22	 weigh 58:13 weird 180:22 180:23 welcome 21:23 22:22 58:13 92:18 94:13
videographer 2:22 9:6 10:2 92:14,16 153:20,23 204:15,17	want 11:17 24:7 25:6 28:5 30:6,16 41:14 42:7 46:8,10 46:16 47:24 48:11 52:12	208:13 wanting 51:8 wants 106:1 115:11 210:22 washington 2:4	 weigh 58:13 weird 180:22 180:23 welcome 21:23 22:22 58:13

[went -	yeah]
---------	-------

went 42:21	wish 16:22	wording 28:24	102:13 109:25
56:3 148:18,19	withdrawn	28:25 226:9	112:22 118:14
167:23	78:2	228:4	118:14 121:15
wesso 78:5,12	withheld 51:3,8	words 38:8,10	139:13,17
west 101:9	51:22 54:16	38:13,14 56:13	140:16 141:15
westbrook	86:25	72:15 74:13	146:22 160:25
113:17	witness 9:20,23	105:12,24	195:15 222:9
wheeler 41:16	10:1,4,6,15,17	117:4,24 121:8	222:13
41:17	19:18,21 20:4	124:20 143:5	wrong 36:7
whereof 229:17	20:17 21:17	177:23 178:13	56:15 63:3
white 40:16	23:14 37:20	178:15 195:8	70:23,23
wife 26:3	48:4 55:17	195:12 215:14	120:22,25
wigginton 23:9	61:4,6 69:10	215:25	121:6,12
31:13,23 32:15	69:14 71:15	work 54:18	144:24 158:8
32:18 33:3	76:11 89:16	61:17 62:16	158:25 222:4
36:13,18 37:3	108:13 111:16	128:11 136:9	wrongdoing
38:8 51:15	111:23 129:17	139:25 148:5	121:12
135:23 136:4	129:23 130:20	worked 15:1,2	wrote 106:10
136:14 162:13	132:4,16,18	15:16 43:12	wussow 4:16
166:5 167:11	136:7 166:24	79:10 151:21	4:18 77:16
168:4,7 169:11	182:24 196:3	working 73:22	78:17
169:15 170:1,2	223:24 224:3,5	works 113:13	y
wigginton's	224:11 228:13	113:13 128:25	yeah 16:1
38:11,21 39:5	229:9,12,17	149:15 179:22	19:11 23:12
162:9 165:23	wm 5:10,13,18	world 100:20	24:23 37:16
williams 4:15	6:7,12 99:1	221:3,4,12	41:25 57:4
7:10 77:16	102:5 103:4	write 14:7	58:11,11 65:25
189:16 190:17	110:1,16	100:13 201:24	69:4 71:17
wilmerhale 2:9	wm6181 102:8	224:14	73:16 79:16
9:19	wm6186	writing 118:4	86:6 97:1
wilmerhale.c	110:19	195:9	106:19 118:2
2:11	wm6195 103:7	written 6:5	119:8 120:24
window 220:1	wm6212 110:5	17:19 18:2,9	122:3 125:24
220:9	wm984 99:4	22:15 39:24	126:6 127:8
		62:12 64:25	131:15 133:7
			151.15 155.7

[yeah - york]

134:8 141:14	56:16 58:17
146:4,18	70:7 107:10
149:17 150:21	124:17 129:25
151:11,24	130:6,9 138:4
153:15 157:12	138:9 143:2,3
187:17 197:9	143:4 146:10
201:11 205:19	147:17 155:18
206:5 207:1	155:23 196:21
209:9 214:16	197:8,11 198:4
215:9 218:19	198:12,15
218:25	199:3,6 202:3
year 25:23,25	203:6,25 204:6
27:20,22,23	211:19,24
28:16 103:14	212:16 213:8,9
113:19,20	yesterday's
114:25 115:9	58:16
115:10 149:9	york 2:4
149:12,13	
150:14,15	
156:1 167:19	
167:21 168:14	
168:15 219:2	
yearly 149:18	
years 42:18,20	
43:13 105:20	
115:1 151:12	
178:16 199:20	
yellow 22:6	
32:5,6 108:9	
yesterday	
10:14,22 26:22	
39:13,13,23	
41:13 48:9	
52:11 55:8,11	
55:16 56:5,11	

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 120 of 128 PageID #: 3249

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
(A) to review the transcript or recording; and
(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION. VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com. Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 122 of 128 PageID #: 3251

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI ABERDEEN DIVISION

WILL MCRANEY

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-80-GHD-DAS

THE NORTH AMERICAN MISSION BOARD OF THE SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION, INC.

DEFENDANT

TMS PRIVILEGE LOG

DATE & TIME	TYPE	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	SUBJECT MATTER	PRIVILEGE ASSERTED	BATES NO.
08/03/2020 @ 11:01 a.m.	E-mail	Stephanie Taub/First Liberty obo NAMB	Andrew Bath obo TMS	Amicus Brief Overture	Common Interest	001
08/03/2020 @ 5:00 p.m.	E-mail	Andrew Bath	Stephanie Taub	Amicus Brief	Common Interest	001(A)
08/03/2020 @ 7:07 p.m.	E-mail	Stephanie Taub	Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Common Interest	001(B)
08/06/2020 @ 5:34 p.m.	E-mail	Stephanie Taub	Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Common Interest	002
08/16/2020 @ 7:42 p.m.	E-mail	Stephanie Taub	Joan Mannix obo TMS	Amicus Brief	Common Interest	003
08/18/2020 @ 3:23 p.m.	E-mail	Stephanie Taub	Andrew Bath, Joan Mannix, Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product / Common Interest	003(A)
08/18/2020 @ 3:26 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Stephanie Taub, Andrew Bath, Joan Mannix	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product / Common Interest	003(B)
08/18/2020 @ 7:19 p.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Travis Wussow, Andrew Bath, Stephanie Taub, Tom Brejcha	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product / Common Interest	003(C)
08/20/2020 @ 7:15 a.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Travis	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product /	004

			Wussow obo ERLC & Stephanie Taub, Andrew Bath & Steve Crampton obo TMS & Thomas Olp obo TMS		Common Interest	
08/20/2020 @ 9:14 a.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Travis Wussow & Stephanie Taub & Andrew Bath & Steve Crampton & Thomas Olp	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product / Common Interest	005
08/20/2020 @ 9:21 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Joan Mannix/	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	006
08/20/2020 @ 9:23 a.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	007
08/20/2020 @ 10:14 a.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	008
08/20/2020 @ 10:24 a.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Travis Wussow & Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	009
08/20/2020 @ 10:36 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Joan Mannix & Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	010
08/20/2020 @ 10:41 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	011
08/20/2020 @ 10:43 a.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	012
08/20/2020 @ 11:12 a.m.	E-mail	Joan Mannix	Steve Crampton & Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	013
08/20/2020 @ 11:41 a.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	014
08/20/2020 @ 11:43 a.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	015
08/21/2020 @ 11:10 a.m.	E-mail	Stephanie Taub	Joan Mannix & Travis Wussow &	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client/	016

			Andrew Bath & Steve Crampton & Thomas Olp		Common Interest	
08/21/2020 @ 2:26 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow & Joan Mannix & Stephanie Taub & Andrew Bath & Thomas Olp	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client/ Common Interest	017
12/09/2020 @ 4:51 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	018
12/10/2020 @ 1:53 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	019
12/10/2020 @ 2:15 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	020
12/10/2020 @ 3:09 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	021
12/10/2020 @ 3:11 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	022
12/10/2020 @ 3:20 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	023
12/10/2020 @ 3:36 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow & Joan Mannix	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	024
12/10/2020 @ 6:25 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	025
12/10/2020 @ 7:25 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	026
12/10/2020 @ 7:30 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	027
12/10/2020 @ 7:32 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	028
12/10/2020 @ 7:34 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	029
12/10/2020 @ 8:33 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	030

12/10/2020 @ 8:57 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	031
12/10/2020 @ 9:47 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	032
12/10/2020 @ 9:56 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	033
12/10/2020 @ 10:16 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	034
12/10/2020 @ 10:34 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	035
12/10/2020 @ 10:51 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	036
12/10/2020 @ 11:06 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	037
12/10/2020 @ 11:26 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	038
12/11/2020 @ 12:06 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	039
12/11/2020 @ 7:16 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	040
12/11/2020 @ 11:21 a.m.	E-mail	Scott Weatherford obo ERLC	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	041
12/11/2020 @ 11:25 a.m.	E-mail		Scott Weatherford	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	042
12/11/2020 @ 11:29 a.m.	E-mail	Scott Weatherford	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	043
12/11/2020 @ 3:49 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	044
12/11/2020 @ 4:04 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	045
12/11/2020 @ 5:05 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	046

12/11/2020 @ 5:18 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	047
12/11/2020 @ 6:08 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	048
12/11/2020 @ 7:09 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	049
12/11/2020 @ 8:03 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	050
12/12/2020 @ 8:09 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	051
12/13/2020 @ 2:04 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	052
12/14/2020 @ 11:14 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	053
12/14/2020 @ 11:15 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	054
12/14/2020 @ 2:06 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	055
12/14/2020 @ 4:17 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	056
12/16/2020 @ 9:22 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	057
12/16/2020 @ 9:34 a.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow & Andrew Bath	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	058
12/16/2020 @ 9:49 a.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	059
12/28/2020 @ 12:27 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	060
12/28/2020 @ 12:51 p.m.	E-mail	Steve Crampton	Travis Wussow	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	061
12/28/2020 @ 1:45 p.m.	E-mail	Travis Wussow	Steve Crampton	Amicus Brief	Attorney- Client / Work Product	062

Case: 1:17-cv-00080-GHD-DAS Doc #: 272-8 Filed: 06/01/23 127 of 128 PageID #: 3256

Victoria Scordato

From:	Scott Gant
Sent:	Thursday, February 16, 2023 7:33 PM
То:	Kat Carrington; Josh Wiener; Derek Rajavuori; timothy.perla@wilmerhale.com
Cc:	Victoria Scordato; 'Harvey Barton'; Rick Culp
Subject:	McRaney v. NAMB - Corrected Privilege Log from Thomas More Society
Attachments:	TMS Corrected Privilege Log - 2.16.23.pdf

Attached is a corrected privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society.

Counsel for TMS (Rick Culp, copied on this email), supplemented the log with the following information about attachments to certain emails described in the corrected log (which I've pasted into this email, without any alteration):

Bates #1 Bates #1(A) Bates #1(B)	Subject: Fifth Circuit En Banc Amicus (no attachment) Subject: Fifth Circuit En Banc Amicus (no attachment) Subject: Fifth Circuit En Banc Amicus (attachments x4: 04/29/19 Dist. Ct. Opinion – Appellant's Brief
• • •	bellee's Brief 09/11/19 – 5 th Cir. Opinion -7/16/20)
Bates #2	Subject: NAMB Resources (attachments x4 entitled "Amicus Briefs" from Our Lady of Guadalupe v.
Agnes Morrisey	<i>i</i> -Berru)
Bates #3	Subject: McRaney v. NAMB Amicus (attachment – as filed 08/13/20 Petition for Rehearing En Banc)
Bates #3(A)	Subject: McRaney v. NAMB Amicus (no attachment)
Bates #3(B)	Subject: McRaney v. NAMB Amicus (no attachment)
Bates #3(C)	Subject: McRaney v. NAMB Amicus (no attachment)
Bates #4	Subject: NAMB (attachment – Amicus Brief Draft)
Bates #5	Subject: NAMB (attachment – Amicus Brief Draft)
Bates #16	Subject: NAMB (no attachment)
Bates #17	Subject: NAMB (no attachment)

From: Scott Gant

From: Scott Gant

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 3:13 PM

To: Kat Carrington <Kat.Carrington@butlersnow.com>; Josh Wiener <Josh.Wiener@butlersnow.com>; Derek Rajavuori

<Derek.Rajavuori@butlersnow.com>

Cc: Victoria Scordato <vscordato@BSFLLP.COM>; 'Harvey Barton' <harvey@wbartonlaw.com>

Subject: RE: McRaney v. NAMB - Notice of Document Subpoena to the Thomas More Society

Attached is a privilege log produced today by the Thomas More Society.

Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2022 9:12 PM

To: Kat Carrington <<u>Kat.Carrington@butlersnow.com</u>>; Josh Wiener <<u>Josh.Wiener@butlersnow.com</u>>; Derek Rajavuori <<u>Derek.Rajavuori@butlersnow.com</u>>

Cc: Victoria Scordato <<u>vscordato@BSFLLP.COM</u>>; 'Harvey Barton' <<u>harvey@wbartonlaw.com</u>>

Subject: RE: McRaney v. NAMB - Notice of Document Subpoena to the Thomas More Society

Attached are additional documents produced by the Thomas More Society in response to Plaintiff's subpoena.

From: Scott Gant

Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2022 3:45 PM

To: Kat Carrington <<u>Kat.Carrington@butlersnow.com</u>>; Josh Wiener <<u>Josh.Wiener@butlersnow.com</u>>; Derek Rajavuori <<u>Derek.Rajavuori@butlersnow.com</u>>

Cc: Victoria Scordato <<u>vscordato@BSFLLP.COM</u>>; 'Harvey Barton' <<u>harvey@wbartonlaw.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: McRaney v. NAMB - Notice of Document Subpoena to the Thomas More Society

Attached are documents produced by the Thomas More Society in response to Plaintiff's subpoena.

From: Scott Gant

Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2022 8:39 AM

To: Kat Carrington <<u>Kat.Carrington@butlersnow.com</u>>; Josh Wiener <<u>Josh.Wiener@butlersnow.com</u>> Cc: Victoria Scordato <<u>vscordato@BSFLLP.COM</u>>; 'Harvey Barton' <<u>harvey@wbartonlaw.com</u>> Subject: McRaney v. NAMB - Notice of Document Subpoena to the Thomas More Society

This email is notice of Plaintiff's forthcoming subpoena to the Thomas More Society.

Thank you,

Scott